

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues on Thursday, 18 May 2017 at 21:00 UTC.

Julie Bisland:Agenda Wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_FtTRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=qOCW47QzIIDSQ_w8J-lbcLyRaG531aMVYmJPh79HWVE&e=

Phil Buckingham:hi , im in - all working

Michael Flemming:I am right here

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed you are

Michael Flemming:We have 20 on, we should get started soon.

Jeff Neuman:Lets go :)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):morning Phil

Michael Flemming:Phil, people can just raise their hands if they have a question.

Jeff Neuman:Do we have control of the slides

Julie Hedlund:@Jeff: They are unsynced.

Julie Hedlund:-- Now...

Kathy Kleiman:Is anyone else having trouble hearing Phil?

Michael Flemming:Where are we?

Julie Hedlund:Yes.

Kathy Kleiman:It's as if he is muffled

Julie Hedlund:Not on a particular slide -- asking about the meeting schedule -- meeting weekly at this time.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):we do

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):but it is a bit muffled

Jeff Neuman 2:To sum up: We are meeting every week for the next 4 weeks

Kathy Kleiman:I was wondering what information/data/studies exist to inform the very good questions being raised above?

Jeff Neuman 2:Lots of topics to cover in WT2

Jim Prendergast:Ill do what I can but I know I have 1 or 2 conflicts

Jeff Neuman 2:We are losing you Phil

Jim Prendergast:maybe do a poll to all WT members since nto everyone is on the call?

Jeff Neuman 2:yep

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):as long as my calendar gets booked I will comply

Kathy Kleiman:yes

Steve Chan:Here is the Google sheet that provides guidance on schedule of topics:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_10-5FNpWTXFMNHkJARveqCapEssRt1CaEqwWe8g2-5Fw493E_edit-23gid-3D422424904&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=ZWti053MJJGOkaLCU-zykd_n7uwtjti1YLqOIBw8IWQ&e=

Karen Day (SAS):hear you fine, Phil

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Steve please Skype links to me the android AC doesn't support links that work

Jeff Neuman 2:The leadership team has thought about this quite a bit and we agree that starting from scratch would not be a good idea. The train essentially has left the station on registry/Registry Cross-Ownership

Steve Chan:@Cheryl, done. Please let me know if that works.

Jeff Neuman 2:Essentially Registries were not allowed to own Registrars, but Registrars could own registries

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):and the letters VI still make me shudder somewhat.. The WG was an "interesting experience"

Jim Prendergast:very polite of you Cheryl "interesting experience"

Jeff Neuman 2:Jon Nevett and I still had the best proposal in the Working Group :)

Jim Prendergast:do we have any statistics on how many Vertically integrated Registries there are?

Jim Prendergast:is that something ICANN tracks?

Jeff Neuman 2:@Jim, that is a good question....we should collect that info

Jeff Neuman 2:ICANN should have that from the certifications that are required to be filed every year from integrated entities

Jeff Neuman 2:Trang?

Michael Flemming:They do, I think.

Michael Flemming:There are questions that ask about ownership.

Trang Nguyen:I believe it should be possible to get this data. Will check.

Rubens Kuhl:I'm not hearing Phil.

Rubens Kuhl:Is it just me ?

Krisitna Rosette (Amazon Registry):Did Phil drop?

Sarah L:phones gone quiet?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):audio

Alexander Schubert:he dropped

Kathy Kleiman:yes

Rubens Kuhl:On the audio bridge I can hear Jeff and some others.

Phil Buckingham:so sorry i ve lost you . could I have another dial out .

Karen Day (SAS):Phil, the A.C room will call you directly if you click on the phone icon

Phil Buckingham:thanks Karen

Julie Bisland:I'm attempting to call Phil

Steve Chan:Here is the first link: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_applicants_agb_base-2Dagreement-2Dcontracting_ccer&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=2LQqljPc9Ba4D7ZZ0pew-X6YRtUgJNG3jfl1u_DgDEI&e=

Steve Chan:And the second link: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_applicants_agb_base-2Dagreement-2Dcontracting_specification-2D13-2Dapplications&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=kSZji28yavnPI8nnHuk3Lpl-Fh4PkM15EwQFGVWQO5M&e=

Gg Levine (NABP):This approach makes sense.

