
RECORDED VOICE: This meeting is now being recorded.

EMILY TAYLOR: Okay. Welcome everybody to the meeting. The agenda this morning is the usual standing item of any updated statements of interest and we can note apologies and absence. Has anybody got any updates to their statements of interest?

Okay, I'm not hearing any. I've noted apologies from Amin Hasbini, Alain, Cathy and Don. Speaking with Eric last week, this call falls in the middle of the night for him so we're not expecting him to join. But I haven't received any other apologies.

So what we're going to really spend most of our time on is just following up from the Madrid meeting. Review the topics that we listed and the volunteers of the subgroups and then just have a moment to brainstorm our next steps and what we should do next. I think it's good thinking that horizon of the next week, also the next month and also our timeline, generally. It would also be good for us to hear your ideas for what we should do in the Johannesburg face-to-face meeting. We can finish by reviewing our open action items and to-do list. So does that sound clear to everyone and does anybody have any other business to raise that they would like to get on the agenda?

Okay. Proceed then.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

JABHERA MATOGORO: Hello?

EMILY TAYLOR: I've got a note from Matogoro which I'll read from the chat. Matogoro, you have your hand up there. Would you like to you speak?

JABHERA MATOGORO Yes. Good morning and—

EMILY TAYLOR: Good morning.

JABHERA MATOGORO: I just wanted to of course -- I said through the email on the pending agenda on the outreach plan and the next step. I think we have to discuss during the Madrid [inaudible] on the second day and possibly I might have missed some of the session and I'll also like to get some updates because I don't see something on them. Thank you.

EMILY TAYLOR: Thank you very much for raising that vital point. In the end, Matogoro, it didn't have—you didn't miss it in Madrid but we decided as a team to focus on our work items and continue them on the face-to-face. However, the outreach plan is something that we revisit and we should be thinking very much in terms of outreach in our coming face-to-face meeting in Johannesburg and in Abu Dhabi later in the year. But clearly,

there will be other opportunities for outreach and it would be good to know your ideas.

Are there anymore comments on that? If not, then let us just have a look at the topics list that we produced by the end of the Madrid meeting which are review of the first security review. Secondly, ICANN's security. Thirdly, DNS security as it relates to ICANN's mission. Future threats again, as they relate to ICANN's mission. The impact of IANA transition on security, stability, resiliency or unique identifiers as they fit within ICANN's mission and then other.

So could we just start by discussing and receiving anybody who hasn't volunteered or hasn't had an opportunity to review these teams? Can you let us know which sub teams or which subtopics, if you like, you would like to focus on? [AUDIO BREAK] Okay, Matogoro? Please go ahead.

JABHERA MATOGORO:

Yeah, I just see and observed this that most of the topics that we can see from the topic, most people are going on the ICANN security, DNS future threats and basically are going on SSL one review and the other topics. So this [inaudible] my attention that possibly most of the people are very concerned on the technique or the [inaudible] aspect, or I think something that have kind of an observation for the theme to see why Monaba are going on the second topic and a few are going on the second topic. Possibly we would not have an estimate of the number of technique or policy [inaudible] review team, and that could be kind of looking at a way to balance so that we can be able to go together and

possibly achieve the objective that is ahead of us. Thank you. That's just an observation, that's all.

EMILY TAYLOR:

Thank you very much for that, Matogoro. Yes, that's a very important point that you have raised, we have just two volunteers looking at the first review and of course, that is one of our essential terms. I would say that as a full team we have done quite a lot of propriety work for that. Of course, we still have to receive briefings from those responsible for implementation of several of the recommendations. So I would encourage at least one or two more people to put their names forward for that for driving that particular topic. Has anybody prepared to do that? Zarko, thank you. Please go ahead.

I can't hear you, Zarko? Are you on mute?

Okay, so could we just record that as an action item that we need a few more volunteers for the first SSR Review? Any objections to that? Zarko, do you have your hand raised?

