

---

EVIN ERDOĞDU:

Good morning, good afternoon and good evening everyone, and welcome to the AFRALO Rules and Procedures Working Group call, on Monday 24<sup>th</sup> April 2017 on 14h00 to 15h00 UTC. Today on the call we have Philip Fomba Johnson, Beran Dondeh, Aziz Hilali, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Michel Tchonang, Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong. And apologies we have Fatimata Seye Sylla and Seun Ojedeji.

From staff we have Gisella Gruber, Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Evin Erdoğan. I will be also on call management. And we've just had Barrack Otieno join us. And our French interpreters today are Claire and Camilla. And with that I would like to remind everyone to please state your name clearly, not only for transcription purposes but also for interpretation. Thank you and over to you, Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you very much, Evin. Hello everybody. This is our second call since we resume our work about the Rules and Procedures of AFRALO. As you know, first do you accept the agenda? Do you accept the agenda as it is, or do you want to make some change in the agenda? Okay, no comments, so the agenda is adopted, and we move to the next item, which is the Performance Metrics. You know that we have adopted the Performance Metrics before to resume our work but I thought it was good to resume from this item, even though it was approved and adopted, I thought it was better to resume from here. I thought it will be just to read these items but Seun has made some comments on this item.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

And Seun didn't participate to our work when we spoke about the weighted goals and metrics, etcetera, so Seun had some comments to do on these Performance Metrics. And he thought that if we ask the ALS to do one report on two activities every six months was too much for the ALS's because maybe they can't organize two activities during six months. And secondly, maybe they won't have time to do those reports so frequently. So at it is a very important factor for this evaluation, Seun is afraid that we will have to decertify all our ALS's because they won't have activities frequently enough on this item.

So, I have spoken him, I explained to him that there was some confusion about this issue. I tried to explain to him that it was not about activities related to ICANN only, it can be activities not related to ICANN, and that that ALS is working in its country and its doing a report on that and its okay. We will contact as a report for us. But Seun thinks that four reports a year is too much. Maybe he's right, so I wanted to hear your opinions first. I'd like you to tell me what do you think about this question. Do you think that asking the ALS's to do four reports on four local activities in their countries per year, do you think it is too much? This is the question. Michel is saying that it is too much. Okay. Yes, Michel?

MICHEL TCHONANG:

Michel speaking. Hi, Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Michel, did you say it was too much?

MICHEL TCHONANG: Yes, Michel speaking, yes, it was me. Yes, I think it is too much. I think it's really too much. We have a lot of work to do so four reports is too much. Maybe we can be more flexible. I think that what was said in the different email exchange, we said that two activities at least, two activities at least per year, may be enough. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much. Tijani speaking. So, you think that two activities per year and not four activities per year, this is your opinion, Michel. Okay.

MICHEL TCHONANG: Michel speaking. We can say, "at least".

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Tijani speaking. Aziz, you have the floor.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Thank you very much. Can you hear me?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, Aziz, go ahead.

---

AZIZ HILALI:

Aziz speaking. Okay, I agree with Seun. I think because of our organization we need one activity per year at least. Well, if we have two conditions that are important conditions for us to organize an event in our country, I think it's okay. That will be okay. So, I think one activity per year is largely enough. We need to ask the ALS to inform us of their activities because a lot of ALS's have activities, organize activities, but they don't tell us.

So, I remind you that we had the website asking for the ALS's to inform us on the activities of the community, of the local community. I think one activity per year is enough, but I think that we as AFRALO, we want people to participate actively to the AFRALO meeting, to the monthly meeting, participate to the development of different activities, because this is important for Africa and also because they have to participate to working groups.

Frequently, when there is a work to do or a working group in ALAC or in ICANN or in AFRALO, it's always the same people who are working and we want our ALS's to participate more to the working groups. And we have to stress on this question and on the parameters, the metrics, we have to assist on the metrics, so we can go back to the question you asked. I think one report is enough per year for the ALS's.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Tijani speaking. Well, there are four elements for evaluation. One is to participate to teleconferences, participate to the working groups, and send some messages on the mailing list. And then there is the local activities that is something that was always forgotten in At-Large

---

(inaudible). I think that to show that the ALS is organizing activities in its country is a way to show that this activity is still existing. Sometimes some ALS's are working inside ICANN, but they are not working in their country, so when we say that we are representing the end user, we are lying. Because the ALS is very important and we are fighting with this review because we want to keep our ALS. Because the ALS is first an entity which is recognized in its country and represents its community in the country.

