

New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP WG Face-to-Face Meeting at ICANN59, 27 June 2017

Emily Barabas: (6/27/2017 08:38) Hello, my name is Emily Barabas and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are “in-room” participants. Please note that I will only be able to read your comment/question within the time set by the Chair of this session The chat rooms are the virtual meetings’ for everyone, in-room and remote. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please start with a <QUESTION> and end with a “</QUESTION>”. Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of “chat” and will not be read out loud on the mic

Jean Paul: (08:39) Thank you Emily

Jeff Neuman: (08:40) Hello everyone

Jean Paul: (08:41) Hello all, I am following from Burundi

SEAN: (08:41) <QUESTION> hi, where can i find the agenda slides? “</QUESTION>”.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (08:41) good morning all

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (08:42) Hello Jean Paul. Good to know you are in the session. Hello Matthias as well.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (08:44) apologies for only being here in AC ATM be with you as soon as this EC meeting ends

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (08:46) Thanks Cheryl. I am remote. No budget for Johannesburg.

Steve Chan: (08:47) Sean, slides can be found

here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_pwffAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_lLwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0l0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=bF9-koAP-_LJB-x7BAclKuUO3B9eKYzpjO38Crj1RZU&e=

Jean Paul: (08:47) I am a kind of newbie about the ICANN PDP, I hope I will understand the discussed topic. I just have some knowledge about the PDP in AFRINIC

Emily Barabas: (08:48) Jean Paul, these sessions are intended to be interactive. Please feel free to ask questions. We are glad you are here!

SEAN: (08:48) thanks, Steve.

Jean Paul: (08:49) Thank you Emily

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (08:49) Hi Emily. Can you also list the protocol for COMMENT from the chat for remote participants?

Emily Barabas: (08:50) Hi Anne, here is the note I posted at the beginning of the session: Hello, my name is Emily Barabas and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are “in-room” participants. Please note that I will only be able to read your comment/question within the time set by the Chair of this session The chat rooms are the virtual meetings’ for everyone, in-room and remote. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please

start with a <QUESTION> and end with a "</QUESTION>". Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic

Emily Barabas: (08:51) This also applies to comments

Emily Barabas: (08:51) Please feel free to type comments -- please start with a <COMMENT> and end with a "</COMMENT>

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (08:51) Thanks Emily - that is what I thought was not stated.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (08:57) lost audio

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (08:57) Audio signal is lost

SEAN: (08:57) lost audio, too.

Michael R. Graham: (08:57) Just lost audio.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (08:57) lost here, too

Emily Barabas: (08:57) Checking with technical team

Marcus J.: (08:57) Here too

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (08:57) and here too

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (08:58) back

Michael R. Graham: (08:58) Back -- Thanks

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (08:58) si!

Marcus J.: (08:58) back again

Jean Paul: (08:58) Audio on again

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (08:58) ack

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (08:59) it was suggested earlier to use also the audio-only stream parallel to the video

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (08:59) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_stream.icann.org-3A8000_jnb59-2Dballroom2-2Den.m3u&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQOI0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=2_ytquwEXdTCvrgTwHSdzGz8yBzFfw7NIPPR-CQ7pJI&e=

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:03) lost audio again

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:03) the audio stream is working well

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:04) back

Steve Chan: (09:09) The report Jeff mentioned is the Continuous Data-Driven Analysis of Root Server System Stability (CDAR) and available

here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D2017-2D03-2D08-2Den&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQOI0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=TfJeEhHOaZPQEAwAs7BoVTZF_aeCdXWvsQ44Dw6_Cq4&e=

Donna Austin, RySG: (09:09) @Jonathan: I think the Board is going to consider the CDAR report some time this month.

Nathalie Peregrine: (09:09) @ all, some of you may be experiencing AC audio drops. Apologies for this, there have been a few brief network drops which impact the AC audio connections. If this is disruptive, please follow the audio

stream: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_stream.icann.org-3A8000_jnb59-2Dballroom2-2Den.m3u&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=2_ytquwEXdTCvrgTwHSdzGz8yBzFfw7NIPPR-CQ7pJl&e= or dial into the audio bridge, passcode NEW GTLDS 1and dial in numbers can be found on the last tab here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_aSTwAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=yt8YxU2LT18sngP6cvbFB8aNqwndRhWQbdMxgeJEKC4&e=

Jean Paul: (09:13) I didn't catch well : what is the limit they are talking about ?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:13) 1000 new gldt per year into the root

Jean Paul: (09:14) Thank you Mathias

Jean Paul: (09:14) But why that limit ?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:14) in 2012 we ddnt exactly why - that is the reason ;)

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:15) *know why

Paul McGrady: (09:15) Would be nice to know if there is any way to determine whether or not there is "pent up interest" since so many decisions will turn on that.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:16) Good point Paul. The PDP WG could at the very least survey the SOs and ACs as to anticipated demand.

