Terri Agnew: Welcome to the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) Sub Team for Trademark Claims is scheduled for Friday, 21 April 2017 at 16:00 UTC for 60 minute duration.

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org x ndPRAw&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5c M&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-

H4xR2EBk&m=Nnshtf_FjZMCKsmi_ppBkD0XN4VSa4tNPkVYcKyDbIk&s=EzuFwAtNhNeCMRtHxbOJGIYGn 66y8r7Vbn1ocdQTk9A&e=

Terri Agnew: We would like to introduce Julie Bisland as GNSO SO/AC Support coordinator. She has started training with us this week.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Hi everyone

Terri Agnew: Kathy Kleiman is also on audio only at this time

Michael R Graham: Morning all.

Susan Payne: yes to good faith

Amr Elsadr:There was also a suggestion on the Sunrise Registrations Sub Team call to move question 4 there.

Susan Payne:agree with Michael

Beth Allegretti:I also agree

Amr Elsadr:It's all good. :-)

Mary Wong:@Michael, Q4 was suggested in one comment to the Issue Report.

Mary Wong: The Charter basically just listed all suggested questions in their original form without editing.

Mary Wong: It was the actual text from one comment.

Susan Payne: yes we did not review these questions and edit to remove bias, we only did that exercise for the TMCH charter Qs

Mary Wong: And this is the exercise for this Sub Team and for Sunrise as well.

Amr Elsadr: That's a good point Susan.

Michael R Graham: Agree with "batching"

Mary Wong:No - this question was not dropped; it was moved to the TMCH discussion. There it was reworded and in its reworked form dsicussed by the WG.

Michael R Graham: @Mary -- Agree it should not be here.

Susan Payne:agree, thanks Mary. we did move this to the TMCH debate and refine the wording to remove bias

- J. Scott Evans: I think that the subsequent questions are asked with a great deal of hyperbole
- J. Scott Evans: I think the questions as currently worded clearly encompass this issue.

Terri Agnew: Scott Austin is on audio only

Mary Wong: This Sub Team can consider if the Analysis Group findings raise specific additional questions about Claims.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Thanks Mary, welcome Scott

J. Scott Evans:3 and 5 cover this. those 2 question are very broad. I do not think the discussion of these issues is precluded by these two questions.

Susan Payne:so, to be clear we are taking this question back out aren't we

J. Scott Evans: Shouldn't the question be is the Claims notice having the INTENDED deterent effect? Amr Elsadr: The Analysis Group covered some of this, ackowledging some constraints in the data they had access to.

Mary Wong:@Kristine, per Amr's note, this may be the place to consider the Analysis Group's findings (as data to assist the review of this question).

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Tx Mary

Mary Wong: We'll go through the previous documentation to find the references that Michael is asking about.

Michael R Graham: Thanks, Mary! It's best that we keep (or get) focused through what has been done.

- J. Scott Evans: I am almost certain the IRT Report has this infromation.
- J. Scott Evans: I disagree with Kathy's points. I find many times the sub-questions become very biased and agenda driven

Susan Payne:+1 Kristine

- J. Scott Evans: Overally, broad application is NOT the intended effect.
- J. Scott Evans:+1

Susan Payne: Yes Michael - I think that helps us with our ordering of dealing with the questions, ie maybe 1/2 come later than 3/5

Mary Wong:On Question 1, note that the Analysis Group also discussed this in their revised report (on extending the Claims period).

Michael R Graham:@Susan -- Agreed -- I think what we can do is seek the information/evidence of what is happening and then base further quesitons on that DATA.

J. Scott Evans:+1 to Kristine's plan forward.

Mary Wong: The GNSO has a process for requesting data from external sources - if appropriate, a WG may make that request to the Council. We'll provide the information to the list.

Susan Payne:agree

Mary Wong:We're good!

Michael R Graham: Kristine: Agree with path and plan.

Terri Agnew: @Kristine, we will not your apology for next week

Terri Agnew:**note

Beth Allegretti: I will also not be on the call next Friday

Amr Elsadr:Should be good.

Mary Wong: You'll get the update before then :) Prob Monday

Terri Agnew:@Beth, apology is noted

J. Scott Evans:Yes. and that needs to be update by Wednesday so the subteam can look at prior to our call next Friday.

Mary Wong:OK, got it - staff part will be done by Monday to enable the group to do its homework :) Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Perfect, thanks.

Amr Elsadr: Note that the full working group call next week will take place at the APAC-friendly alternate time 03:00 UTC on Thursday.

Mary Wong:@Kristine, @Michael - yes, not to proceed with commissioning data but can identify data gaps/needs and can identify known sources plus take initial look at what we already have (e.g. Analysis Group, Deloitte,).

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Let's put our redrafted Qs on the google doc in a new column

Michael R Graham: @Kristine -- Thanks, Quesitons and Set down data we think needed to answer questions. Agreed!

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Yes, thanks.

Michael R Graham: Thanks J. Scott for confirming.

Michael R Graham: @Kristine -- Thanks for shepherding meeting -- great work.

Susan Payne:thaks Kristine great call

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Thanks all

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

Mary Wong: Thanks Kristine ande veryone!

Louise Marie Hurel: Thanks all

J. Scott Evans:ciao, ciao