
EVIN ERDOGDU: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone. Welcome to the LACRALO Governance Working Group call. Monday, 22nd May, 2017 from 18:00 UTC to 19:00 UTC. Today on the call we have with us Sergio Salinas Porto, Humberto Carrasco, Antonio Medina, and Dev Anand Teelucksingh. We have noted apologies from Aida Noblia, Alberto Soto, and Maritza Aguero.

With Staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Evin Erdogan. Our Spanish interpreters are Marina and Veronica, and I will also be assisting with call management today. A kind reminder for everyone to state your name, not only for transcription purposes, but also interpreters. And I will turn it over to you, Sergio. Please begin.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio, thank you all, this is Sergio Salinas Porto. Our agenda comprises a review of the action items. And after that, we will discuss the document presented by Dev Anand Teelucksingh. There is a contribution.

SILVIA VIVANCO: This is Silvia Vivanco. Sorry Sergio for this interruption, but I don't know how the other participants in the Spanish channel are listening to you, but our audio is very bad.

HUMBERTO CARRASCO: This is Humberto Carrasco. Yes, my audio is very bad.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia speaking. I don't know, Sergio, if we can dial you out or if you can improve the connection?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio, I will give you my mobile phone number, which I'll type in on the chat, so please call me.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia speaking, so it's a different number? I will therefore ask to call you, otherwise, he won't be able to be understood. So, please give us a couple minutes, and then wait for the calls, Sergio. Thanks.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSING: This is Dev. While Sergio is being reconnected, just a note that Bartlett Morgan also has sent his apologies, due to work matters, he was not able to attend this call. Just to note that. That's it.

HUMBERTO CARRASCO: This is Humberto Carrasco. Just a comment on my side. I do not have a backup battery so probably I will be disconnected. It is not what I intended. Unfortunately, I won't be able to stay longer, so I apologize beforehand.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio Salinas Porto, I'm connected again.

SILVIA VIVANCO: This is Silvia. Great, Sergio we can hear you very well, go ahead.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio. So as I was saying, I've just heard that Humberto is running out of batteries, and because of this reason, if he has any input, and if the participants agree, we can perhaps give him time for him to say his comments. Go ahead, Humberto. I think Humberto is gone. So, we will continue with the rest of the agenda as originally set up. First of all, let me congratulate you for happy Internet Day, which is May 17, in Argentina we will continue with the celebrations. So those of you in the call and have organized any actions or activities for the internet today, well, happy Internet Day.

Now, there was a document as we agreed in the previous call that Dev was going to present, triggering document, with some notions some perspectives that he had considered and he wanted to share it with you. The document was posted, it is shared by Evin on the chat, and it is available to all group members. So I'd like to see some contributions being made. I have made my own on some concepts that I had been discussing for some time, even in those notes, I have some questions about the order these sections should follow.

So perhaps we should agree on that. So please, Dev, I'd like to hear your thoughts about the document you have shared, so that we can bring some order into the view of the document. If you want to make any addition or comment on what you posted, that would be highly relevant. Go ahead, Dev.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you Sergio, this is Dev Anand speaking. So, what I did was I looked at the LACRALO Operating Principles and the LACRALO Operating Principles have nine principles in them. But as I noted on the previous call, one of the things I noted, and perhaps have made updating challenging, certain things are presented out of sequence. So what I tried to do in this document was to group the principles under general headings, and therefore, so that if you wanted to find all of the information based on a particular issue, the principles would be contained there.

And then we could then start looking at adding or modifying principles based on the information on the LACRALO mediation document which was done in January this year. So, just to walk through it, I don't know if Staff is able to post it in the Adobe Connect room, but the link is in the chat, so people can click onto it. So how I decided to put it was have 1, what will be Definitions, and that will be before any of the principles are numbered. You could realize, we went straight into seeing the LACRALOs composing of the ALSs by the ALAC and you know, for anybody new to ICANN, they're not going to understand what those acronyms mean.