Steve DelBianco: (09:18) @Paul and Anne -- do yourmember the debate we had over whether to ask for "Expression of Interest" prior to the 2012 round? Quite a lot of disucssion then. Revealed that an EOI did not have benefits to justify its costs.

Jothan Frakes: (09:18) @steve I reacall the Eoi :)

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:19) formal EOI not necessary

Jean Paul: (09:20) So, the 1000 limit per year is not only a technical issue, but also an administrative one

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:20) its more "just" policy

Paul McGrady: (09:21) @Steve- yes, I remember the EOI. I actually disagreed with that outcome at the time (could dig up the section of my book on it).

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:21) So far there is no record of processing 1000 TLDs per year. How many contracts signed from 2012 round over last five years?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:22) the delegation dates are shown here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_program-2Dstatus_delegated-2Dstrings&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=-W0ksmlte961H-QjH3uPyXV4RK47IM5_6KrOgV1keqI&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:25) Ad I am in a session using Interpretation do o ears in here atm, if you need me to respond for the next hour ping me here or in Squandered I will type

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:27) ,COMMENT If it's first come first served from the beginning of the next round, the application system will crash. COMMENT

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:30) sorry ðŹŹŹŹ didn't note the gibberish in my above, should read no ears in here, and or in Skype and I will type..

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:30) lost audio

Donna Austin, RySG: (09:30) Did the CCT have any information regarding demand?

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (09:30) audio lost again

Eleeza Agopian: (09:37) @Donna, the CCT Review Team didn't really examine the question of demand in an explicit fashion. They do have a recommendation regarding greater outreach to underserved regions, given the paucity of applications from those parts of the world.

Donna Austin, RySG: (09:37) Thanks Eleeza

Eleeza Agopian: (09:37) Of course

Steve DelBianco: (09:42) The BC (Business Constituency) submitted extensive comments about Applicant Support in our questionnaire response,

at https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_www.bizconst.org_assets_docs_positions-2Dstatements_2017_2017-5F05May-5F22-2520BC-2520reply-2520to-2520questionnaire-2520on-2520new-2520gTLD-2520Subsequent-2520Procedures.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_lLwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQOI0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=hsOTZbFqwHuXHxZBs_neReYPgicjxhL3lf8QNm_v9GHo&e=

Sara Bockey: (09:42) Thank you Steve

Marilia Maciel: (09:44) <question> why not create a roster of potential applicants from underserved regions that receive support and mentoring to eventually apply for a gTLD? support in the midst of the application process seems to pose challenges for applicants. these challenges could have been resolved before if applicants had a programme to bring them up to applicants to compete. <question>

Marilia Maciel: (09:46) roster support would include technical aspects which are very important indeed as Michael said.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (09:47) <question> in subpart (d), does "evaluations" refer to evaluations for support or evaluations of TLD application?

Trang Nguyen: (09:48) From an implementation perspective, ICANN org would need clarification on these principles, such as what is meant by coaching and mentoring in (a)? Does this mean coaching and mentoring during the application process, or after execution of the RA?

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (09:49) audio?

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB: (09:49) sound gone again

Marilia Maciel: (09:49) no sound

Paul Foody: (09:49) I keep losing sound in Canada too.

Nathalie Peregrine: (09:49) Hi all, if audio goes in the AC room, you have the audio stream urls in the Streaming Audio pod to the right of this chat pod

Phil Buckingham: (09:50) so how much, who should fund the "publicity programme"

Paul Foody: (09:50) And its pretty quiet. I have my volume set to max and its still hard to hear.

Amr Elsad: (09:50) Remote participant comments being read in the room now. Is audio still down?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:50) no

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB: (09:50) on and off

Jean Paul: (09:51) Audio is on now

Paul Foody: (09:51) Its back but still iffy.