Okay, I'm going to just move on and the second item is ICANN's internal security crisis and my—we have a lot of volunteers for this which is great. My observation on this is that we have 37 work items and actually looking at them, I believe although haven't been able to verify this. You would recall from those who attended the Madrid meeting that we broke off into two groups before we'd actually finished going through the brainstorming session, we did actually eliminate a lot of people duplicates and my observation looking items from about maybe 19 onwards is that there's quite a lot of duplication and maybe my

suggestion would be for those people who are focusing on this, in particularly Boban if I could just highlight you. Maybe there's a bit of organizing of the list to do and organizing into subheadings and I wondered whether I could ask the people who've volunteered to focus on this topic to sort of give the work items a bit of a tidy and to consolidate.

Thank you, Boban has said, "Sure, no problem," which is brilliant.

James is on the train, so I think he's not risking the microphone at the moment and he's suggesting that this would be a key item for the rapporteur to coordinate and that Boban would be a great candidate as rapporteur so I'll leave that for the group to determine who tend to do that. We might be getting ahead of ourselves but perhaps we could just focus on that because I think compared to the other list this one is looking a bit sprawling to me. And we could just have it tidied. Okay, any comments on that? Matagoro, I saw your hand raised a while ago. Did you still want to make a point on that? Okay, I'm not seeing any—

JABHERA MATOGORO: Can I proceed?

EMILY TAYLOR: Thank you. So the next subitem is DNS security. We have quite a full group of people who would like to focus on this. Again, I think there might be a little scope for rationalization of these into a few headings and subheadings and that perhaps a first step for these team members

to attend. That would be my suggestion. I'll be very interested in reviews on that or any reflections on that.

Okay, so perhaps we could—well, I'll come back to this at the end but just to reviewing the future threats. I'm sure the topic in terms of the items that we've identified and we've got I think four of us looking at that. There might be a few other volunteers on the list.

Zarko's saying that his microphone is not working. Zarko, if you'd like to make any comments either please do type into the chat or ask the ICANN staff if they can dial out to you and hopefully we can hear your voice soon.

But the future threats, 4 or 5 items, four people working on it and thank you, Jennifer, that there are 7 volunteers for the DNS security group. Jennifer or other members of the staff, could I ask you to pick up any further volunteers from this chat list or from the list following the call that we'll just consolidate into the list as an action item. That'd be great.

Then we have the IANA transition. So far we have Kathy and James so anticipating or repeating the point that Matagoro made in relation to the first issue. I think Geoff kindly volunteered also for this. I think we're a little bit light on that. Obviously, it's not very many work items at the moment, so maybe a small group would be absolutely fine.

and then we finally have an [inaudible], which is a sort of bucket and we have no volunteers for this but these, but these again, maybe something that the first five topic groups if you'd like, can review these and see whether that can work from into the other topics or I think that

we should probably return to these at a later stage. So I think hang on and then there's often topic. Again, nothing we should just review though so that's where we got to by the end of Madrid with a great sense of achievement.

So any comments, any queries, anything that you think is wrong or anything that you'd like to say?

Okay well, I would propose the following action items. Continue please volunteer to work on actions. For those who volunteered for the sub topics, review the topics and consolidate them if you can into headings and subheadings and remove duplication and I would suggest that we could probably do that by next week. Does that sound right? Matogoro, thank you. Please, go ahead.

JABHERA MATOGORO:

I would like to comment that despite of the fact that we have categorized this topic and we have team members going through each category topic, I was just having an idea that what would happen if we agree to go at a single group for each topic and then and final after that the team members can now meet to proceed onwards, because otherwise if for example, the SSR1 Review of a few members and then you might find some of the information which are very vital to this review team might have the connection with one of these topics. So I was just asking that what would happen if as a team we agree that before briefing this teams, let us first go through each of these topics and [inaudible] the team members can lead and refine and finally

proceed on the next step coming up on the input that can be reported to the team as they're working. Thank you.

