

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call on Thursday 11 May 2017 at 14:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

Julie Bisland:Agenda Wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_1QLfAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=a4PzWN7DfEkRIL0fil1_V5p6s7IR_oTpg1YI9YZ73K0&s=HZg2lld2y8psyKoNMKyjuSwKDaWqCWOL3DYNAAaP_DM&e=

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:Hello from Buenos Aires!

Ching Chiao:Hello Olga

Ching Chiao:Hi everyone

Julf Helsingius:Greetings from RIPE74 in Budapest

Dietmar Stefitz:Hi from vaelncia/Spain!

hadia Elminiawi:heloo all

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:Hi Ching hi Marika we heare you very well

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:there is echo

Marc Gauw:Hallo from sunny Amsterdam

Manal Ismail:Hello everyone :) !!

Asha Hemrajani 2:Hello all

Vanda Scartezini:hi all,

judith hellerstein:HI All

Alberto Soto:Hello everyone!

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:Thanks Marika very useful

Nadira AlAraj:Hello all

Carolina Caeiro:can you hear me?

Marika Konings:Carolina, you may need to activate your microphone?

Marika Konings:Or are you connected by telephone?

Manal Ismail:no we can't

Marika Konings:Please click the phone symbol at the top of the AC pod.

Marika Konings:or let us know if you need a dial-out

Carolina Caeiro:thanks!

Marika Konings:As noted, the updated DOI has already been uploaded on the wiki page (see https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_FpjDAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=a4PzWN7DfEkRIL0fil1_V5p6s7IR_oTpg1YI9YZ73K0&s=-2ViSHfKFdaBq5DLg7SItDYU38dq5he1_O88L3kqFhE&e=)

Marc Gauw 2:Perfect letter, compliments !

Vanda Scartezini:very good indeed

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:Ok with the letter

hadia Elminiawi:+1 to the letter

Julf Helsingius:+1

judith hellerstein:Are we having a face to face in Jo-burg

Nadira AlAraj:Is there possibility to do concurrent work on some of these questions

Maureen Hilyard:FDirect outreach to the chartering organisations would be a good idea

Vanda Scartezini:yes, will do certainly.

Marika Konings:@Nadira - the templates for each of the charter questions are posted on the wiki

Marika Konings:see https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_PNrRAw&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5c

[M&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=a4PzWN7DfEkRIL0fil1_V5p6s7IR_oTpg1YI9YZ73K0&s=L7kohWEXkl1dYb3p5V7Fp0YVi7qoJVx-gXkS-kEeDKY&e=](https://www.google.com/document/d/05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=a4PzWN7DfEkRIL0fil1_V5p6s7IR_oTpg1YI9YZ73K0&s=L7kohWEXkl1dYb3p5V7Fp0YVi7qoJVx-gXkS-kEeDKY&e=)

Marika Konings:All are encouraged to provide input either on the templates or the google doc as that may facilitate speeding up deliberations?

Nadira AlAraj:Yes @Marika, but maybe we have to give it more review

John Levine:Sorry I was late, conflicting commitments

Ching Chiao:Hi Erika

Ching Chiao:good to have you joining us :)

Joke Braeken:When not speaking, could you please mute your phone lines? Thank you

Carolina Caeiro:Marika, are we to propose to the board a review mechanism? I mean, it seems the general agreement is that there should be one.

judith hellerstein:Hi Erika. Good that you joined, but can you mute your mike please. Thank you

Vanda Scartezini: i believe for the phase we are now, looks ok for me.

Erika Mann:Hi Ching! Thanks for running the show. I'm still cut off electricity. While working on the street they cut by mistake the line. I'm now in a restaurant, found one that still has electricity. Can't talk though but will listen.

Marika Konings:@Carolina - yes, this question specifically asks if there should be a review mechanism, and it would be within the CCWG's remit to identify the proposed criteria for such a review mechanism.

Manal Ismail:Thanks Erika for taking the trouble to join !!

Marika Konings:Glad you found electricity Erika :-)

Carolina Caeiro:@marika. Thanks!

Vanda Scartezini:welcome Erika

Erika Mann:Hi colleagues! I have my mike muted.

Erika Mann:@Marika - yes, I found electricity two blocks away. Until then everything is cut off.

Erika Mann:@Vanda - hi!

Ching Chiao:Good to know that you're settled Erika :)

Carolina Caeiro:I think once the mechanism is selected, we should brainstorm possible scenarios which would trigger a review mechanism and based on that, propose alternatives for the review

Carolina Caeiro:yes!

Nadira AlAraj:+1 carolina

Erika Mann:Agree with you Carolina ... that's the typical process in such kind of funding environments. Typically one needs to review once a year (sometimes each second year) the review process.

Manal Ismail:+1 Carolina ..

Alan Greenberg:Some of the triggers are pretty clear. Time, \$ dispersed and red flags from project reviews or audits.

Erika Mann:Alan +1

Manal Ismail:Agree .. It's a combination .. some may be clear now and some may depend on the mechanism selected ..

hadia Elminiawi:Alan +1

Erika Mann:Plus we might experience some slow take off because of the quite narrow definition of the mission statement which is guiding our work as well

Erika Mann:... and this will impact at least the first review process ...

hadia Elminiawi:we might end up with more than one funding mechanism, however the main triggers as Alan mentioned are well known

Olga Cavalli - GAC Argentina:Dear all I must leave the call to chair other ICANN conference call, apologies I will follow up from notes and recordings

Marika Konings:I will add the notes from today's meeting to this template

Manal Ismail:Thanks Marika !!

hadia Elminiawi:I believe a good start would be to define an objective/objectives to the funding

Alan Greenberg:Is magnitude of grants (min and max to normally be considered acceptable) part of this Charter question or is it explicitly in another?