So one ALS without any community and without any work is not corresponding or matching to this idea. So we have lost our goal. So these local activities are very important, the reports are very important. I agree with you if you agree that we need to reduce the amount of activities and of reports per year. Seun is speaking about one report per year, Aziz one report per year, and Michel, two reports per year. So, what is the decision do you want to take? Abdeldjalil, you have the floor.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you very much, Abdeldjalil speaking. I think that two reports per year is enough. Every six months, a report. But the ALS's can send more than two reports, but we have to ask them to make at least two reports per year.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Abdeldjalil. So, we are speaking about the minimum at least. At least, you say, at least one report, at least one activity, at least two activities, this is what we are speaking about. So, I think that the

---

opinions are different. I'd like to hear your opinion, maybe Philip, maybe Beran, maybe Barrack? Please can you give us your opinion?

BERAN DONDEH: This is Beran. I'd like to speak, please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Go ahead, Beran, go ahead.

BERAN DONDEH: Okay, thank you. This is Beran, for the record. I just wanted to (inaudible) on what Abdeldjalil said. I think two reports per year is definitely reasonable, one report every six months. So, I want to agree with Abdeldjalil. Two reports per year, one every six months. Thank you.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters. Can Pastor Peters be recognized, please?  
Hello.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Just a minute. I'm asking Tijani to give you the floor, but I have no answer.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, Peter, you have the floor.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Good afternoon, everybody. Pastor Peters for the record. Anyway, I have no problem with the number of reports a ALS should or must provide yearly. But my concern or request would be that as much as people want to organize activities locally, what plans are in place from ICANN to support local activities? It's not enough for us to say we want to organize activities. We want to organize activities but then we request a (inaudible) from our support.

So, out here support is (inaudible) now, I know I've been away for a while, but I've been monitoring the discussions through the email that I've been receiving. So actually to also encourage us the (inaudible) force of the ALS, plans should be also be in place to support what we do. Not every time but there should be some level of periodic support with whatever work we do in our local areas. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Tijani speaking. Thank you. Thank you very much, Pastor Peters, for your contribution. And thank you, Beran, also for your contribution. About what you said, Pastor Peters, ICANN can help the ALS's for the local activities with very small contributions because we have the new system with the fund, with the \$2,000 fund for this kind activity. \$2,000 funding. So, maybe it can be for something very cheap. There is no money to pay for the trip, to rent big rooms, or to pay a hotel. But you have financing for local activities for all Africa.

So, for example, if you want to buy some sandwiches for the participants, if you want to print some documents about the meeting,

---

---

you can do that, it's possible. But the important thing is that people organize activities. It's not because of this contribution, of this money, that people are going to organize more activities. If the ALS has no activities on ground in its country, it doesn't exist. So, now let's try to calculate. We have more people voting for two activities per year, no? So, today I'm going to change the report we made and we are going to put one activity per six months, two activities per year. Philip, you have the floor.

PHILIP FOMBA JOHNSON:

Thank you very much. This is Philip Johnson for the record. On the metric, I put two activities by year. Also, in addition, the online meetings, the monthly online meetings, are very important for ALS's. That, I do not know what we suggested, or how many meetings are they allowed to attend to know that they are active. In addition, as ALS we are the representatives of the end users in our community, and at least within those reports we should be able to inform what our local activities were in informing our end users on our activities, or on our performance at the RALO meeting, what were some of the suggestions.

Making a report to our end user community is also important. They have to know, besides us attending the meetings, we must be able to inform our ALS at the local level what were some of the suggestions, what were some of the issues that were discussed, so that we can be informed on what is taking place at ICANN level or what are we doing as representatives of the end users at the RALO's. Thank you very much. This is my suggestion.



---

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters, I want to have the floor.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Just a minute, Pastor Peters, I'm going to ask Tijani. Pastor Peters, just a minute, we are going to answer Philip first.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Philip, Tijani speaking. As I answered on the list, you are from AFRALO, you know that we have an item on our agenda about ALS's activities. So, the ALS's are not doing any report because these activities are not official. As now these activities are a condition for their participation into ICANN and they are going to be decertified if they are not active about that. I think the ALS's are going to organize activities and they are going to draft those reports. So, of course, during the monthly meetings, it is important that people just sum up, tell us what they are doing and do some reports about what they are doing in their country, report about their activities. Maybe they don't do this report because they have no activities. Pastor Peters, you have the floor.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Thank you, Tijani. I think I will also want to suggest that in trying to organize activities the ALS's in the various countries need to work together. Now, Tijani mentioned \$2,000 of the small fund available. The question is, for instance, if we have five ALS's in one country, and now those ALS's want to organize local activities

---

differently, and they all apply for the funding, are they all going to be given the funding? Which is most unlikely.