EMILY TAYLOR:

Thank you very much, Matogoro. That's an important point that you've raised and something that echoes the discussions in the Madrid meeting which if I can summarize were we're a small team at any one time also, you know life being what it is we may not have you know, the full attention of all of our team members because we all have jobs and we have different challenges to previous groups.

For example, some of the other groups that worked on the IANA transition were very, very big and it was necessary to divide up into smaller groups to really get the job done. But we will also you know and I think you are right not to go that we will definitely have people who are focused on different topics but we also will have a challenge to retain cohesion immunity as a group and ensure that we are all on top of each other are looking at and also not losing track. So I think that this is a key challenge we need to work out how we're going to do. I welcome any other comments or observations on that. Geoff, welcome. Please go—

GEOFF HUSTON:

Hi from Saint Petersburg this week. Look, I think the only way this is going to happen in real time is actually going as we had started here and actually working it through in groups. Groups give us both focus and groups give us parallel processing. I think the end product get's through by the larger team. Quite frankly, doing a good grope with everything

across all of these subjects simultaneously is just going to be unworkably difficult. This is just no way to do it, so I actually admire and strongly support a bunch of groups with a particular focus; it will make deadlines easy to achieve and it will basically make a relatively complex process much rapidly than otherwise. So I think what you did in the group was great and I think that focus is great and I'm certainly in favor of using a bunch of smaller groups working in parallel at this point to start to get a grip at the problem. Thanks.

EMILY TAYLOR: Geoff, while you've got the microphone.

GEOFF HUSTON: Yes.

EMILY TAYLOR: Do you have any—you know, you talked about parallel processing. There's also, you know, a question of whether we work on these in parallel or in sequence. Do you feel that looking at those 4, 5 or 6 topics on the screen there are any that are sort of screaming out to be done first or that fit together quite naturally, could we consolidate some these into larger groups because for example, it strikes me that safety and security in future threats might actually be part and parcel of the same thing and we've got quite a lot of overlap within the groups. That was just an example.

GEOFF HUSTON: You know I would disagree and I suppose continuing down your example, any look at future threats would look at alternate naming systems, alternate identifying systems and look to see whether such systems were seductively nonfunctional; that would be bad. Or they posed, if you will, some superior properties that we should consider. Now, that's independent to the issue of the efforts around trying to ensure that the DNS operates with robustness and integrity which is here and now kind of problem and I must admit a problem that we are all much more familiar with. So I don't see an awful lot of cross work.

Similarly, the topic on ICANN security is more of a procedure and process than it is about subject matter. The issue of ICANN transition is a review of procedure and process, looking at the outcomes of a particular process and equally SSR1 falls into its own little bucket there so quite frankly, I think this is a reasonable logical outcome and there's always number six if you can figure out where the place of it comes up. So it's not—

EMILY TAYLOR: Yeah.

GEOFF HUSTON: Yeah, so I actually think that it's a logical segmentation that allows for it to actually retain that particular experience and expertise so that the work can proceed relatively quickly because you're tapping into known territory in each of the cases. And as I said, it does allow a certain amount of getting things done at the same time rather than a more protracted process of one at a time.

EMILY TAYLOR:

Okay, thank you. So does anybody else have any input to make on this issue?

Okay, I'm not hearing anything. You can also type in the chat as well if you would like to. Okay, I think that actually leads onto another—at the next logical step, which is you know what do we do next and how do we arrange our work. You know one of the things that we have set ourselves to do in Madrid was to get a more detailed work plan and perhaps I could open that topic up for us to reflect upon. How do we do it, the timing of it and how it takes into account these subtopics and the volunteers.

You're all very quiet this morning. [AUDIO BREAK] Okay, there's some— Denise, please go ahead.

DENISE MICHEL:

So I think there was some preliminary discussions of the proposed end date for the review team, a target date for submitting our reports to the board. We also have an obligation to post the draft report for public comment. Starting with some key dates, we can set out to draft a work plan with some key milestones and add some proposed interim deadlines for our group work, circulate that among the team, get feedback and start to build a more robust work plan that can help guide our work and perhaps serve as a forcing mechanism to make sure we prepare the paper and move forward with our work. Thanks.