Marilia Maciel: (09:51) audio back now amr

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (09:51) sorry. didn't mean for that to be read out. was hoping that someone else in chat would have the answer.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (09:51) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_stream.icann.org-3A8000_jnb59-2Dballroom2-2Den.m3u&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0l0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=2_ytquwEXdTCvrgTwHSdzGz8yBzFfw7NIPPR-CQ7pJI&e= <-- its stable and quality is better

Nathalie Peregrine: (09:51) All audio bridge connection details for ICANN59 GNSO open sessions can be found here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_aSTwAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0l0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=yt8YxU2LT18sngP6cvbFB8aNgwndRhWQbdMxgeJEK4&e=

Jonathan Robinson: (09:52) @Donna. Good point on a business plan for the lifecycle of any supported TLD.

Jim Prendergast: (09:53) suggestion on C - Buying ads on the back page of the Economist is not the way to go..With all the resources ICANN puts into outreach through GSE and Engagement offices, that would be the first step. Ill think of some more over time.

bruna santos: (09:53) Comment: Regarding the application process, granting support for applicants from developing countries, whether it is financial or not, is key given the fact that it increases global diversity and reduces the disadvantages that may keep applicants from these regions from participating in the New gTLD Program. We believe that either a better advertisement of the existence of the Applicant Support Program to these countries or the implementation of an exclusive round for applicants from developing countries would raise awareness and eventually result in increasing of the number of new gTLDs applications. Another suggestion would be to announce either via a webinar or an open call for an application tutoring process prior to the submission dates

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (09:53) @Jim: Good idea. w/r/t GSE. One option is to give GSE applicant outreach targets as a KPI.

bruna santos: (09:54) an excerpt from the NCSG input to this work track on the cc2

Jeff Neuman: (09:54) Here are the organizations listed that asked for support and those organizations offering support: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_applicants_candidate-2Dsupport_non-2Dfinancial-2Dsupport-23organizations-2Doffering-2Dsupport&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0l0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=iXpGuXr5lyzaaWofPbHcfffKgjaa6HjCzraoyqpwI&e=

Donna Austin, RySG: (09:56) I note that my suggestion regarding long term sustainability as a principle is captured under b) ... be focussed on making the registry self sufficient.

Jonathan Robinson: (09:58) Item b could be extended to say "self-sufficient and sustainable" i.e. to ensure that the longer term is captured

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (09:58) someone is whispering into a mic ;)

Jeff Neuman: (09:59) RSP = Registry Service Provider for those not familiar with the the Abbreviation

Jeff Neuman: (09:59) Sorry

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:06) QUESTION: can someone explain bullet 5 in more detail? It is about "types" and services to provide. Does that mean that, in the future, an RSP is allowed to serve a special set of services for a special type of TLDs? For example: Have a brand TLD-only RSP to implement the TMCH related extensions and phases like sunrise and claims?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (10:07) RSP = tech part of the registry services (backend)

Jothan Frakes: (10:09) @jim it was 30 b as the horizon of the non-public answers

Jim Prendergast: (10:10) thanks Jothan

Jeff Neuman: (10:11) EBERO means Emergency Back End Registry Operator

Jeff Neuman: (10:11) We will remind everyone after the break to not use as many abbreviations :)

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (10:12) EBERO works when TLD dies , was tested on currently terminated .doosan

Michael Flemming: (10:13) It was tested twice I believe

Sarah L Verisign: (10:14) @Jeff Neuman - apologies for using the abbreviations and thank you for the reminder :-)

Rubens Kuhl: (10:14) Serving Brand TLDs means you don't have to deal with the transaction burst of a launch phase. It's different.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:15) yes - but you must CAN do all the stuff

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (10:16) small clarification: the facts of "EBERO thresholds breaches" were situations where ICANN decided not to enforce the contract provisions of termination and have nothing to do with the rest of the Registres.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (10:16) That was a key question by Matthias

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:17) But I guess that will be another can of worms

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (10:18) My understanding is that any RSP has to be able to provide all basic new gTLD services/phases (maybe apart from variant handling of IDNs)

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:18) yes - so it is now

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:19) But the question is should we implement categories of TLDs on the RSP side so that a RSP is allowed to not implement stuff they wouldnt never use?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:20) tmch is a good example there

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:21) as well as wildcard DNS Records ..

Paul Foody: (10:22) Technical question Emily: Are the Audio recordings available in downloadable mp3 format? So far I'm only able to listen to Audio recordings when I have an internet connection. If not, will downloadable files be available later?