In order to conserve funding, if these ALS's were to work together as a group from one country, that will also help to sensitize but also increase the visibility of ICANN because you now have ALS's coming together, work together. So, what am I saying? I'm saying, one, there is a need for the secretariats to (inaudible), to all the ALS's, these of ALS's in different countries. For example, how many ALS's are right now in Nigeria?

See, if we have the names and the contacts of all the ALS's, then we can also reach out to one another to see how we can work together. Same goes for other countries. So, if we pool our resources together that will also assist us in a very big way in organizing activities and promoting the programs of ICANN. And that's one area we also need to look into. And that will also help us to establish ICANN on a country level and then from sub-regional levels, so that we can have an organized form instead of relying more on the RALO.

RALO is the regional body, they will also advise sub-regional bodies, but that can only be functional if the ALS's from each country are made to work together to reach out to one another, so that there will be a form of relation for what they do. So that is my suggestion. And then on a lighter mood, I want to ask Tijani, I know in the past I used to be the Vice-Chair of this working group, am I still the Vice-Chair or my position has been replaced by somebody else? Thank you.

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Peter, we have a lot of questions here. The Performance Metrics first and we are late. And we have two other questions to address. And those items should be already adopted. We came back because of Seun but please, we are going to keep speaking about these three items, because we are late. So, I'm going to give the floor to Abdeldjalil and to Aziz, who is first? Aziz, okay. Aziz, you have the floor.

ABDDELJALIL BACHAR BONG: Aziz speaking. Thank you, Tijani. I just wanted to say that we were leaving our subject. We are here to speak about Performance Metrics, that's all. So, I'm going to ask you to participate to the AFRALO meeting and you will have these kinds of answers.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Aziz. Abdeldjalil, you have the floor.

ABDDELJALIL BACHAR BONG: Abdeldjalil speaking. Thank you. I suggest that we organize a webinar for the ALS's to know how to work with the reports, how to edit the Wiki, so it will be very useful and so we won't ask the ALS's to do some work that they don't know how to do.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Abdeldjalil. Tijani speaking. I'm going to ask you to send an email about that. We are very late so we have to go ahead. Did you read the Wiki page about decertification? It's important to read

---

it. I'd like to know if you have read it. Did everybody read this report about decertification? Okay, thank you. Just (inaudible) just took the floor. Yes, it was (inaudible), okay, confirm. Okay. So, I'm going to read what I said because I want to move ahead. If not, we are not going to finish before the General Assembly.

So, last week I've written, it was written in English, what were the reasons for the certification. Some ALS's were silent for too many times so here are the candidates for the certifications. First, the ALS, he's a standby who doesn't exist anymore, or hibernation mode, or don't exist anymore. The ALS is not interested anymore in AFRALO work, secondly. These ALS's will be decertified. NARLO and APRALO have already recommended the decertification of some ALS's to ALAC.

Now, I have proposed in the Wiki page some parameters for decertification. And I said that if an ALS that has been in a Standby Status in the performance metrics, and there is a status called, Standby. If an ALS is Standby for one entire year and if the ALS didn't give valid reasons for that situation, it should be considered for decertification. And I also said that before proceeding in the decertification process, the RALO Chair, or another designated RALO member, officially will contact the ALS representative for a last trial to bring it back to the RALO activities. I've also said that if six months after the trial, the last trial, the ALS didn't improve and didn't give convincing reasons for its silence, the RALO should proceed and propose to ALAC the decertification of the ALS.

And I also said that all the above is applicable to individual members. And I have also proposed a process. It's more or less the same but more

---

---

organized. An ALS in a Standby Status it is contacted by the RALO Chair to understand why it is not active. Then, during one year, this interaction with the ALS representatives shall continue to bring the ALS back to the RALO activities. If, despite all those efforts, the ALS didn't give any sign of improvement, a final warning is sent to the ALS informing them that the decertification will happen in 6 months, if no improvement is made.

So, in summary, once an ALS reaches the Standby Status, it will spend a year in interaction with the RALO Chair for, to understand the reason for this inactivity. So, I think a year is enough. And if no successful result is obtained, the ALS will be decertified six months later. This is what I have written in the Wiki page. So now I'd like to have your opinions.

EVIN ERDOĞDU:

Who wishes to take the floor? Do you think this could be a physical proposal? Do you think it's acceptable? I don't hear any requests for the floor. Nor do I see any hands raised.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU:

Do I take it you agree?

---

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: If you agree, please add a green sign.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: So, who else agrees? I see Abdeldjalil asking for the floor. Pastor, I will let him know that you wish to take the floor after Abdeldjalil. Abdeldjalil, you can go ahead.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Abdeldjalil is probably muted.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: No, okay, he added the green sign. Who else wishes to take the floor?