So I think that what needs to happen is I did the definitions, we need to have a separate definition section to see what is ALS, what is ALAC, what is LACRALO, and so forth. And the definitions can either be at the front of the document or at the end of the document, I guess it's a matter of preference. I personally prefer it to be in the front. What I

then tried to do next is to group them to the various headings. So, the various headings that I chose was:

1. General
2. Purpose and Principles
3. Membership
4. Officers
5. Representatives.

So just to expand on what each of those sections were, the General is what overall key principle, what LACRALO is. And that's exactly as the original option 1.

2. Purpose and Principles, I tried to put all the principles that fall under this category. You know that LACRALO promotes the formation of user groups accredited by the ALAC, LACRALO will be multilingual from inception, General Assembly shall to the extent possible operate by consensus, General Assembly shall be entitled to set up task forces to deal with specific topics. I see it's up on the Adobe Connect now, and I hope it's unsynched for everybody else to look at it.

Under 2.3, I took part of 4 and part of 8. Because I thought that this part, you know, talked a little bit about elections, and I thought that, because this was talking about how the Assembly operates, I thought it should be in that 2.3.

Then in Membership, I described what the governing body of LACRALO is comprised of. Then under 4, I talk about the officers. So the officers are the President or the Chair, the LACRALO Chair, and the Secretariat, so those are the two officers of LACRALO. And then the fifth section representatives, we have things for the two ALAC persons that we select to be on the At-Large advisory committee. And in a sense, this is where we can add other categories of representation.

For example, the representative to the non-com, if you want to add those concepts in this document. And that's it, really. And what I also did was that I showed a mapping showing exactly, and again, just to emphasize, I have not changed the wording of any of the Operating Principles, I have simply reorganized them. And so there is a table here on page 3 of the PDF showing the existing principle and where it got moved in the reorganization, okay? So, that's it. And Sergio, I saw you submitted something in Spanish, which I haven't had a chance to read. But perhaps you can then take the floor to just say what you added to this, okay, or answer any questions. That's it.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

This is Sergio, yes, thank you Dev. If I may, I will explain what I have added. It's a proposal. Let's see, Vanda I think was the person responsible for the translation, so I took her translation, and on this basis, I worked. This is the original document that you sent, and let me tell you that I'm quite surprised, because we haven't talked about this, but there is consistency on our views how we track the same order. And that made me very happy.

So what I did was add things. And I have not just added things, but I introduced minor modifications relative to the order, the grammatical order of the sentences. The additions I made, I think that is the important thing, I have color coded. There is black, green and blue colors. The green are the proposals or contributions, the blue are questions of my own that I make out loud. Many of the things that we find in the original rules were not presented, because I think a rule should not be burdened with numbers or things that maybe should go to procedures.

However the mandates will be elected, the duration of each term for each of the officers, et cetera. In my view, those things that have a history should be reordered and introduced in the next document which we still have to produce, which are the Rules of Procedure. And keep here, just a general statement and definition of what in our view are the different topics in our region.

So even we may state that the duration will be two years, we can certainly not state that and just have a definition of what the Chair is, and his or rule or duties in the region. If we keep it this way, it might work much better. There is a lot to say about this, but if you agree, perhaps we can read this, the three of us, so that we can move forward. So my first question is, do we agree on having definitions and not including data here that could be reorganized or modified in the future, I mean, such as terms, duration of terms, and other aspects that are related to rules of procedure.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: This is Vanda, hi everyone. I apologize for connecting so late. I say yes, I agree with this. I think it is more appropriate rather than introducing things that could be subject to frequent changes, that should go the rules of procedure.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio. Okay, so we have Dev and Antonio's agreement, that could be a significant move forward, because we could develop the Rules of Procedure much faster, sorry, the Operating Principles.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: This is Vanda, I agree. This should be the base rule and below this high level rule, changes can be introduced if any need arises in the future.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio. Okay, I will give the floor now to Dev.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, thanks Sergio, this is Dev. Okay, so scrolling through some of the text here, I see that you have made certain proposals. Which I have to say was not really discussed at the LACRALO mediation talks in January. So for example, this item of having a Vice President, for example, is something that's completely new to me, and that's the first time this has ever been mentioned. So I would say that then perhaps what we need to do is create a new document outlining potential changes to this, and I guess I will have to get an English translation of what you have proposed in order for me to really comment effectively on these

changes. Because I noticed in some different things now, you have also changed the numbering, and so forth.

