

Yvette Guigneaux: (1/25/2018 09:19) Hi All, Welcome to the NomCom RWP Public Call | Thu. 25 January 2018 | 20:00 UTC!

Yvette Guigneaux: (11:33) Slide deck link on wiki - https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_OR_NomCom-2BReview-2BWorking-2BParty-2BMeetings&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=xjNMRR-fjlgBx7tqUEv8IzV2O9RJyMyqWkymcecegCUuwDxFVsW6VBuvkgKnS_lk&m=FzevT9P5gghns_GklOvma6DmOjsCVFLly7mUseSWfho&s=vWGLysye1ExjN2wl5cWUZY04j4ORNI_4zOaifXwGCc&e=

Vanda Scartezini: (11:56) in listen only mode - the only one that is viable using adobe by computer

Vanda Scartezini: (11:56) hi all.

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (11:58) hi all

Khaled KOUBAA: (12:00) Hi everyone

George Sadowsky: (12:01) Hello

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:03) it has

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:03) Yes recording has started

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:05) my apologies Mark - i realized that boo - all good now =)

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:08) Hi All - friendly reminder - if you're not speaking please mute your phones, thank you

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:08) Hi Will - there is some background noise coming from the line so it was muted, just so you're aware

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:09) If you would like to speak, please feel free to do so by *6

Robert Guerra: (12:09) SSAC earlier call ran a bit late, appologies

Angie Graves: (12:09) Thank you for joining, Robert

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:10) Lars has his hand up

Robert Guerra: (12:12) Was any analysis done on how the ICANN nomcom differs in its setup and processes vs other entities with nomcoms such as ISOC, IETF, AFRINIC, CIRA, etc.?

Robert Guerra: (12:14) i asked the question as - there are all - internet governance - organizations that are part of the ICANN ecosystem, as such it would be good to know how they compare and any lessons learned that could help improve icann..

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:15) good summary!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:15) I would like to come back to this point in discussion later if time permits

Robert Guerra: (12:17) I mentioned CIRA - as I've served as a CIRA Nomcom member and, suffice it to say - there is similar in much that could be learned

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:19) @Robert if would be useful to examine how CIRA and nomcom's operate. It wasn't really within the scope here.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:24) were hear

Vanda Scartezini: (12:26) I am in favor to have a clear requisites for SO and AC to select adequate members

Robert Guerra: (12:26) In regards to continuity... believe more detail is required - what aspects change (or not) from year to year

Robert Guerra: (12:27) OB - does more than just recruiting.. it also does a review of the short list of board candidates and accompanies and provides comments during the final review/selection

Greg & Andrew 2: (12:28) Robert: Agreed re:)B. Andrew will touch on this shortly.

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:28) OB uses separate offices for recruiting versus assessment.

Robert Guerra: (12:29) also in regards to OB - there is no standing process as to how to treat OB's advice. If it's an expert, then - i believe - its opinion should not but summarily dismissed without cause

Brenden Kuerbis: (12:30) That was a good point - that OB has much more information that it could share with Nomcom regarding its efforts. Would allow better understand year to year what impact OB efforts are having on Nomcom process.

Brenden Kuerbis: (12:31) *understanding

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:36) questions for attendees: 1. do these findings seem to be on the mark? 2. are there any major omissions?

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:37) interesting points are being raised about how perhaps the NomCom processes have not grown or evolved as the ICANN Board and organization has evolved. Certainly, the sitting NomCom does not have the bandwidth to do this. Instead we

soften see each NomCom do some experimentation each year. If this is true, what mechanism do we need to put into place to update the NomCom processes?

Vanda Scartezini: (12:38) + 1 Brendan

Robert Guerra: (12:40) Tom - it's not just that the nomcom hasn't evolved, its also that it may not comply with the goals and objectives of the iana transition that brought more openness, transparency and core values as - fundamental values - that all structures and entiiies need to incorporate

Vanda Scartezini: (12:40) yes, i agree that the skills et may be set up a clear requisited to each AC and SO to select their member. leaving open will not possible

Vanda Scartezini: (12:45) agree with continuity aspect what Cheryl is saying...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:49) Deffinatly Vanda

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (12:49) q: historically, how did the nomcom deal with stakeholder balance both in terms of participation and selections? Thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:50) It was set in its design and left up to the appointing bodies to send people they wanted to

Vanda Scartezini: (12:52) Renata. that was my point regarding the free appointment of members of Nomcom. I believe is selection under a pre set of requisites for each SO and Acs.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (12:52) Thanks for the replies

George Sadowsky: (12:53) Avri +1 !!!

Brenden Kuerbis: (12:55) I'd like to agree with Avri. I do wonder though if the processes that an external firm and the Nomcom run could be more in sync, in terms of e.g., the types of screening application questions asked and information collected.

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:56) hand up from Khaled

Yvette Guigneaux: (12:56) yes

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (12:57) Is it the NomCom's mandate to ensure its appointees are "independent"?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (12:57) I agree that there needs to be good balance between the professionalism and new areas of candidate oportuntiy oportuntiy from External firms (and I

like the use of OB but it needs to be a codified transparent and effective use of them) as well as the important Multistakeholder balance aspects Avri outlined

Robert Guerra: (12:57) there's independence of directors appointed, and independence of nomcom members themselves. I believe there should be a review if the level of how conflicts are stated and dealt with has not caught up to best practices.

Vanda Scartezini: (12:57) + 1 Robert

Robert Guerra: (12:58) the reviewers might want to assess if - the level of disclosure of conflicts is adequate or should be changed so that it is - far - more clear to other nomcom members what perceived or actual conflicts may exist and how they are dealt with

farzaneh badii: (12:59) I agree with Robert

Robert Guerra: (13:01) thanks all! have to jump on another call

Vanda Scartezini: (13:01) no , for me it is quite clear.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (13:02) Thanks everyone, bye for now...

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (13:02) I'll have to drop off. Thank you!

Brenden Kuerbis: (13:02) I hope comments in the chat will be taken into account. I couldn't use my mic as I'm in another meeting. thx all!

Vanda Scartezini: (13:02) thank you all

Tom Barrett - EnCirca: (13:02) bye all. thanks for coming today!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (13:02) I am sure they will be Brendan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (13:03) Lars you have captured the chat?

Yvette Guigneaux: (13:03) hi Cheryl - we capture the chat from every meeting and post on the wiki within 24 hours - did that answer your question?

Brenden Kuerbis: (13:04) sounds good, thx Yvette!

Yvette Guigneaux: (13:04) you're welcome

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (13:04) Just double checking thans Yvette please send copy to Mark et.al from AG

Yvette Guigneaux: (13:04) understood =)