EMILY TAYLOR: Thank you, Denise. How would you see that and who and how would you see that document taking shape? Who would you view as the right people hope to depend on that or would we look for volunteers to do that?

DENISE MICHEL: Well, always happy to accept volunteers from the team. Otherwise, I think Eric and you and I would probably put together a first draft for the team to look at but again, you have particular people with a lot of project per our management experience would like to help us take a first crack at a draft work plan for the team to review. That would be great.

EMILY TAYLOR: I would really echo that very happy to work on it with Denise and Eric and be grateful for volunteers with project management team experience. Geoff?

GEOFF HUSTON: I think it's started to work at two levels and that's a good thing. You've got sort of six topics so far really which is subject matter based topics and as Zarko has suggested and I agree, it would be good if you have some kind of coordination at that level so some kind of person more responsible for delivery to try and keep that work moving forward and getting outcome done and that would work sort of within context of SSR review, ICANN security, DNS security, etcetera.

Over and above, that information we should keep the entire project underway, making sure deliverables are kind of posed and as far as possible met. In other words, the overall project coordination which I am thinking is the role of our three esteemed coaches and the project management responsibility does only rest with them to make sure that the rest of us are all kind of working towards that.

So I would propose that as being that kind of segmentation with the coaches pulling it all back together has been the way that I think prefaces a reasonable chance of success within a particularly, you know, given timeframe. Otherwise, it'll all get sort of diffused and no one is quite sure who's doing what.

EMILY TAYLOR: Absolutely. Thank you, thank you for that. Anybody else?

Okay, I'm not seeing anyone. I think that so can we take that action item? The coaches who'll provide a first draft of the work plan for—we would welcome input from everybody on the team into that. I think the key point which again, I would like your ideas and input of is how to take that, how to get that balance it out working ourselves to death between work on the individual topics, the subject matters and also, coordination between us so that we don't lose touch of what's going on. It strikes me that we would meet plenary calls at certain points in order to keep track of what's going on, but how often they take place is another issue.

Okay, I'm going to move on then with your permission. Great. Thank you, James. James has volunteered to be included in the planning exercise. That's fantastic and I look forward to other volunteers.

I'm going to advance the slide now and let's think about—can I just summarize what I think we've agreed, okay that we're all going to work within groups. That groups should identify somebody who is a coordinator responsible for delivery and that those groups should look at their action or their work items, consolidate, de-duplicate, arrange into sub topics. Steve have come to a valuable point on the chat which is that we've got a total of 73 topics that made it into the draft which is a hell of a lot. My reflection is that I think many of those are duplicate or fit a subheading or detail of you know larger items.

The second action item is that the coaches assisted by Jane and perhaps other volunteers will draft an overall project plan for discussion and I think that that's what we've reached to, I hope I haven't forgotten anything.

Okay, Johannesburg. So just reading the slides here for those of you who are not in the room. The approved travel dates for us are between the 24th and 30th of June. We have today two days of meetings and they take place in different venues so a meeting on Sunday, the 25th of June in the Sandton Convention Center will be the ICANN meeting venue. We're also then the next day will be somewhere else, the Michelangelo Hotel which is apparently adjoining on Monday the 26th of June. You should by now have been contacted by constituency travel to arrange travel and accommodation. Also, for your diaries, the Abu Dhabi meeting will take place at the end of October so please make sure that

that is diarised and also, you've looked ahead to ICANN's meetings for next year.

So what do we want do to in Johannesburg, people? James is that suggestion so what we should see in Johannesburg? Sub team reports. Okay. James is on a roll here. Denise, please go ahead while James is typing and then we can review.