Emily Barabas: (10:27) The session will resume shortly

Emily Barabas: (10:28) @Paul, mp3 recordings will be available and posted on the schedule page

Emily Barabas: (10:28) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_schedule.icann.org_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=

adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=KkMPEaduMYE6MRIXi9R7RQ-bU8mGMfV3CcgiQM8VGBI&e=

Emily Barabas: (10:31) The session is now resuming

Paul Foody: (10:33) Thanks Emily. 2nd Question, Is there an Audio Bridge number for Canada? There isn't one listed on https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_gnsocouncilmeetings_ICANN59-2BGNSO-2BRemote-2BParticipation-2BDetails-2BOpen-2BAnd-2BPublic-2BMeetings-23Headings-2D1075543471&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=u1QyvFQ-QsCtdrlbbU9mWSPAESscE943Cfgh1lMoq_l&e= (Or should I just use the US number?)

Phil Buckingham: (10:34) Unfortunately I've got to drop. @ Emily when will the mp3 be available

Emily Barabas: (10:35) @phil, usually within 24 hours

Emily Barabas: (10:36) @paul, one moment, checking.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (10:37) QUESTION Standing GAC Advice is that closed generics should be operated in the public interest. Should we really be talking about the public interest rather than Closed Generics or no Closed Generics? QUESTION

Paul Foody: (10:39) <Question> Could you please clarify, does "Generics" refer to every TLD or just TLDs using Generic terms? ie .Book etc vs .Microsoft, .Google <Question>

Michael Flemming: (10:40) generic terms

Jeff Neuman: (10:40) @Paul -We are referring to "dictionary" type terms

Volker Greimann: (10:40) Paul: .book would be a generic term, .microsoft would not.

Volker Greimann: (10:40) .xerox might

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (10:41) Well microsoft has .office

Emily Barabas: (10:41) @ paul, please use the US toll free number

Paul Foody: (10:41) Thanks Volker. But does Generic apply to every word or any words in the English language?

Volker Greimann: (10:41) good question.

Volker Greimann: (10:42) .kinder has been allowed as a .brand even though it means children in German

Jeff Neuman: (10:42) Generic would apply in any language so long as it meets the definition

Volker Greimann: (10:42) maybe it slipped through?

Jeff Neuman: (10:42) Wolker, .kinder is a brand and being used in the brand way

Volker Greimann: (10:42) it is still a generic term that is closed, correct?

Jeff Neuman: (10:42) If .kinder were being used in its generic sense that could be an issue. But it is not

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:42) mhm.. "googeln" is part of the german duden .. so is google now an generic term?

Jeff Neuman: (10:43) it does not meet the definition of generic, no

Jeff Neuman: (10:43) Like apple for Apple Computers

Jeff Neuman: (10:43) or United for United Airlines

Karen Day: (10:43) +1 Jordyn

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (10:43) A lot of closed generics are not active. It does seem a waste of good generics.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (10:43) Also there can be language issues that a brand term in one language is a "generic" in another language...

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:44) Jeff: i would say that there is just not enough public awareness

Susan Payne: (10:44) @Volker not generic at all. It is a brand for chocolate. Kinder does not mean chocolate

Volker Greimann: (10:45) the company sells chocolates to children, how is that not referring to the generic term?

Jothan Frakes: (10:45) @susan and Hershey Pennsylvania, if they wanted a geo?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:45) Kinder means "children"

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (10:46) @Jothan or Waterford for Waterford Crystal before it imploded.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (10:46) As with .food, the way the prohibition is enforced is whether the trademark used to close the TLD relates to the term.

Paul Foody: (10:46) <Question> If closed Generics are allowed, what would happen if I entered a Generic address into a browser address line - Would it be treated as an instruction to take me to the Generic webpage or would it be treated as a search for that Generic term?

<Question>

Jordyn A Buchanan: (10:46) So if "Kinder" means children, unless they are selling children they'd be allowed to close it.

Jothan Frakes: (10:46) I think we have a lot of evidence from the past round where we find overlapping words

Volker Greimann: (10:46) that would be a very definition, Jordyn ;-)

Anne Aikman-Scaless - IPC: (10:46) lost audio

Volker Greimann: (10:46) very narrow, that is

Paul Foody: (10:47) Lost sound just as Jeff was answering my question.