I would like to suggest that in order to make it easier for persons to make modifications or proposals, we look to, I would say try to keep the numbering the same. Because if everybody starts moving things around in their proposals, it's just going to get very confusing, and then we're going to lose track of the things. When I go through this and I make my suggestions, I will come up with a different numbering to what you, Sergio, are suggesting, and then whoever else is going to be making their contributions are going to come up with different numbering.

So I would really want to avoid that very much. I hope you understand what I'm getting at. So, I'm not rejecting the things outright, but I do want, I'll probably want to study this more, since I've only seen this now, today. But I do want to strongly suggest that we keep to the existing numbering if we agree of this as the baseline of the existing principles that we have not edited in any way, and then we can start look at modifying, okay, well, let's modify under 4, under 5 Representatives, add this, and so on. I hope I made myself clear.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio Salinas, yes, thank you Dev. Let's take gradual steps. I understand what you say and I agree with what you are saying, I'm not to argue with that. I tried to keep the numbering, but I faced the issue that whenever I wanted to add something, I realized that the numbering changed, so that's where the confusion might arise. So I think it's very well that it should be translated into English, so that we can have a

better discussion and do counter proposals. That's what the group has been created for.

What I have stated in the document are some ideas that we've been analyzing for some time in the past, and perhaps these are issues that could be discussed in a different setting, and this has to do with the creation of new positions for the region so that the group could have greater responsibilities. What I typically see in our region is that the persons who work the most, not always, but those who work the most are typically those that have a position, that hold a position, because of the responsibility they have.

And there are others that wait and rest on those individuals who are holding a position in the region to solve all problems. This is my personal view with this. I do not mean anything more than what I'm expressing. So based on this, I thought that if we had supportive structures, and I also remembered that in part the Los Angeles discussion had to do with policy. Some members said that we should start discussing policy within LACRALO.

So, in this context, it is in this context that I dared include some items relative to responsibilities within the region and individuals in charge of working groups. I am not going to give a name to them, because we do not know what the topics will be in the future. We do not know what will be discussed in ICANN, but it might be interesting if we anticipate the existence of those individuals with actual concrete responsibilities in our region.

So what I did was use the baseline, the document that you shared, and introduced some added items that reflect my thinking, my view of a region with a better articulation, a greater diversity, more responsibilities, but divided or distributed across several countries or several ALSs from different countries, so that we can have a broader view of the matters discussed in the region.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I see that now Antonio is asking what the functions of the Vice Chair would be. The one who proposed that, it was me, Antonio, the one proposing that. I suggest, if I may, to read the document, perhaps we can read it right now, or we can read it in English when all this is settled. I think both ways are okay. What we can do right now is to provide just some outline of this topic so that we can discuss it. Otherwise, we can wait for the document to be ready so that we can read the document and perhaps to create any contract proposal.

I would like to highlight this, because we need to know how to move forward. So now I would like to give you the floor for you to tell me what you would like to do. Perhaps we can move forward by reading the document and by explaining in general terms all the things that we have in the document, or else we can wait for the translation to be ready, and then we can arrange some other meeting to discuss the document. Dev, Antonio, Vanda, what do you think about this?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking, for me it's okay if you send me the document, I can do the translation, and that's it for me.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, okay, Vanda has already expressed her view. Dev, what do you think about this?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. So, I probably would want to just suggest an alternative here, because I'm seeing new concepts that were never brought up during the LACRALO mediation. And one of the things on the LACRALO mediation, one of the things we said at the onset of this was that we will not look to the past, we would not like to bring in anything other than what was brought in from the LACRALO mediation, from what was agreed upon, as we said, was the things in the LACRALO mediation, principles or guidelines that came about as a result of the January meeting.

So I am a bit hesitant to make changes to this whole deal. Especially because I will probably say that just thinking about it, just hearing it for the very first time, I do have some deep concerns with some of the proposals, Sergio, because the reason why is because you're making a suggestion of a series of alternates which from my perspective just adds to the bureaucracy of something which should be a bottom up community rather than appointing this person only to do it. And so I am a little bit concerned about seeing so much attention paid to adding more positions in this. That is my key concern.

