

Chris Pelling:Afternoon staff :)  
Amy Bivins:Welcome, Chris! :)  
Amy Bivins:Welcome Volker! We will start up the audio in a few minutes  
Chris Pelling:I can hear you :)  
Chris Pelling:There appears to be a loud baning noise (every now and again)  
Chris Pelling:that or coughing  
Volker Greimann:someone is breathing  
Chris Pelling:Cool now some humming / singing - dont stop :)  
Sara Bockey:I hope everyone is breathing :P  
Amy Bivins:haha, agreed Sara  
steve metalitz:of course, affiliated providers also could be non-compliant, make inadequate escrow deposits, etc.  
Alex Deacon:I'm back. had to reboot to fix some audio issues.  
Stephanie Perrin:agree with Theo that this could be complex, and there is a risk of getting into policy issues  
Roger Carney:Sub-group makes sense  
Michele Neylon:I don't see why the LEA point of contact should be public  
Michele Neylon:I'm happy to share it with the government  
Michele Neylon:but if we put it on our site it'll just get junk  
Luc Seufer:Same here, it would be more efficient to have the details for emergency action communicated to the local LEA  
Michele Neylon:We created an email alias for domain registries + put it on our site - all we've got was spam + other stuff sent to the wrong place  
Stephanie Perrin:How difficult do you anticipate it being to verify the identity of the requestor?  
Theo Geurts:Stephanie, hard  
Michele Neylon:it's moot  
Michele Neylon:"The "Requestor": Law enforcement, consumer protection,quasi-governmental or other similar authorities designated from time totime by the national or territorial government of the jurisdiction in which theprivacy or proxy service provider is established or maintains a physicaloffice"  
Theo Geurts:most of them have good procedures though, some cyber crime units are not great at all  
Michele Neylon:you're only dealing with your local ones  
Michele Neylon:you don't have to deal with every one out there  
Stephanie Perrin:That is what I thought. I would have expected the procedure to be done in reverse...i.e. requestor has the burden to prove the entity is authorized  
Luc Seufer:24 hours is not reasonable, even the Requestor don't all have a 24 hour service.  
Michele Neylon:+1 Luc

Michele Neylon:it's completely unworkable

Chris Pelling:@Nick just to make sure, our office is in the UK, if we get a request from a German or Australian LEA, we can simply reply within the time frame stating it is rejected as not out local LEA (or quasi.....) ?

Luc Seufer:"pose a risk to operational sensitivity" I am having trouble understanding

Michele Neylon:I disagree strongly Nick

Luc Seufer:@Nick in such case then it can't be the emergency poc

Chris Pelling:agreed with Michele

Graeme Bunton:Operationally, any public contact get filled with garbage.

Luc Seufer:it can only be a generic one for agencies to obtain the emergency one

Michele Neylon:Yup

Michele Neylon:what Graeme said

Volker Greimann:We do have week-ends and holidays where NO STAFF is available

Alex Deacon:assuming the LEA contact address is not known to the LEA I assume the requests would hit the abuse contact directly.

Luc Seufer:To take a very pragmatic example, the cybercrime unit in Luxembourg all have my mobile and the one of some top exec but those aren't published on our website

Michele Neylon:Luc +1

Michele Neylon:pretty much any LEA out there has my mobile too

Greg DiBiase:What is the process for the Provider verifying the identity of the Requestor? Is there a database we will be able check?

Chris Pelling:@Nick, this really needs to be put back to LEA ie. any worldwide requests are put through the LOCAL LEA of the provider or where they have an office

Volker Greimann:a physical office with staff, not a mere postbox

Amy Bivins:I am not sure of the timeline for that parallel work but will find out

Ashley Heineman:We probably shouldn't rule out the opportunity to have GAC conversations prior to the public comment process. The GAC just needs to discuss how it wants to handle.

Ashley Heineman:(speaking as a GAC member)

Theo Geurts:Thanks Ashley

Michele Neylon:So we can ignore the requests from outside our jurisdiction?

Michele Neylon:(or act on them.) but we can choose

Stephanie Perrin:i think it is inadvisable for a document such

as this to recommend that a provider could choose to "voluntarily" disclose.

Stephanie Perrin:Either the requestor has a lawful authority and has an authenticated identity or it doesn't.

Luc Seufer:Plus I am not sure LEA would want to illegally gain access to information, it would become useless for them in case of a trial

Michele Neylon:it'd have been helpful if there'd been consultation with providers before this document was finalised

Stephanie Perrin:Apologies for my clock

Ashley Heineman:I have to drop off, but look forward to reading the transcripts.

Stephanie Perrin:In most countries, "prewarrant" information is very useful in these investigations. How many of these cases actually go to Court, where the data from the RDS is required in Court?

Stephanie Perrin:Scroll capability would be handy now

Amy Bivins:@Stephanie, you should be able to scroll now

Stephanie Perrin:got it thanks!

Volker Greimann:I do not see how the urgency of the complaint will suddenly magic staff into the office

Greg DiBiase:my line cut out- ill dial back in

Volker Greimann:In Saudi Arabia, Atheists are officially labeled as terrorists. No joke!

Michele Neylon:Unless you have offices there I wouldn't worry too much about it

steve metalitz:@Stephanie, 4.2.2.3 may be responsive to your concern

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks Steve, that indeed would be helpful.

Stephanie Perrin:Plus I can see that michele could pick up some business here....

Sara Bockey:I need to drop. Thank you all.

steve metalitz:@Michele, @Nick , perhaps this concern would be addressed by adding a parenthetical after "secure mechanism" -- "(if available) "

Roger Carney:Several people have mentioned Johannesburg, I didn't see anything on the schedule, do we have a time/room?

Nick Shorey:@Steve, thanks we can certainly discuss this - do share your thoughts on list ahead of next week.

Theo Geurts:Thanks all

Roger Carney:Thanks!!

Darcy Southwell:Thanks, all!

Nick Shorey:Thanks everyone