DENISE MICHEL:

Yeah, so there likely will be a critical mass of staff and other experts at the Johannesburg meeting, but also, it could be useful for people that have volunteered in the various groups or topic areas to also highlight issues on which you think would be valuable for the team to receive a more in-depth briefing or further discussion with staff or other experts. That is, if you feel more in-depth fact gathering or discussion about a particular topic would be useful. That's something we could consider for Johannesburg as well as adapting the work of the groups that we've laid out. Thanks.

EMILY TAYLOR:

Thanks a lot, Denise. So team members, can you reflect particularly thinking about the topic areas that you're focusing on. If there are briefings or interviews that need to take place with subject matter experts that could include staff, it could include community leaders. Then please share your thoughts on the list for now. That would be great.

I've got a whole load of suggestions from James which I'm going to read. He's saying, "Reports from sub teams, issues that need resolving [inaudible] with key ICANN staff by the sub teams, more of a whole Jo'burg meeting thing." So thank you for those suggestions.

Could I also suggest as well that going back to your point Matogoro raised at the beginning of the meeting that Jo'burg is an opportunity for us to begin some substantial outreach. It's a short meeting, I can't remember what type of meeting it is but it is a short meeting so there may be limited opportunity for outreach and also, the agenda tends to get—thank you it's a meeting B policy forum Steve has said. Thank you, Karen as well making the same point.

It's you know something for people who are not so familiar with the ICANN environment is that you know here we are coming in the middle of May and already the agenda for the Johannesburg meeting is absolutely packed so if we were thinking about forming new sessions, having an outreach session, going into other communities and telling them about our work it might be a struggle and therefore, we should be thinking ahead to Abu Dhabi and where we want to be with outreach by then. That comes from Matogoro's suggestion about an outreach plan.

Okay, any other items for the brainstorming session of this meeting? What would you like to do in Johannesburg? Denise, is your hand still up from last time or would you like to take the microphone?

DENISE MICHEL:

Yeah, I was just going to help round up this agenda item and tell Geoff that people can get some start and share any additional suggestions

that they have for the draft agenda on our email list over the next two or three days and then the cultures will go by draft agenda and get it out late this week, early next week for the team's consideration before we finalize it. So if we could have a target at the first day of this week to share any for the big agenda suggestions for Johannesburg and that'll give us a few days to turn things around into a draft agenda for people's consideration. Thanks.

EMILY TAYLOR:

Awesome. That's great. Thank you very much, Denise. Thank you. Also if I could just ask the ICANN staffer attending the call. I have a feeling that somebody's capturing our action items and in previous schools, you've actually been typing them into a pane so that we can all see them and review them as we go along. Personally, I find that very helpful and if we could resume that practice that would be great.

Okay so echoing Denise's request, please give us your ideas for the agenda by first day on the list. Okay.

Okay so open action items. So there is these I think—they mostly come out of a meeting in Madrid. ICANN staffer going to circulate the links to the technical portal and share relevant articles regarding threat mitigation and persecution of threats. James, myself and Karen are going to review the nondisclosure form with ICANN legal and report back to our team any update or edit.

James, I think you have the panel on that one and so I'm assuming that you will take the lead and then come back to see Kerry and myself for any input after an initial update.

Next action item is staff are going to research collaborative tools that we may use that can be publicly archived, so let's talk about several different chat applications that we may or may not be able to use.

James, Kathy and Denise are going to either draft an RFP or it's below the threshold for a formal ICANN tendering to move forward on the search for specialist technical writer or writers. You will recall those of you who attended the Madrid that we identified a gap in our capacity perhaps to generate raw so there maybe scope for adding some hands and brains to the turf up team to help record the efforts.

Staff are going to provide us with a timeline of the internet health indicators project. Can't remember what it is when you have clarity on the next step in our schedule that moves on—that's a follow on from Alan's presentation on the health indicators project which is very much an early stage project.

The coaches are going to coordinate, this is an old one and formulate a proposal as to how to separate TTs and presented to the RT. I kind of think we discussed that. Denise, can you help me out on this one? I think it might just be that we haven't—

DENISE MICHEL: Yeah, that's an old item.