But, what I will suggest, let me create another Google document, okay, and start mapping, the only challenge is I don't know how to map the proposals easily, but map your proposals – so, I have the baseline of the

reorganized principles, your proposals, I'll probably do it as a table, and then other persons can make their proposals, as well. Because I was thinking more focus on what the mediation document talked about and what this group talked about, which was two things. We talked about two things in the LACRALO to date. We had a discussion on conflicts of interest and how do you solve conflicts of interest, right? And then we talked about how we want diversity and it's leadership and representation.

And those were really the only two things we ever talked about from the LACRALO mediation document. We haven't had a chance to talk about anything else. So, I guess, well, and perhaps Staff can help me, create another Google doc, and then put your proposals in the table, and then other persons can then add their proposals. Do you agree with that? Like I said, I am very concerned about having multiple proposals come in, and then we try to fit them all in.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Thank you very much Dev. I'm open to any proposal that you might suggest, as long as we can move forward. I believe that in the Los Angeles meeting not all topics were addressed, and I think that this is the place to address those topics, and to finish with those topics. Perhaps we may be successful in some cases, and we may not be successful in some other cases. Of course we need to take into account the point of view of others, but of course, we need not leave open spaces or blank spaces in the mechanism of work.

What we can do is the one that you are proposing, I think it's okay, I mean, we can create a new document and I understood and that's why I dared create a document, because I understood that each of us in this working group might be able to move forward, based on what you wrote and what you proposed. That is my idea. The idea is that each of us may provide their input by adding, changing, or deleting things, so that we can achieve one single document with the consensus of all of us.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Sergio, this is Vanda speaking, may I have the floor?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, yes, go ahead.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking. Sergio, I was thinking about this, how long can we take as per Dev's suggestion. How much time would we have to filling the table? Because we need to take into account the term that we have, the deadline that we have to finish our objection. I mean, I see no problem with adding the proposal within this format within the mediation, but I see no issue with adding a table with some columns to provide input and suggestions.

We may have for example the input by the staff, and then we have their suggestions, and then we may add some other column with an open possibility so that anybody can add their suggestions. But I believe that we need to assign a two week period time to this task. So next Monday,

or within 15 days, or 20 days, I believe that we need to close this issue. We need to finish with this task. Because otherwise we won't be able to finish by the Johannesburg meeting.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you Vanda. Have you finished with your comment?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking. Yes, I have.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Okay, thank you Vanda. I will reply to you and to your question. I do agree with you. We will have to speed up with this and once again, I see Dev with his hand up, and I will give him the floor shortly. But before that, I think it is important that we fully understand that this document should contain all the consensus, proposals that have been made. And secondly, we can discuss and agree upon proposals for improving the organic work of LACRALO. That is part of our work. That is part of the proposals of this working group.

And thirdly, we need to be more proactive in our mailing list. We are not working by email, we are not active in the mailing list, so that is important. If we are only waiting for the call to take place, well, that is that we are in the wrong path. And finally, I think that Dev had suggested creating a proposal with a table so we can work based on Dev's proposal by adding columns, we can also add some other things, for example, that may important or restrict or change the functions or

the concepts in the document provided by Dev. If that is okay with you, I think it's okay, I think that might work. Dev, you have the floor, go ahead please.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking, Sergio, sorry for interrupting you. But, can you please let me know how to access the AC room?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I see that you are in the AC room right now, Vanda.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking, okay, thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Okay, Dev, now you go ahead please.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you, this is Dev speaking. I am going to sound a note of caution here, that we look again to the LACRALO mediation document and look to establish what the principles that were agreed upon there collectively by the group at the Los Angeles meeting. We look to implement those things. And I would be very, very hesitant to try to include something that was not discussed and agreed upon at the Los Angeles meeting. Because, and sorry, I probably would want to suggest something else. You need to tie whatever changes you wish to what was established in the LACRALO mediation document.

