
IRP-IOT SUBGROUP MEETING

Tuesday, July 11, 2017 - 19:00 to 20:00

[This meeting is now being recorded]

>> DAVID McAULEY: Thanks very much. I would I just want to make comments and I don't want them to be off the record. In case people want to look back. It is July, it's hard to gather the group together and I recognize that. So I will come to the list with a comment about this call. We are going to have to wrap it up without having met a quorum. I want to thank Aubrey and Kavouss and those that look back on the record. I wanted to mention that we have a guest with us Anna Loup, who is here. Anna has been actively assisting with the CPE process. With the July 4th email, with CPE, we can discuss on the list and in the next call. Anna I asked to introduce yourself, but I may ask you to hold off until the next call when we have a quorum. But I wanted to welcome you to this call and say, thank you.

I wanted to thank Liz for two emails, one on the joinder issue and I will be applying to that on list and asking for further comments.

And second with respect to the process flow document that Liz and Sam sent forward with respect to SOs/ACs panel. We can't get substance because we can't get a quorum. I want to say, thanks.

This is the dog days of summer. We l continue with the rest of the scheduled for on July. But we need a quorum. I will do that with retroactivity. I will do that with the list on along with joinder comments. I encourage us to active on the list. We will move to first and second reading. I'll ask you to look at the sign-up sheet. There's been changes on it.

Note: The following is the output resulting from the RTT (Real-Time Transcription also known as CART) of a teleconference call and/or session conducted into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

And all of that said, I want to thank staff for being here. If anyone has a procedural comment, feel free to make it now.

Otherwise, not seeing there's a hand. Aubrey, if you have a comment.

>> Yes, this is Aubrey speaking. I have no objection to this meeting not going forward in this time slot. Right at the moment, I wanted to point out for future circumstances, that that rule has been a general guideline. And that I know, at least once, I moved ahead with meetings that were largely informational despite not having the quorum. Because the informational was there. And people could listen to the recordings.

I just wanted to mention that I don't believe the rule is absolute. So whether it's today or some future meeting, I do believe you have an option to ask the people whether they object to going further.

Obviously, no decisions are made, but it's still possible to do work in the future.

>> DAVID McAULEY: Aubrey, thank you for the comment, I welcome it. I don't think I'll do that in this meeting but on my next mail I'll welcome that and in future calls we will respect that plan as long as there's more than one or two people. That's a good plan. There's a record and people can look back and they can supplement the list. Thanks, awfully good comment. And I have not notified people about that on the list so we will wrap today's call. I want to say thanks to everyone on the staff. And thanks Anna for you being here. We will press forward and I'll get in touch separately. Thanks everyone. We can stop the recording and can say goodbye. Thanks.