EMILY TAYLOR: But could we just call that closed now? We're just going to do everything together until it breaks, I think. That's the idea. All right. Any other business? Denise?

DENISE MICHEL: Yes, as I've mentioned earlier in the call, I think an outstanding item is outreach and we've certainly deferred that to the list and call to touch on this further. Though we're running out of time today, I would suggest that we restart the discussion about ideas for outreach on the email list so we can work to develop the outlines of an outreach plan and come to an agreement on that. Thanks.

EMILY TAYLOR: Okay, thank you. So yes, let's take that as an action item to develop ideas for outreach on the list. Could somebody start a thread on these various topics so that there's sort of a bucket on the email list of people to put their ideas and organize them.

Ram Krishna is typing in the chat room to say that there's an ICANN outreach program tomorrow within [inaudible] community in Katmandu and there's a question from Matogoro about how it relates to the SSR2 to our work.

I think that generally and this is something again, we can develop on the list. Many of us are involved in the ICANN community or within our own communities in different capacities and therefore, it's something for us to consider when we're attending events in our normal work that has a bearing on our work to let people know about it, to ask for input, to welcome input and to bring that back to the team. Okay, is there anything else? Matogoro?

JABHERA MATOGORO: Yes, Emily. On the issue of outreach I think we need to come up with the guidelines and some of the key inputs that we need to correct the community. As you have mentioned of course, some of us we are interacting with the ICANN community in a different capacity, but if we don't have the focused way on the input that we are rooting from the community, then we might find is a wasted effort.

But if we work together and come up with a guideline and key information that we are root from the community, as you might also be aware, ICANN has a limited mission and sometimes you might be out of the scope, and maybe the community might be wondering what these guys are doing. But if we come up with a [inaudible] guidelines and information that we are rooting from the community, then it becomes easy for the review team member to interact with any ICANN community and collect some of the feedback for the good input to this team that can be reported. Thank you.

EMILY TAYLOR: Thank you very much, and Matogoro, could I ask you, as we'll have a thread on outreach on the list, perhaps you could reflect on what you think any guidelines might be and then we can all have a look at those ideas and interact with them and hopefully, that will help the outreach plan to take shape. Thank you.

All right, so these are next calls coming up. Next one, 30th of May, that's actually the day after a public holiday in the United Kingdom, I think there's a holiday in the US as well so some of us may not be able to attend. I know that I can't.

The next plenary after that is 6th of June, that takes place at 21:00 UTC and the following one, 30th of June at this time.

We have an input from an observer. Would you like to just share that with the team? [AUDIO BREAK] That's a nice offer of help from the observers, thanks a lot for that Rahul Sharma saying, "I can see that there are so many work items for the volunteers, but anyway, observers also can help.

Yeah, we could definitely add that as a topic for our next meeting; can we capture that as an action item: observer interactions with sub team. I think that also, it comes back to the action item earlier which is for the sub teams to look for de-duplication and consolidation of their lists into something a little shorter. Particularly, you will recall that the ICANN security item is like 37 items long and I do think that there is duplication in that.

So final slide, this is a topics list document and the link—I'm not quite sure what that will link to. I think that's to our topics list, so let's go—yes, continuing the comment from the observer Rahul, this is at this time, ICANN's bylaws are more agile. Thank you very much for that and maybe we can get that on the agenda for the next meeting.

Okay, unless anybody else wants to raise anything else, I'm going to close the meeting a minute early, so I'll just give you a few seconds to digest that information and say anything that you wanted to say. I can see several people.

James, thank you for joining at 7 o'clock in the morning on a train. No apology necessary. Thanks all of you for joining in for your input.

[inaudible] says that for the security team he's going to prepare a work structure at the end of the weekend and send it to the list which is brilliant. And thank you all for joining. All right, I'm closing the meeting now.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you, bye everyone.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]