So, for example, there was one thing that was mentioned, conflict of interest. You know, how do we want to address conflict of interest? So perhaps the way to do it, instead of trying to do a table, because I'm just seeing it's going to complex, Sergio, by introducing new text, and then you're going to have, you know, we're not going to finish in time. I think that's my biggest fear, because we kind of retrograde are starting back all over again. Perhaps what we can suggest is that maybe we could look at the LACRALO mediation document and look to establish, okay, for this principle, I want to suggest the following text, under this heading, under Elections, some diversity principles, under representatives, for example – I'm just throwing this out as an example, I don't have the mediation document in front of me.

Conflict of interest, we have that under General Purpose and Principles. We introduce new text there. I think that's probably the better way to go forward. Because thinking more and more about it, and I'm hearing Vanda's comments about the time we have, we really don't have much time. Johannesburg is coming up next month, and so there's the work we have to do towards that, and then the anticipated idea of trying to complete this in time for early August, okay? And I mean, how shall I put it, during the week of the ICANN Johannesburg meeting, we're not, those persons involved in the ICANN face to face meetings aren't going to have time to look at this or make changes or anything like that.

So we're going to lose a few weeks at a time there. So we really don't have much time. So perhaps I would really want to suggest going to back to the LACRALO mediation document. We could probably create a Google doc or do it on the Wiki, look at the previous principles and paragraphs that were agreed upon in Los Angeles, and then have the

different proposals. So, in other words, we don't get hung up on the numbering and all that stuff. We just agree on, okay, this is how we're going to treat principle, we have consensus on that, then we add it into the numbering and so forth, afterwards. I hope I make myself clear. Thanks.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you very much, Dev. Okay, perhaps you misunderstood what I said. What I meant is this. First, we need to take into account the mediation document, but in the mediation document, not everything that we should discuss is included. So we might be making legislation or deciding upon something, but that will not be useful, because there are elements that are missing. And in Los Angeles, not everybody was present. I think there were some people, a group of people in Los Angeles that we had certain impressions or feelings regarding the issues taking place in LACRALO.

So, my suggestion is that we go deeper into the debate. We do have time to add things. Of course we will not be discussing the things that have been agreed on in the Los Angeles document, the only thing we have to do with that is to put that into a document. The serious thing here, and I mean when I say serious, this is not bad, but because it will take us longer, is to see something, some issues that we need to work on to start working within LACRALO. Everything that was agreed upon in Los Angeles should be there, nothing will be missing, and we have to put that onto paper, into a document. So perhaps we need to revise certain issues that were not discussed there or were not in the document there.

But on the other hand, I do believe that we need to take into account the operating principles that should be useful for the region. Because otherwise the only thing we would be doing is just translating, 1, 2, or 3 items of the document that we had agreed upon, and that's all, and I believe that we need to move forward with that, we need to go deeper into the discussions and to create a document for LACRALO to start working at once. And not just to have the agreement of a group of people, but we need LACRALO to start working at once. Thank you. And Dev, you have the floor, go ahead please.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you Sergio. The thing is regarding the LACRALO mediation document, it was an intense effort and outcomes of it was circulated to everyone in LACRALO. So, I mean, unless somebody is going to come out explicitly saying I disagree with the mediation document, or whatever is constrained within, then maybe we need to have, I guess, further discussions then, to really, obviously, to try to come to consensus on it.

But I will suggest, I will strongly suggest we focus on the mediation principles because again, I am looking at this from a time perspective, from a work perspective, and introducing new concepts which may not have the consensus of everyone in LACRALO, because these are new concepts being brought in, that was not discussed in Los Angeles. So, I would say focus on the mediation document, getting those core agreements, core principles, the concept of that all worked out, and then if we want to introduce after that, if we wanted then to introduce

new things into this, new concepts, or new ways of doing things, then you could propose that, after.

But I will strongly suggest we just focus on the mediation document and not look to add anything new outside of those mediation principles that were mentioned. So we keep our modifications just to that. Because if it were to go back, just to conclude, if we were to go back to what we said several years ago, you know, we've just got to go back – let me finish quickly...

