Marika Konings: Welcome to the SSC meeting of 7 June 2017

Terri Agnew: Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org x 0zbwAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5c M&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=KdA3jN7LQqAhyQiJtt5Xlr-5lPraACKOcSjU-3uSCWw&s=m3rlW7-hGxfnXq hf1smO2BNn9eFyq8MR5nHgTy63Gs&e=

Julf Helsingius:Just wrapping up previous call...

Frederic Guillemaut: Hello Everybody,

Susan Kawaguchi: I am waiting for a dial out sorry for the delay

Osvaldo Novoa:Hello all

Marika Konings: It may also be worth noting that at the moment almost all reps are SO/AC chairs who are also involved in planning so that may help avoiding conflict as well :-)

Terri Agnew:Welcome Lori Schulman

Lori Schulman: My apologies for being late

Poncelet Ileleji:Thanks for the note Marika

Terri Agnew: Welcome Maxim Alzoba

Maxim Alzoba: Hello All, sorry for being bit late (it took few minutes to connect)

Marika Konings: Under A it would be considered a shared responsibility of the GNSO Leadership Team.

Term limits would be linked to their term as Chair/Vice-Chair and/or appointment to the Council.

Marika Konings: I think the GAC appointment may also still be interim, but I am not a 100% of that

Marika Konings:one advantage of the Chair would be that he/she is already accustomed to the responsibility of representing the GNSO Council and are aware of this notion that they are their in a representative position and not an individual one

Julf Helsingius: I agree

Emily Barabas: The ccNSO rep is not chair, but a member of the ccNSO, as the chair was not interested in the position

Emily Barabas:\*member of the ccNSO Council

Maxim Alzoba:yes

Marika Konings:so default option for the ccNSO is the chair, with fall back option a member of the ccNSO Council in case the chair is not available / willing?

**Emily Barabas:Yes** 

Emily Barabas: that is my understanding

Marika Konings:one chair and two vice-chairs

Marika Konings:all one year, but renewable

Marika Konings:if I am not mistaken

Marika Konings: allowing the leadership to figure it out would allow for spreading out to work

Marika Konings: depending on their other responsibilities and work load

Maxim Alzoba:my understanding of James was that 2 vice chairs were enough

Marika Konings: No, I don't think so - I think he is term limited by the end of this year?

Maxim Alzoba:in case GNSO Chair is not selected, then one rep. from GNSO Council?

Maxim Alzoba: why are we talking about the Term? I think it is bit early for that

Julf Helsingius: We are talking about term in case all 3 of the current leadership all are ending their term Maxim Alzoba: Can it be resolved by "Appointed by GNSO Chair, or by GNSO Council in case where the Chair is not elected or can not act due to physical reasons"?

Maxim Alzoba: and when new rep. is selected - question of Term is not important anymore

Maxim Alzoba: GNSO Council will not allow us to decide for them anyway

Marika Konings: having it as a joint responsibility for the leadership team would also have the advantage of ensuring that there is representation from accross the GNSO houses?

Julf Helsingius: I am not that bothered with representation, considering the position has no power

Marika Konings:right - but just in case if there is concern someone would go beyond what they are supposed to do ;-)

Poncelet Ileleji:am not

Maxim Alzoba: there could be only one -but given the absence of freedom to act - it is not so important, from which house comes the rep.

Osvaldo Novoa: I would prefer not to, but I would go along with this proposal

Lori Schulman: I am not sure abouth that

Maxim Alzoba:we can not choose few

Frederic Guillemaut: are we going to finalise the desicion now ? or will we have a draft at the end of the meeting .

Maxim Alzoba: I am in favour of A

Frederic Guillemaut: A is fine

Maxim Alzoba: I will have to drop 5 minutes to the top of the hour (RySG call right next after this one) Julf Helsingius: I am in favour of A too

Marika Konings:@Maxim - I don't think anything would prevent from the GNSO to designate the Council leadership and indicate that they amongst themselves would ensure that for every EC Admin meeting there would be one of them there to represent the GNSO? We can check with our legal colleagues whether that would be an issue, but it appears it is up to the Decisional Participants who / how to appoint.

Julf Helsingius: I don't see it as a leadership role

Julf Helsingius:it is more like a liaison

Marika Konings: the proposal is that the leadership team would work out amongst themselves who would be the representative and/or how to share the responsibilities of this role.

Maxim Alzoba:it would be nice If we do not forget to add notice of "there is a need of creation of a fast-replacement of the GNSO Rep. to EC Adm.(situations where the current Rep. can not show up / carry out actions required by the role)"

Maxim Alzoba: About rotation: I am not sure how the Chair is going to rotate if he/she choose to be the EC Adm. Rep. from GNSO

Lori Schulman: I think that the point of trust is good one

Maxim Alzoba: James asked for simple solution, not for extremly complex one

Maxim Alzoba: I think we need to add this as a side note - that the need was identified

Maxim Alzoba:yes - whitout words on who needs to design it or how it should be designed (it is outside of our role)

Lori Schulman:if we propose to go the scenario A route the we can note we considered the NCPH/CPH issue but recommend that it is not helpful here due to duties and need for clear, simple solution and trust in leadership

Julf Helsingius:i agree

Julf Helsingius:both, actually:)

Lori Schulman:world peace

Lori Schulman:sorry

Julf Helsingius:good luck!:9

Frederic Guillemaut:it might take some more meetings

Poncelet Ileleji:Should we have a time SChedule prior to communicating

Lori Schulman: I will be at the WSIS but will see if I can break away

Maxim Alzoba: few meetings, I think

Frederic Guillemaut:i'll be available

Lori Schulman: INTA is on 3 panels including ICANN panel

Marika Konings:Yes, it probably would

Marika Konings:staff could write up the recommendation in the form of a motion for the SSC's review?

Poncelet Ileleji:Okay that sounds good to me Marika the suggestion +1

Julf Helsingius:nothing from me

Frederic Guillemaut:good work.

Lori Schulman: Thanks for your great Leadership Susan

Lori Schulman: and Marika

Maxim Alzoba:bye all, need to drop Frederic Guillemaut:Thank you, bye all!

Lori Schulman:ciao

Osvaldo Novoa:Thank you and bye Julf Helsingius:Thank you everyone!

Poncelet Ileleji:Bye everyone