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Yes, please hold, sir. This time is going to be the last read, so this is the time to discuss it.

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Okay, so, Pastor Peters, you have the floor. Please do stay on this subject and not speak about anything else. Go ahead.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: I support the proposal. I mean, (inaudible). So I support the proposal. That's all.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Okay, great, thank you.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Okay, so Pastor Peters, Aziz and Abdeldjalil agree, says Tijani. Aziz, you have the floor.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Thank you, Tijani. I just wanted to point out that what you suggest has actually been discussed within the working group that you chair and we're going to seize the fact that we will have four to six ALS's present to potentially apply the suggestions. And so I think it would be a good time to discuss the matter because there are some ALS's that did not participate in our working group but that should be consulted on the matter. So, as a working group we should put forward this proposal to the rest of the ALS's.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Tijani speaking. Thank you, Aziz. So, I'm going to ask the staff to send out an email for all working group members to ask them to please access the Wiki and read what we have suggested, so that they can provide their viewpoint on the mailing list so that next time we can vote on the approval of this proposal. Okay, is that alright? Are there any other comments regarding decertification? Otherwise we're going to move onto the next item which is, Quorum.

I don't see any hands raised. In that case, we're going to move on to item number three, item number five in the agenda actually, but it is the third part. As you'll see I have also added a proposal on the Wiki page and I hope you have read it. I see. So, regarding Quorum, I haven't written much, it's just the fact that Quorum, as it is currently outlined in the Rules of Procedure says that for a meeting to be carried out and for it to be valid there should be one third of the members present or the ALS's. So it sounds reasonable, or it sounded reasonable at the time at which we drafted it, but now that we have 52 ALS's I don't think that we should keep the same values. I mean, we said seven members, but we can no longer hold the decision of seven members to be valid.

Of course, they can discuss matters but their decisions will not be legitimate or representative, if you will. So, that is one of the changes that we need to add. And then regarding votes and decision making, the current operating principle states that there should be half of the members. So, that would be a majority. But I think that we should also reconsider that.



---

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters, please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I believe our current operating principles are valid other than the fact that, for a meeting to be valid there should be more than seven members. I think we should change that, I don't have any other proposals to add here because what we have at present is valid and is good and we should stick to (crosstalk).

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters, please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: What do you think? Aziz will take the floor first. Mr. Peters.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Regarding the Quorum, I don't think AFRALO will oppose to what you suggest. We all know that many ALS's actively hibernate, and I would say most of our member ALS's do not take part in our decisions and our discussions, and only react once we have a specific proposal. So, for votes and elections we should perhaps change of course that Quorum. But for important discussion within ALAC and discussions related to ICANN when we ask people to give out their opinion we should actually stress the importance of our ALS's

---

participating so that we can have a more representative viewpoint and more suggestions. I think—

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Yes, please hold, Mr Peters.

AZIZ HILALI: I think in the case of many things that needs to be decided by vote, I think we will need more participation for elections, for votes to be valid. So, we would have to have at least half the members vote if we are to have a vote and to take a decision, and that would be a valid Quorum. If we had under half the members voting, we should take a vote again. Now, one third would not be enough in that event. At any meeting where we do not meet the necessary Quorum, we should reschedule a new meeting the following week so that we can have more participation of our ALS's. That's what I think.

Tijani speaking. Thank you very much, Aziz. I'm not entirely of your mind, I don't entirely agree because of legitimacy questions. What we have currently is exactly what I have added on the Wiki pages. It's not a matter of changing what we have for the sake of change itself. Now, the idea is to improve our operating principles not because decisions taken by three people be valid or invalid. So, what I suggest is that we reconsider this. We've been working with these operating principles for a number of years and I think we should perhaps wait a bit longer

---

before we change everything. There's going to be more ALS's joining us within the next year, so I think with the operating principles as they will be amended, they have to be respected by everyone. And within a year those ALS's that are dormant or that are not active are going to have to go through a new decertification process

EVIN ERDOĞDU: (inaudible), I'm sorry, but we can hear you, please mute yourself.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: If you wish to take the floor, (inaudible), you can ask for the floor and I'll give it to you. But you cannot—

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor Peters. But he hasn't Pastor Peters' been asking (inaudible).

