

RDS PDP WG Poll - 28 June

During our 28 June face-to-face meeting at ICANN59, the RDS PDP WG expanded its focus beyond the minimum public data set by starting deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?*


Based on show of hands during that meeting, we decided to start by **concentrating on the set of data elements to be included in the RDS**. To do so, we agreed to review the entire table of data elements proposed in the EWG Final Report to decide as a WG **what to add and delete** from data elements to be included in the RDS.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to:

- (a) indicate how strongly you agree or disagree with including each listed data element in the RDS (questions 2-39),
- (b) propose additional data elements not already listed that you believe should be included in the RDS (question 40), and
- (c) provide rationale for why you believe each data element should or should not be included in the set of data elements to be included in the RDS.

While it is not necessary to give rationale for every data element, sharing your rationale is especially helpful where you strongly agree or disagree.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during the 18 July WG meeting and on-list. Specifically, results will be used to deliberate on deletion of data elements that are largely unwanted, and to articulate rationale for inclusion of data elements that are broadly supported. The resulting set of data elements to be included in the RDS (illustrated in the figure below) will then be used to deliberate on collection and access conditions to be applied to each data element.



To allow sufficient prep time, all WG members are asked to apply time set aside for the cancelled 11 July WG call to *review relevant materials* and then participate in this poll. Specifically, **before completing this poll**, WG members are asked to review the following expanded handout, excerpted from the EWG Final Report and the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements:

README FIRST: [28JunePoll-DataElements-ExpandedHandout.pdf](#)

In addition, any WG member who did not attend the 28 June WG meeting is also expected to review (at minimum) the second half transcript and/or AC or audio recording to catch up on WG discussion. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/IATfAw>

*** 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

2. Registrant Name

Registrant Name is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

3. Registrant Organization

Registrant Organization is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

4. Registrant Type

Registrant Type is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 42-43 and 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

5. Registrant Contact ID (Registry Registrant ID)

Registrant Contact ID is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2, see Registry Registrant ID) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

6. Registrant Contact Validation Status

Registrant Contact Validation Status is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50, 58, & 77). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

7. Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp

Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50, 58, & 78). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

8. Registrant Company Identifier

Registrant Company Identifier is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50 and 57). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

9. Registrant Street Address

Registrant Street Address is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

10. Registrant City

Registrant City is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

11. Registrant State/Province

Registrant State/Province is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

12. Registrant Postal Code

Registrant Postal Code is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

13. Registrant Country

Registrant Country is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

14. Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext

Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree these data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

15. Registrant Alt Phone + Ext

Registrant Alt Phone + Ext is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50 and 58). Do you agree these data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

16. Registrant Email Address

Registrant Email Address is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

17. Registrant Alt Email

Registrant Alt Email is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 50 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

18. Registrant Fax + Registrant Fax Ext

Registrant Fax + Registrant Fax Ext is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 50). Do you agree these data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

19. Registrant SMS

Registrant SMS (short message service) is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

20. Registrant IM

Registrant IM (instant messaging) is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

21. Registrant Social Media

Registrant Social Media handle is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

22. Registrant Abuse URL

Registrant Abuse URL is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

23. Registrant Contact URL

Registrant Contact URL is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

24. Registrant Alt Social Media

Registrant Alt Social Media handle is a new data element recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 51 and 58). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

25. Admin Contact and Contact ID

Admin Contact is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2, see Registry Admin ID) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 39 and 51). Admin Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Admin Contact. Individual data elements within Admin Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with an administrative point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

26. Legal Contact and Contact ID

Legal Contact is a new set of data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 36-39 and 52). Legal Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Legal Contact. Individual data elements within Legal Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with a legal point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

27. Technical Contact and Contact ID

Technical Contact is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2, see Registry Tech ID) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 39 and 53). Technical Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Technical Contact. Individual data elements within Tech Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with a technical point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

28. Abuse Contact and Contact ID

Abuse Contact is a new set of data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 36-39 and 54). Abuse Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Abuse Contact. Individual data elements within Abuse Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with an abuse point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

29. Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact and Contact ID

Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact is a new set of data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 36-39 and 55). Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact. Individual data elements within Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with a privacy/proxy provider point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

30. Business Contact and Contact ID

Business Contact is a new set of data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (pages 36-39 and 56). Business Contact ID is a unique handle assigned to each Business Contact. Individual data elements within Business Contact are the same as for Registrant; however, values contained in these fields may differ to enable contact with a business point of contact for the domain name who is not also the Registrant. Do you agree this set of contact data elements should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

31. Server Status (Registry)

Server Status (Registry) is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). This element is proposed in addition to Client Status (Registrar) defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2, see Domain Status) and already discussed as part of the Minimum Public Data Set. Do you agree this new Server Status (Registry) data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

32. Reseller

Reseller is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 49). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

33. Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address

Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 49). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

34. Registrar Abuse Contact Phone

Registrar Abuse Contact Phone is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 49). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

35. URL of Internic Complaint Site (ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System)

URL of Internic Complaint Site is defined by the [2013 RAA Whois Requirements](#) (Section 1.4.2, see ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System) and included in data elements recommended by the [EWG Final Report](#) (page 49). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

36. Registrar Jurisdiction

Registrar Jurisdiction is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). Do you agree this new data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

37. Registry Jurisdiction

Registry Jurisdiction is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). Do you agree this new data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

38. Registration Agreement Language

Registration Agreement Language is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). Do you agree this new data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

39. Original Registration Date

Original Registration Date is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). Do you agree this new data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral/Unsure Disagree Strongly Disagree

Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)

40. New Data Elements

Are there any new Data Elements (other than those already listed above) that you believe should be included in the R DS? Why?

Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.