

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 3 – String Contention, Objections & Disputes will take place on Tuesday, 23 May 2017 at 15:00 UTC.

Karen Day:Welcome Jamie - and thanks for your CC2 comments.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Good morning .. my pleasure to offer comments from our experience as a community applicant.

Annebeth Lange:Good afternoon from Norway

avri doria:wow, a new intro to mtgs slide.

Annebeth Lange:Karen, have you recieved my comments to CC2? I have sent them in, but I am a little unsure if I have done it the right way

Karen Day:Hi Annabeth, Yes, I saw yours as well this morning. Here's the link

<https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/comments-subsequent-procedures-22mar17/2017-May/thread.html>

Annebeth Lange:Thanks

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):No questions.

Steve Chan:FYI, the slides are unsynced at the moment. We are on slide 4 now.

Steve Chan:We can of course sync them if you'd prefer.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:are these slides available somewhere?

Steve Chan:@Jamie, we will send them along with the meeting notes/action items after the meeting

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:great. thanks Steve

Emily Barabas:You can also find them on the wiki here:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_IdTRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_IdTRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=pYDOe3vXbHU5JyOULv02PW348xeAvnfd7lfdxW-25kl&s=bn2fAynDn4wkys1Mez7dksFcl5r7wG6nAsjLsicqwHg&e=)

[05YzARosRvTYd84AB\\_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=pYDOe3vXbHU5JyOULv02PW348xeAvnfd7lfdxW-25kl&s=bn2fAynDn4wkys1Mez7dksFcl5r7wG6nAsjLsicqwHg&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_IdTRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=pYDOe3vXbHU5JyOULv02PW348xeAvnfd7lfdxW-25kl&s=bn2fAynDn4wkys1Mez7dksFcl5r7wG6nAsjLsicqwHg&e=)

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Excellent. thanks Emily

Annebeth Lange:Won't the ongoing discussion on categories on the list go into this as well?

Robin Gross:If we do want to continue the preference given to "communities", we need to arrive at a shared definition of "community".

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):With apologies, I have to drop. I have a conflicting BRG meeting that's been in progress since the top of the hour. I'll read the transcript.

avri doria:draftng team from the Work Track to work on defintion might be useful.

avri doria:from a personal perspectiive (without chair hat) : i supported communities in the original policy of 2007 and still support the notion.

Trang Nguyen:@Jamie, are you referring to implementation in the context of the criteria and evaluation methodology in the AGB, or in the context of execution (applying those criteria)?

Annebeth Lange:+1, Avri

Gg Levine (NABP):+1, Jamie

Jim Prendergast:The character limits on responses alos impeded community applicants ability to explain their community approach. But thats probably implementation

Trang Nguyen:Thank you for the clarification, Jamie!

Annebeth Lange:+1, Jamie

Robin Gross:I'm not convinced we should have communities

Phil Buckingham:I personally think that there should be no priority given for any applicant for R2 -, but need also to eliminate contention sets - as money always wins at the end of the day . We must have a level playing field from the outset

Robin Gross:be happy to.

Annebeth Lange:I think there should be communities, but: Could post-delegation procedures stop gaming? If you claim to be a community and it turns out you are not after the delegation.

Greg Shatan: Annebeth, I was thinking along the same lines. It might just inspire new gaming, but anything that keeps "community" from simply being gamed to get priority would be welcome.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay: based on the inconsistencies and concerns of the current round, and as already advised by GAC, an appeals mechanism for CPE must be available.

Gg Levine (NABP): It seems practical that the will of the many (community) would supercede the will of one (individual applicant).

Robin Gross: I don't think there would be agreement on what kind of "community" should be given preferences.

Gg Levine (NABP): Robin, do you mean if there is contention by two communities for the same string?

Steve Chan: <https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/program-status/pddrp>

Robin Gross: I mean as a general concept. The idea that some groups are more valued than others. I don't think this WG or the icann community generally can come to agreement on whom to privilege in this process.

Julie Bisland: The next New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 3 – String Contention, Objections & Disputes will take place on Tuesday, 06 June 2017 at 20:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Greg Shatan: Bye all!

Annebeth Lange: Bye all

Robin Gross: thanks, Karen and all, bye!

avri doria: bye