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Yes, Mr. Peters, I heard you, please hold.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. So, like I said, we're going to have someone else and a new procedure within the next year and a new decertification procedure so I think the ALS's that are not active today will be more

---

active in the future. Because in the future there's going to be a new decertification process for those that do not engage actively. So will have to be reconsidered and then the situation will improve.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. (inaudible), we can still hear you and you're having a side conversation, so we're going to have to ask you to please mute yourself.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Yes, (inaudible), this is very disruptive. We can hear you speaking. We hear you. If it's not you speaking, there's someone else speaking and we can hear your side conversation. So, please mute yourself. Aziz, you have the floor, you can go ahead.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Even if we vote a second time, I think we will not be able to move forward and we have many ALS's that do not engage and do not participate. Now, if we have a vote and we have a result with under half the members voting, our results will not be representative. What shall we do if we have many ALS's that are not currently active.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Nothing could address the situation and there's nothing we can do. There's never been a vote other than once or twice where we had to vote. That was the case the last time when we had to vote for a secretariat and then the second time when Sebastien had made his

---

suggestion and that did not go through. Now, for AFRALO members it was always the same person.

AZIZ HILALI: Yes, but for the ALAC review, Aziz speaking, wasn't that the case?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Yes, that was the case for the ALAC review but we had Quorum.

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Yes, but that was only after I sent individual emails to each ALS.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Now, we are currently blocked. Most rules...

AZIZ HILALI: Aziz speaking. Silvia, can you please check who it is that's speaking at the same time as we are and mute that person? Please, Silvia. Now, I repeat, like I said, we cannot take votes to be valid by yes or no with fewer members.

PASTOR PETERS OSAWARU OMORAGBON: Pastor. Could I say something?

---

AZIZ HILALI: We have been at a stranglehold in the past because we didn't have enough participation. Now, when the Quorum is not reached there shall be a second vote on the following week where a decision will be taken regardless of the number of members present. But I think we should have this sort of procedure. There has been times where we have been blocked because members did not participate, so we should absolutely have a rule regarding this matter.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Tijani speaking. Okay, Aziz. When there's a decision to be taken—

(inaudible @ 00:45:39 - 00:46:10 - music/crosstalk/auto message)

PASTOR PETER OSAWARU OMORAGBON: There's music on the French channel. Okay. Alright. Pastor Peters speaking for the record. This is my position on this issue. One, one third of membership is alright to form a Quorum in a meeting. That is (inaudible) everywhere in the world. But if the first instance we do not have one third membership in attendance, we could also have it as a rule added that in the next call, if we do not have one third, the majority of those who attended the next meeting, (inaudible) but majority of those who attended next meeting will be binding. Because if we do not stick to our rules, understand, we may not be able to achieve anything.

At the first instance we have got from the Quorum, if we don't form the Quorum at the first instance we could say the next meeting, the

---

majority of those who will be present, the (inaudible) will be binding because nobody will claim ignorance of such meeting. That is our Quorum. If you cancel this meeting, it depends, if we are having a meeting to take a decision, is the (inaudible) if we are standing out (inaudible) on a particular issue.

So we must have (inaudible) votes. If we have to take a decision on any issue (inaudible) to be at a meeting, that has true telecom friends or whichever, or the issue's going to be sent out as an email to members to vote on. So, if we're able to support that, fine. It if is by meeting then any decision taken at any meeting will form a Quorum, or at a second instance if people did not come but those who are there (inaudible) speaking should be binding. I think we should include it in the rules of the Rules of Procedure for AFRALO. That is my take on this issue.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Tijani speaking. Thank you very much, Pastor Peters. That is similar to what Aziz was saying and is in line with what he suggested. So we're going to add a provision to say that in the event where we could not have a Quorum, during the vote period, we could have a second vote and to take the opinion of the majority to be the valid decision. We have three more minutes before the end of the call. I would like to thank you on this discussion and on your opinions.

Regarding decertification, we're going to have the last discussion next time. And we're going to have to vote on that. I would like to ask the staff to send out an email to all working group members asking them to

---

read the Wiki on Decertification and on Quorum, so that next time we can have a last read on these two items. Then we should also ask members to make their comments on the mailing list so that we can update the proposal and have a last read next time. And hopefully be able to adopt our changes. Regarding Quorum, we have a first proposal that we have already discussed.

And I hope we will have a more thorough operating principle on the matter next time. But on the basis of everything we've discussed we should have this change of having the second vote, regardless of Quorum, regardless of the number of participants. We're going to further discuss this next time. We're going to have a reading of these revisions and then we're going to see if we are able to adopt these changes. So, I would like to thank you all for participating. I thank the staff, Silvia, Evin and Gisela, and the interpreters. So, thank you all very much and talk to you next time.

EVIN ERDOĞDU:

Thank you all for joining. This meeting is now adjourned. Please do not forget to disconnect your line while leaving the room and the bridge. Thank you very much and have a wonderful rest of your day.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**