



Privacy and Proxy Service Provider Accreditation Program IRT Meeting | 13 June 2017



Status Update: ICANN59 Meeting with PSWG

➢ IRT Feedback: PSWG Proposal

Next Steps



Summary of IRT Feedback on PSWG Proposal

- Scope and definitional issues/questions:
 - "LEA" definition—jurisdictional limits may need clarification
 - "Requested information"—definition goes beyond how "disclosure" is defined in Final Report
 - Interplay between requirements and global privacy legislation



Summary of IRT Feedback on PSWG Proposal

- Issues/questions related to request process:
 - Need for specificity re: priority levels (should highpriority be defined with greater specificity?)
 - Issues related to publishing public LEA contact
 - Should LEA be required to verify identity when submitting request rather than requiring provider to verify?



Summary of IRT Feedback on PSWG Proposal

- Issues/questions related to provider actions:
 - Request receipt process—is 24-hour review period reasonable?
 - Should customers be notified by default? (note Final Report recommendation re: LEA requests for confidentiality)
 - What does "actioned" mean?
- Additional comments/questions noted throughout



Thank you!



Thank You and Questions

Reach me at: amy.bivins@icann.org

Email IRT list at: gdd-gnso-ppsaiimpl@icann.org

IRT community wiki space: <u>https://community.icann.org/display/IRT/Privacy+and+Proxy</u> <u>+Services+Accreditation+Implementation</u>

Implementation Status Page: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ppsai-2016-08-18-en