Jim Prendergast:good question Kathy

Jon Nevett:Are these issues within the picket fence?

Michael Flemming:Exactly what I was gonna say :)

Kathy Kleiman:That would be great - tx you!

Krisitna Rosette (Amazon Registry):Let's stick with complaints that Compliance determined had a foundation. Anyone can file a complaint with Compliance about anything.

Michael Flemming:Well, I'd be interested to know if there wre any complaints filed in the first place.

Jon Nevett:jeff, do you have an opinion about the picket fence?

Rubens Kuhl:It's a stepped away mark.

Alan Greenberg:VI was explicitly included within the picket fence if I recall correctly.

Rubens Kuhl: Alan, if you want to respond to the current thread, please move ahead of me. I'm introducing a new thread.

Christa Taylor: I believe VI was a PDP back at that time. If it wasn't in the 'picket fence' than it wouldn't have been a PDP

Jon Nevett: I just checked and it's in the Picket Fence -- see Spec 1, Sec 1.2.6

Jeff Neuman 2: @Jon: I was just going to say that too: restrictions on cross-ownership of registry operators and registrars or registrar resellers and regulations and restrictions with respect to registry operations and the use of registry and registrar data in the event that a registry operator and a registrar or registrar reseller are affiliated.

Rubens Kuhl: We call it registrar 9998 in RA lingo.

Alan Greenberg: Spec 1, section 1.2.6. Consensus Policies shall relate to one or more of the following: [...] restrictions on cross-ownership of registry operators and registrars or registrar resellers and regulations and restrictions with respect to registry operations and the use of registry and registrar data in the event that a registry operator and a registrar or registrar reseller are affiliated.

Michael Flemming: It used to be 9999

Rubens Kuhl: 9999 is the one for nic, www, whois etc.

Michael Flemming: Ah, yes, you are correct.

Christa Taylor: Page 18 of the scope discussed whether this would fall within policy development (picket fence) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_issues_vertical-2Dintegration_report-2D04dec09-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=Qif-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=ty-6c1wiwDWfQtA8wfM83O5DKaCGU57FwwyUQZ2TclM&e=

Kathy Kleiman: Depending on the premise that major abuses have not taken place

Kathy Kleiman: Are there registrars on the call?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): I don't think we can answer this without compliance data.

Michael Flemming: Yes and we have not seen any problems but agree with Kristina on getting that data.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): agree Kristina

Rubens Kuhl: Kathy, are there non-VI registrars on the call? They are more likely to oppose than the ones that are already VI.

Steve Chan: CRA Report here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_www.icann.org_en_topics_new-2Dgtds_crai-2Dreport-2D24oct08-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=Qif-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=gs9Kn5hdP4D-L_IKNe5KZ1K1Mt0oBpDWrl8u7YJveds&s=tXaRn-ZvL6w06V5TIRo8ZizDfAaNg_4-UUGqKs1XeVI&e=

Rubens Kuhl: What I heard from folks at VI registries, that had a registrar but registry was their primary business, is that following the equal access was so complex they saw no advantage in being one. They in effect sold their registrar business sometime after.

Phil Buckingham 2: MMX - right?

Steve Chan: That's it

Julie Hedlund: No more slides

Julie Bisland: The next New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues will take place on Thursday, 25 May 2017 at 21:00 UTC.

Kathy Kleiman: good meeting - useful slides!

Steve Chan: 25 May, 1 Jun, 15 Jun

Jeff Neuman 2: Thanks Michael

Phil Buckingham 2: Contractual compliance, Global Public Interest TLD Rollout

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): thanks everyone... bye for now then...

avri doria:bye

Trang Nguyen:Thanks, all! Bye!

Phil Buckingham 2:Sorry . Thanks Jeff for stepping in