Vanda Scartezini:good resumen Marika.

Marika Konings:@Alan - charter question 4 may also be a place for that discussion? 4. What aspects should be considered to define a timeframe, if any, for the funds allocation mechanism to operate as well as the disbursements of funds? E.g. The timeframe for the operation of this new mechanism may provide the opportunity for long term support, or for funding to be released in tranches linked to milestone achievements, single or multiple disbursements.

Maureen Hilyard:@Asha about connecting an application with ICANN's mission is already part of the CROP programme in At-Large and is very relevant

Nadira AlAraj:Yes

Alan Greenberg:Small grants may not be a problem, but there would have to be a really streamlined process for processing them.

Asha Hemrajani 2:@Maureen good to know

Asha Hemrajani 2:@alan not suggesting that it would be a problem but it is a good question that you raised

Dietmar Stefitz:I am sorry, I have to leave now.

Asha Hemrajani 2:The key point I wanted to raise was that it would be good if proposals could include a statement showing relationship with mission.

Asha Hemrajani 2:*proposals/requests for funding

Vanda Scartezini:Most relevant is to define the objectives we have as Asha said. small or large is not the main issue here IMO

Erika Mann:Since so many mention how important it is to define the objectives, maybe we should spend some time thinking about this ... not sure if our current chartering questions are already looking into this @Marika???

Vanda Scartezini:already sent question to at large and translated to spanish

Erika Mann:Thank you Ching!

Asha Hemrajani 2:Yes agree with Erika. Worthwhile to spend time to think about objectives of the funding

Vanda Scartezini:+1 Erika.

Erika Mann:Thank you Marika!

Nadira AlAraj:I think the funds objective has to fall with ICANN mission

Erika Mann:Nadira, indeed but there might still be a need to define objectives more clearly

Nadira AlAraj:Yes to identify and not to define

Vanda Scartezini:some objectives IMO - promote knowledge of ICANN / enlarge DNS industry reaching less developed markets / improve technical knowledge.....

Asha Hemrajani 2:+1 Erika and Nadira

Stephanie Perrin:I agree that defining the objectives is very important, we will be casting about if we attempt to answer the questions without it. On the other hand, if we are uncertain in our own minds on the objectives, going through the Charter questions will help us outline our objectives. Sometimes we identify what we don't want faster than what we do, and that process will help us do that.

John Levine:Agree with Alan, we cannot predict where the best proposals will come from.

Vanda Scartezini:we can adjust as we go further

Asha Hemrajani 2:agree Stephanie - I can understand the fear of confining ourselves too much, but thinking about objectives will help shape the conversation and work going forward

Marika Konings:@Stephanie - maybe the survey could ask about objectives but also what shouldn't be the objective?

Stephanie Perrin:Yes Marika, that might help

hadia Elminiawi:+1 Vanda, adjust as we go forward

Erika Mann:Good point raised by Alan. Maybe instead of defining/identifying objectives, we might want to run few scenarios to understand what we would consider that would be covered by the mission and what not. Indeed we should support mission driven innovation processes.

Marika Konings:@Erika - wouldn't that be in addition? Once you have objectives, you could run a couple of scenarios to see whether those would be in line with objectives or not, and if not, whether objectives would need to be adjusted if there is broad agreement that a specific scenario should be considered within scope.

hadia Elminiawi:+1 Marika

Erika Mann:@Marika - both is possible. Big funs typically have very few objectives but allow these objectives to be modified by scenarios and/or applications

Asha Hemrajani 2:It would be good to start the conversation going on the objectives first even if there will be just a few

Stephanie Perrin:It is important, I think, to remember that small projects will have much more modest goals than large ones. so scope and size may differentiate the objectives ...this process will be iterative. In my experience small projects can have really important multiplier effects; they allow organizations to trial a project, educate on an existing project, do necessary research on issues, etc.

Alan Greenberg:Objective 1: Do good things, and things that might not get done if we weren't here.

Alan Greenberg:We are 12 minutes to end. Let's wrap up with this one and review next meeting plans and ICANN59

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Alan

judith hellerstein:I was just going to say we had 5 minutes left but you already noticed.

Asha Hemrajani 2:Marika, please repeat the date again in the chat. Thanks.

judith hellerstein:I believe she said June 27

Asha Hemrajani 2:Thanks

Marika Konings:For now it is firm on the schedule: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_icscheduler.icann.org&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=a4PzWN7DfEkRIL0fil1_V5p6s7IR_oTpg1YI9YZ73K0&s=PZoSzE4wa-AIBsmq-79IDfhdPQTdm6nDA2O1JRjbSco&e=

Marc Gauw 2:Please note that next meeting (25 May), it will be Ascension Day (so holiday) in many countries

Carolina Caeiro:Thanks everyone!

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all. see you next meeting.

hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all

judith hellerstein:No holiday in the US

Julf Helsingius:thanks everybody!

Nadira AlAraj:Will be good, will be waiting for next agenda andcJohannesburg agenda as well

Marc Gauw 2:bye !

Joke Braeken:thank you, bye

Victor Zhang:bye thanks

Ching Chiao:Thank you all!

Manal Ismail:Thank you all ..

Peter Vergote:TxS and bye!

Maureen Hilyard:Thank you Ching bye all

Asha Hemrajani:Thank you all. 謝謝 Ching