Michael Flemming: (10:47) The Public Interest is not specific to a certain set of individuals, however.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (10:47) interesting .. I wonder if .generic is going to be applied for

Jordyn A Buchanan: (10:47) Well, that's why Food Network is allowed to close .food

Rubens Kuhl: (10:47) .gtld

Jordyn A Buchanan: (10:47) Because the trademark is on television not on food.

Michael Flemming: (10:47) There are multiple interpretations of who the public interest is.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (10:47) audio is lost

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:47) Jordyn: they don't sell children's stuff

Rubens Kuhl: (10:48) Everything I don't like: against public interest. Everything I do like: in public interest.

Volker Greimann: (10:48) just products specifically targeted at children

Nathalie Peregrine: (10:48) Please use the audio stream URLs in the pod to the right of this chat pod, when the AC audio drops.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (10:48) actually there was an issue of Spa City vs. .SPA, resolved via donation methods

Jeff Neuman: (10:49) Volker- your issue is much broader than domains, but is really fundamental to the concept of trademark law and well beyond our scope

Manmeet Pal Singh 2: (10:49) since generic domain names are subject to UDRP and legal proceedings, this is definitely worth addressing as we prepare ourselves for next round IMO.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (10:50) ICANN seems to have forgotten that it is end users, rather than registries that innovate most.

Jeff Neuman: (10:50) @john - playing devil's advocate - that is only because of the maintenance of the legacy model. That is not the way it has to be in the future

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:51) closed generic is still an very open issue and noone really wants to solve it

Jeff Neuman: (10:51) @Matthias - can you please explain your comment?

Rubens Kuhl: (10:51) We don't have the option of not solving it.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (10:52) @Jeff Yes but there are some innovative uses of domain names in new gTLDs that were not traditional/legacy ideas. The market has to find a use for a TLD or the TLD will not survive.

Paul Foody: (10:52) With closed generics, every German doing any search involving kids, ends up buying them Chocolate. Brilliant !

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (10:53) Jeff: its strongly depend of your own position: Trademark vs. End user...some partys, expecially brands are fine with the current (unsolved) status

gTLD.club: (10:53) John: which innovative uses of domains in new gTLDs?

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (10:54) @gTLD.club Some of the .club regs, Jean. :) Also the .photography gTLD and a few others.

Rubens Kuhl: (10:55) While not getting into details, I can mention that there is one possible innovative application that my employer won't apply to if closed generics are not allowed. Other people might have other ideas in the same lines, and none will come forward with those ideas, being trade secrets.

Rubens Kuhl: (10:56) This is an open topic, since the board gave GNSO a mandate to decide on the fate of the 2012-round closed generics.

Paul Foody: (10:59) Is https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_michele.blog_d&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=adDlsOWEx_lLwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRH05ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0l0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=DYGxfzYfUC_fft6d5aFEqz8Yp9qsHESylmc9ptckLY&e= not "skin in the game"?

Volker Greimann: (11:00) or they are looking at locking up the marketplace and dominate the competition with their exclusive access to their competitors

Michael Flemming: (11:00) My cochair hat off: the registrant in a Closed Generic model would be the registry and affiliates, likely. We cannot say that in the wake of innovation, only the open ended registrants are the ones to innovate.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:00) <question>How many closed generics are dead on arrival or never even launched? Closed generics are not necessarily good for the end users.</question>

Paul Foody: (11:00) Books.com is clearly a website. Books is a WORD. If we start selling words to corporations we all lose the ability to communicate.

Volker Greimann: (11:00) Of course the competition can surpass this by providing a better service or product, but they will be facing an uphill battle

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:01) Rubens this will probably never be closed rather that just a consensus between the participants in the discussion - which has not reached end users at this time

Michael Flemming: (11:01) They have not been allowed so we have been unable to see what the result of their launch is.

Kathy Kleiman: (11:01) I think this group, of all groups, understand the difference between top level domains and second level domains.

Kathy Kleiman: (11:02) They are not the same - one is a registry service, one is a registrant registration

Jim Prendergast: (11:02) Donna - Sorry for the delay – thought I had hit enter earlier. Thanks for the pre-approval explanation – I'll park this comment here and we can pick it up in the WT calls. 3 points 1) Under the pre-approved scenario you described - where RSPs are tested and labeled "pre-approved" - what happens in this scenario - I am brand applicant "newco" and I want to apply for a TLD. I know little about this industry but decide to select from the list of pre-approved providers. They are also endorsed/recommended by my consultant. What happens when I start to have issues where I start to bump up against EBERO thresholds and I have ICANN compliance breathing down my neck. I went with someone they told me was pre-approved and clearly, they are not performing to the standards. If that ICANN's fault? "ICANN told me they were 'pre-approved'" Is it my consultants problem? "you told me they were ICANN pre-approved?"