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

I think we have to move forward. In the mediation document we must have everything and the operating principles. But there are things that are essential for LACRALO to operate or not in them, that were not included, not for lack of discussion but for lack of time. So the document that is going to be the outcome of this group will not be the document to be adopted. This group will only reach consensus to submit something to LACRALO which eventually, if needed, could make additional proposals.

So it's not that we're going to close this. We will be either systematized, or coming to terms on sensitive issues, and making a step forward in the debate. I don't think anyone would be against systematization and work within the region. So in principle, what I suggest is that we read it, we discuss it, we take into consideration different things, and note that all the items of consensus of Los Angeles are included, definitely included. If we produce a proposal that is a higher level than Los Angeles, that wouldn't be a problem. Avanda, you have the floor.

VANDA SCARTEZINI:

I agree, Vanda speaking. I agree this is the solution. Because we should propose what we, the group, has agreed upon. This is a proposal complete that is afterwards going to be submitted to general discussion. The suggestion is to manifest or to include in the Operating Principles the items that are for instance in yellow, making it clear that they have been agreed in Los Angeles. So make it clear that they are the ones that were agreed on in Los Angeles.

What I have done, I have added the Los Angeles items in the existing Operating Principles, and have organized. If there is anything missing, we can check it against Los Angeles and include what is missing, but I do not think so. I think that the main items are included. Other items that were not discussed in Los Angeles, but were included in our existing Operating Principles, we are going to keep them, because these existing Operating Principles have been approved.

Now, what we can do is new proposals in addition to the document. But I think Dev is right. We should not include them so as to create confusion. I think a table proposal is the most correct approach. So this is the consensus already reached. What the group proposes additional should go in another column, and this is to be discussed, what we're going to add there.

We are going to discuss what is in this third column, the existing principles and what was agreed in Los Angeles, and then approved by everyone, and this is already approved. We are not going to subject them to discussion or open up for discussion. What we are going to

open up for discussion is another column that is going to be an internal discussion for further LACRALO consensus. That is my proposal.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you Vanda. I agree with what you are stating. And now I ask, I'm going to take the initial document, the existing rules, second, I will add the Los Angeles agreement to that, it's necessary, if there is any contradiction or discrepancy with the existing rules, I will highlight it, and then third, in addition, a third item with proposal of consensus, on areas where we can move forward in the region. So if we follow this system, we will have the work done up to Los Angeles where we have brought order to the regulation and in addition for better function. Do you agree, Vanda?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking. Yes, that's my proposal.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I agree with Vanda's proposal. Does anyone disagree on this method of work? Antonio? Dev?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I do have a little concern about it. Let me raise my hand.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Go ahead, Dev.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So, Sergio, just to confirm, I am not doing the Google doc anymore. You're going to do the Google doc? That is going to having the column with the existing organized principles and the equivalent LACRALO mediation principles that was stated in that document, because I'm getting confused as to who is producing what.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Dev, I'm going to answer. What Vanda has just said is that the work that you have performed, which is good, which is an improvement, a systematization of our rules of procedure, that is good. To that document, we should add if there is any point that is missing from the Los Angeles work. Any missing point. What you did was to systematize our existing regulation. You changed the order and you improved that with an actual concrete order of how our rules should go. To that, you added the Los Angeles items. There may be some missing.

Actually, I have not read it because of time, I will do a re-reading. That is the first stage of work. So that first stage of work, the entire region has agreed, because it has been approved in the Los Angeles meeting. There is a second stage that should come out of this working group which we should work on, your voice here is extremely important how you view this. I have already expressed my view, I have already said what it means, what has to be changed, but that requires reading.

Based on that, after we have reworked from this group, we should have an outcome which is a document bringing additional content to be further later discussed by the region. So that is the mechanism, that is

to map the document and there is an annex with proposals from this group to check the region's views. If the region reaches consensus on this added proposal, we will have a document that is much better than the Los Angeles. If that is not the case, the worst case is that we will only have the Los Angeles document with missing aspects. Have I been clear?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. This is Dev. Okay, Sergio, okay, I think I understand better what you're trying to say, and okay, I will try to do the Google doc and bring in those principles, and maybe I'll ask Staff to help me a little bit with the coloring and so forth. And then we'll show, okay, here's our existing reorganized principles, here's our LACRALO mediation principles, how are we going to implement this? Okay? Alright. I will try to do that on Wednesday, hopefully Wednesday, but if not, Thursday. Alright.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, thank you Dev, yes, that's it. Your document we are going to check that none of the Los Angeles items are missing. The system approach is good, so we must check that everything is okay so that there is nothing hidden below the carpet. And once we have that done, from now to the 30th, we have some time for discussion, we should discuss on what areas we want to move forward, and include in this document that will be an annex to the Los Angeles document, that will include the areas where we want to move forward.

