responser/ responses	Should be analyzed	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4A	Q4B
		1. Has your business, your privacy or your ability to use or purchase domain name-related services been affected by ICANN's jurisdiction* in any way? If the answer is Yes, please describe specific cases, situations or incidents, including the date, the parties involved, and links to any relevant documents. Please note that "affected" may refer to positive and/or negative effects.	2. Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names you have been involved in? If the answer is Yes, please describe specific cases, situations or incidents, including the date, the parties involved, and links to any relevant documents. Please note that "affected" may refer to positive and/or negative effects.	3. Do you have copies of and/or links to any verifiable reports of experiences of other parties that would be responsive to the questions above? If the answer is yes, please provide these copies and/or links.	material, documented instance(s) where ICANN has been unable to pursue its Mission because of its	b. Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission? If so, please provide documentation.
Luis R. Furlán (Guatemala)	No	No	No	No	No	No
Karina Cortes (Puerto Rico)	No	No	Yes. It has affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United States.	No	No	No
Rika Tsunoda - MIC Japan	No	I do not recognize such cases as those in the question.	I do not recognize such cases as those in the question.	No	No	No
Carlos Vera (?)	No	No	No	No	No	No
Michael Graham (USA)	No	Not to my knowledge	Not to my knowledge	No	(no response)	No
QUEH Ser Pheng Singapore GAC Representative	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mzia Gogilashvili - Georgian govt	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mathieu Aubert (?)	No	No. The handling of complaints like Whois inaccuracy is improving	No	No	No	No