Jim Prendergast: (11:02) 2) As it relates to the current situation that ICANN described these EBERO threshold incidents - today we have ICANN approved RSPs who are not performing to the terms of the contracts. So clearly that "approval", although not pre-approval. is not providing those registry operators any comfort. 3) What happens when ICANN does decide to turn on EBERO on a pre-approved registry? How does that look for the Registry operator aka contracted party? How does that look for ICANN?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:03) @Jim I think 2) is a failure of ICANN to enforce it's contractual provisions and ROs should not be responsible for actions of ICANN

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:04) @Jim, the current LOC structure allows ICANN to pull money the moment they send SWIFT message with request of withdrawal (and it is not regulated in RA at all)

Paul Foody: (11:05) Kathy- The only difference between Top Level Domains and second level domains is the presumption TLDs will be used as registries. As soon as they become "closed" that difference is removed. The clue is in the title, Top Level DOMAINS.

Jeff Neuman: (11:05) Michele and Kristina - Are you in the queue for this topic or are these old hands?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (11:06) @Jeff: old, but adobe isn't letting me lower it.

Jeff Neuman: (11:07) @kristina - Maybe Adobe thinks you should have comments on this :)

Jeff Neuman: (11:07) Ok, we will consider your hand down unless you say otherwise.

Rubens Kuhl: (11:07) Jeff read my thoughts.

Jim Prendergast: (11:08) has all GAC advice from the 2012 round been addressed - either implemented or rejected? or is there still outstanding GAC advice?

Donna Austin, Neustar: (11:09) @Jim, happy to continue the conversation in WT calls. I'd just note that it is the ROs responsibility to conduct due diligence on their choice of RO. The only difference between the pre-approval process and that used in the 2012 application round, is that an RSP would have an opportunity to complete the technical questions once along with PDT. this would provide efficiencies for the applicant in being able to select from a list of pre-approved RSP that would negate the need to complete the technical component of the application.

Jeff Neuman: (11:09) I believe the board believes that all GAC advice has been addressed. Some may still be in dispute resolution, but it has had board action

Donna Austin, Neustar: (11:10) correction: it is the ROs responsibility ... on their choice of RSP.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:11) @Michele Don't consider the NTLDstats 'parking' stuff to be accurate but .blog is one of the interesting gTLDs in terms of usage. It has a pre-identified market in that it is not quite a generic as much as a niche gTLD.

Donna Austin, Neustar: (11:12) @Steve, during an exchange with the GNSO Council at the GAC I asked the question about the status of GAC Advice. The answer was that GAC advice stands until such time as they change it.

Jeff Neuman: (11:13) @Michele (chair hat off) - For every example you give of a positive impact of TLDs being opened up, I can give you an example of either one who had a cool plan to use that withdrew, and the TLD is not successful (according to your definition)

Michele Neylon: (11:14) Jeff - we are never going to agree on this

Jeff Neuman: (11:14) @Michele - I agree that we won't agree :) But again this is my chair hat off.....

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:15) @Jeff From the ccTLD world, the .IE ccTLD is a good example of how opening up creates both innovation and usage. The initial price was around \$150 and highly restricted in terms of who could register.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:17) QUESTION re new rules, isn't it true that one of the new rule is that Board can only go against GAC Consensus Advice with a 60% vote? QUESTION

Rubens Kuhl: (11:17) Domains under management might a popular metric in this circle, but not fully representative of usefulness to Internet users.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:18) @Rubens DUM became an almost useless metric once zone stuffing started artificially inflating zone counts.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:18) :)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:18) @Rubens Usage determines success.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:18) "real" usage..

Volker Greimann: (11:19) For example, under the current rules, a .brand cannot be allocated domains on the principle of [country/country-abbreviation].brand on a global level due to the current rules negotiated with the GAC

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:19) @Matthias Yep. Not 'parking'. :) A lot tougher to measure. But renewals are also a good metric.

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:20) we found that usage of mail and an http 200 is a good indicator

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:20) at least for the visibility of a tld

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:21) Matthias, e-mail me and I will explain about why .berlin is actually quite good in terms of both.