Perhaps there will be consensus on only one of these aspects, well, that will be presented to the region, and the region will vote for or against

our so working proposal. So, we move forward relative to the Los Angeles document, we make an enhanced proposal to our colleagues, and all the organizations will have an opinion on our project. If you have understood my suggestion, please say agree, so that we can move forward. Antonio, you have a question. Antonio?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Vanda speaking. Apparently he is not in.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Perhaps he is not being heard.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia speaking. Perhaps your mic is off?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. His question on the chat is will the entire document be approved at the whole or each item individually? Well, actually I do not know.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: This is for us to decide.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I think we will be discussing each item individually, and maybe someone saying something and another person has a different or better approach, so we have to work based on consensus,

rather than voting or adoption. We should be convinced that it is the best. Silvia, you have the floor, and we're about to conclude.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Silvia speaking. Thank you Sergio. This is for the end of your work. Once you have completed the analysis of each item, I think that in order to take this to the entire community, appropriate system would be a webinar, where several presenters, you, the members of the working group, present perhaps through Power Point on a webinar, the different sections and you present your work to the entire region in a clear easy to understand manner. We have 50 ALSs, so it is quite a high number, so that is my suggestion for the end of this process.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, Silvia, very good idea, and I think we should follow this way. It's 8 minutes past the ending time, a long extension. I think our meetings which are set every 15 days, I think we should work online on the mailing list and encourage our colleagues to give their opinions, so this group does not have significant participation. So we should reach consensus as much as possible with as many voices heard as possible. So based on the progress that could be made by Dev, my own contributions, our next meeting we will confirm to you after next Wednesday. I think we will need another meeting.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

This is Silvia Vivanco, I think you will need it, because the calendar shows that there isn't much time left, so as soon as you can, please let

me know so that we can get it organized. Perhaps it could be next week, the next meeting, the week of the 29th. And then another one, so, before Johannesburg, this idea is kept alive.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. A question, next Monday is the 29th. Do you agree, so that we can have it decided right away, to have another call on the 29th? Please say 'agree' a green check if you agree, on the left. Vanda agrees, Dev apparently is not available. Okay, Dev, what do you think we should do, quickly so we can close.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev speaking. There is most likely going to be an LACRALO outreach and engagement call happening on Monday. So that's probably the reason why I'm hesitating with trying to do two calls on the same day. I also just think, you know, having a call on the 29th would be very had.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: And if we move the hour, would it be too hard on you?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. My only concern is whether we can get everything done in time for the 29th. That would be my concern. But, alright, I'll tell you what, organize for the 29th, and I will try to reorganize the outreach and engagement call at a different hour. Okay? So go ahead and organize for the 29th then, and I'll just accommodate as usual. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. At what time, Dev, at the same time or at 23:00 UTC?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I have to have discussion with the Chair and Secretariat, and then also with staff also, to find out what would be the appropriate time. So, I plan to have that discussion today and tomorrow with staff, and then the announcement can go out hopefully Tuesday afternoon, if there is agreement with Humberto and Maritza.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Okay, I will close this call then, so please, Silvia, follow what Dev will say about the time of the next call. It is very important for Dev to be available for this call. I will be flexible on Monday. I will be teaching in the morning, but the rest of the day I will make myself available for Dev to say at what time. So, greetings to all, and again, happy Internet Day.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks again to the interpreters. Take care all.

EVIN ERDOGDU: Thank you all, this call is now adjourned. Please, a reminder to disconnect your lines when leaving the AC room and the bridge. Thank you all for your participation and have a wonderful rest of your day.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]