Rubens Kuhl: (11:21) Every time GAC said "non-exhaustive", ICANN took that list as the only ones it applied to.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:22) mail/ 200 HTTP is not good as a measure. Most big registrars auto configure new domains with a default MX and web landing page.

Donna Austin, Neustar: (11:22) @Rubens, i agree, but I expect the GAC will do some kind of post application categorisation

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:22) most web landing pages can be easily indentified and counted as "parking"

Rubens Kuhl: (11:22) John is right. They actually monetize both MX and web landing traffic.

Rubens Kuhl: (11:23) "We recommend all GAC advice to not be considered"

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:23) @Matthias, people break HTML in all sorts of interesting ways. There's more to measuring usage than simple PPC landers. The other thing that most people miss is that gTLDs do not exist in isolation. They exist in a market with other TLDs.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (11:24) COMMENT: If GAC/ICANN decides to keep safeguards, these should NOT be allowed to be added AFTER the announcement of the opening of the application window – and the requirement to enter into agreements with sector industries should be deleted (this is hard to find /define in all cases – and gTLDs can be international). COMMENT

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:24) tell me more john :)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:25) @Matthias jmcc@hosterstats.com - it is too much for the chatroom :)

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:25) That is the point regarding the 60% Board vote that is required to overcome GAC Consensus Advice. As a practical matter, the Board doesn't really want to choose between its gTLD policy body, the GNSO, the ALAC, and its public policy advisors - GAC. So they tell us all to resolve our differences but we haven't learned to do that

Rubens Kuhl: (11:26) @Anne, what they usually do is say to accept the advice, and do the opposite.

Paul Foody: (11:26) Kathy - TLDs and 2nd Level Domains are just names given to IP addresses. Excepting the hierarchy of sub-domains, what can anyone do with a TLD that they can't do with a second level domain? Nothing, except confuse people because when I talk about Book or Food, its no longer clear if I'm talking about the thing or a website.

Kurt Pritz: (11:27) @ Kathy: GAC Early Warnings might have been fascinating to those without a dog in the fight, but horrifying to those who staked substantial investment (money and emotion) in a new TLD venture

Rubens Kuhl: (11:27) @Paul, when you consider type-in use cases, that makes a difference. When you consider typing in mobile devices, more.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (11:28) I have a more procedural suggestion: The name GAC Early Advice often causes confusion to those outside of ICANNland. They interpret the name to mean that the GAC - not just one government - has provided the Early Warning and grant it more deference than it's warranted in AGB.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (11:29) oops GAC Early Warning

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): (11:29) Early Warning

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:30) We are in a very ineffective organizational behavior of constant mediation by the Board between GNSO and GAC on the important issues - with no resolution of that problem in sight. So maybe we should just enjoy the creative tension. What I think is very odd is that we don't recognize that these tough issues will inevitably hit that stand-off and take time to resolve.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:31) lost audio

gTLD.club (Jean Guillon): (11:31) Sound just died

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (11:31) Audio gone here.

Jeff Neuman: (11:31) sorry

Jeff Neuman: (11:31) we will look into it

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (11:31) thx

Jeff Neuman: (11:32) they are working on it

Paul Foody: (11:32) @Anne I'm listening in on the Audio phone line. Much, much better.

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (11:32) Rubens, I really like you "possible language"

Rieke Poppe - One.com: (11:32) sound is back

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (11:33) QUESTION: Any chance latin like characters such as German Umlaut/ French é, Danish Ä, Ö, Ü could be accepted as variants? QUESTION

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (11:34) so that .koeln could also get .köln?

Jannik Skou Thomsen Trampedach: (11:35) downside can be a conflict with visual similarity definition (which in my view should be changed)

Rubens Kuhl: (11:36) The bundle requirement comes from that visual similarity issues.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:36) thanks Paul. I thought they would get it resolved. Gave up and now in the audio bridge

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:37) all of us in danger of 1 vs. I vs. I confusion, do we need to start English script LGR?

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (11:38) Jannik Skou: in some cases there are really two different things. So words/names with ö or oe are not necessarily just a variant

Jeff Neuman: (11:39) Or Capital "i" and lower case "l" (I vs l)

Michael Flemming: (11:42) My experience with variants has been variants in the same language script, not necessarily a cross-script. So, just because you have the ASCII spelling of .family, you couldn't get the Chinese character equivalent .家族

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:43) what APD stands for?

Rubens Kuhl: (11:43) Alternative Path to Delegation

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:43) thnx

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:44) QUESTION Please explain "non-wildcard NSDOMAIN responses". QUESTION

Jeff Neuman: (11:44) Volker: See https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_name-2Dcollision-2Dmitigation-2Dstudy-2D06jun14-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=ruI7q6rYd3IB0HhhmykFe2VE_HoJ5n4Sozf5ZFoGW_g&e=

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:44) *NXDOMAIN responses

Jeff Neuman: (11:44) @Anne - Lets take that offline

Michael Flemming: (11:45) Anne, that would be a name collision response to the specific label that is identified as possible name collision

Jeff Neuman: (11:45) As it is fairly technical

Rubens Kuhl: (11:45) NXDOMAIN - Non Existent Domains

Michael Flemming: (11:45) versus the wildcard where any query would receive a response of name collision mitigation

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:46) What is a "non-wildcard response"?

Volker Greimann: (11:46) thank you Jordyn, very helpful comments

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:48) Agree with Jordyn re consistent policy. It's not backward looking to consider the previous high risk strings.

Michael Flemming: (11:49) Anne, I sent you a small explanation privately that I think might help.

Paul Foody: (11:49) @Rubens ? "when you you consider type-in use cases, that makes a difference. When you consider typing in mobile devices, more." ?

Jeff Neuman: (11:51) JAS Final Report: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_name-2Dcollision-2Dmitigation-2Dstudy-2D06jun14-2Den.pdf&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=ru17q6rYd3IB0HhhmykFe2VE_HoJ5n4Sozf5ZFoGW_g&e=

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:51) Thanks michael. As explained, I understand well what controlled interruption is. I don't understand the proposed alternative to controlled interruption for certain labels that is an alternative to controlled interruption that ICANN.org can approve.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:53) See also SSAC 94 comment to CC2.

Jeff Neuman: (11:53) @Anne - I will ask @Rubens to respond offline

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:54) could we have presentation via the list?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:54) this

Jim Prendergast: (11:54) Avris makes a good point - we always go 1-4. should go 4-1 sometimes

Paul Foody: (11:54) Not many applicants have Google's ability to simply warehouse unusable TLDs.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (11:55) +1 Jim

Rubens Kuhl: (11:55) They are usually posted on ICANN meeting schedule.

Steve Chan: (11:55) The slides are available on the Wiki here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_pwffAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=adDIs0WEx_ILwFfrsdovxTYY8GkRHo5ibc8SR3Npdh8&m=eNOg-8-xrAmQ0I0ObRnk_hBiKOPsJQZR5QB2Pn4dPI8&s=bF9-koAP-LJB-x7BAcIKuUO3B9eKYzpjO38Crj1RZU&e=

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:55) @Paul sometimes I wonder if Google even knows what to do with all its TLDs. Under good management and marketing, some of them could be quite successful.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:57) @Jeff -Why is the response offline? How big of an exception to controlled interruption is this?

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:59) I like "straw hat".

Jeff Neuman: (11:59) @Anne because there are other technical ways to achieve controlled interruption than the one solution proposed by JAS

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (11:59) Off topic but Google has just been fined 2.4 Billion Euro / \$2.7 Billion by the EU Commission

Jeff Neuman: (11:59) Its not an alternative as much as a different way to implement the same solution

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (11:59) thanks everyone good session helps our WT's along

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:59) Thos "other ways" should be considered policy wise. - npot just up to icann.org

Justine Chew: (12:00) Yes, I am applauding

Paul Foody: (12:00) @John They're buying Language.

Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (12:00) Thank you everyone.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): (12:00) bye all

Matthias Pfeifer .berlin: (12:00) Thank you all - great sessin

Emily Barabas: (12:00) This session is now closing.

Paul Foody: (12:01) Emily Why can I no longer see all the Chats in this session?

Emily Barabas: (12:01) Thank you for your participation. Reminder that all Presentations, Recordings, and Transcripts from the ICANN Meeting sessions will be posted in the Schedule within the next few weeks.

Emily Barabas: (12:02) @Paul, checking with tech team

Emily Barabas: (12:03) there is a character limit of some AC pods. This may be the case for the chat. . .

Paul Foody: (12:03) There wasn't that much chat.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (12:04) Yep. But that EU fine has possible implications for closed generics.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (12:05) GAC might have fun with it.