MARIO ALEMAN: So good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone. Welcome to the LACRALO Governance Working Group Call, on Monday, April the 24th, 2017 at 18:00 UTC. On the call today, we have on the Spanish channel: Harold Arcos, Maritza Aguero, Sergio Salinas Porto, Aida Noblia, Humberto Carrasco, and Alberto Soto. On the English channel we have Dev Anand Teelucksingh, and we have apologies from Antonio Medina Gomez. From Staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Mario Aleman. I will be managing the call today. We have our interpreters on the Spanish channel, they are Claudia and Veronica.

> I would like to remind all participants before speaking to state their names, not only for the record, but also for the interpreters. So, with that, I'll now give the floor to Sergio, for you to begin with the call. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio Salinas Porto speaking, thank you very much, everyone. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Thank you for your participation in this call. Greetings to the interpreters and to the Staff for supporting us to carry out this call. The objective, the aim of this call is to continue working on the Operating Principles creation before June 25th, 2017, in order to be able to create an agreement, a basic agreement regarding each of the items involving these Operating Principles. When it comes to the agenda, as you can see, the agenda is already defined with some items that we see. The second item is the review of action items from our last call, and this has to do with some issues that I will start reading now.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

In our previous call, we were working on the document that was drafted in Los Angeles, that was the way forward for LACRALO and the new Operating Principles. We also decided to create new working groups, but then we decided to have only one, and that one working group was just the first step forward for the Operating Principles in our region. Then, we were going to include new members to the mailing list, to the governance mailing list. I think this is already done. Marsella Telles was helping me with that, so perhaps we will need to review this, and this might be a new action item for the Staff to review if all members are incorporated to the list.

And then we decided to have our next meeting today, that is to say, April 24th. So, this is our review of the call, and during these days we were circulating some email, I remember that I have sent one or two emails, and I know there is another mail by Vanda Scartezini regarding the inclusion of the council of elders, or whatever you want to call it, I don't know if that will be the final name, but this has to do with the Operating Principles and we also had some that have mentioned in one email that has circulated, with no repercussion, in fact, and the idea was to discuss by email some action items that were pending, to discuss.

This action item had to do with our previous work on Operating Principles. This was a process that was done, that finished some years ago, the activities of the meeting in Los Angeles that took place some years ago. So I would like to begin with this. I don't know if you have read that email, have any of you read that email? That is my question. Have you read the email? Silvia, I see your hand up, so you have the floor. Would you like to take the floor, Silvia? SILVIA VIVANCO:Silvia Vivanco speaking. Yes, thank you very much. I just wanted to say
that we have Maritza Aguero on the call and she would like to speak, so
could you please give the floor to her? Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Yes, sure. And I see also Dev Anand Teelucksing raising his hand, so after Maritza, I will give the floor to Dev. Thank you. Maritza, go ahead please.

MARITZA AGUERO: This is Maritza Aguero speaking. Thank you. Sergio, can you hear me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Yes, go ahead please.

MARITZA AGUERO: So, Maritza speaking. Sergio, I just wanted to point out that when it comes to participants that are part of these working groups, have been checked with the staff and all their emails have been included in the list. So if there is anyone who has not received the email, it's because there is a mistake with their email or because they have not provided their email, but in fact, all participants have been included in the mailing list.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you very much, Maritza, for these comments and for this information. So, if there is anyone who would like to joint this working group, please let that person know that he or she needs to express their willingness to participate in a working group and also to clarify that once he's there, he will be participating in the mailing list. Dev, I see your hand up, so you have the floor. Go ahead, please.
- DEV ANAND TEELUCKSING: Thank you, this is Dev Anand speaking. Thanks, Sergio. I've seen the email, actually I found it quite late because it was buried under my list of emails. There is one aspect of the email that you have sent noting the past work and so forth, and I thought, well I noticed that the mediation document which we agreed on the last call that we should be really be working on, and not look at the past documents as such would be looked at, the idea being that we look at the principles that were agreed upon at the LA meeting as part of the mediation process.

Those documents, we looked at those to establish what would be the foundation for making the changes to the Operating Principles, and not to also get into Rules of Procedure. I just thought I wanted to confirm that. Because I'm looking at the email, the impression was, seems to convey that the mediation document wasn't going to be taken into account, when in fact that is the only document that should be taken into account, and moving forward with making changes to the Operating Principles. That's it, thanks.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:	Sergio speaking. Humberto, you have the floor, go ahead please, I see your hand up.
HUMBERTO CARRASCO:	This is Humberto Carrasco for the record, can you hear me?
SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:	Sergio speaking. Your audio is really faint, very faint, so could you speak up, please?
HUMBERTO CARRASCO:	Humberto speaking, can you hear me better now?
SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:	Sergio speaking. Okay, go ahead please, yes we can hear you a little better.
HUMBERTO CARRASCO:	Humberto speaking. Yes, what Dev is saying is true. The founding document requires a kind of draft by LACRALO and that is provided in the mediation report. So that is the document that is establishing the limits that we need to take into account in our agreement. At this moment, I'm quite delayed, that is my fault, because I am finishing the GANN letter, and within this letter, I am giving a proposal that I will be circulating to you soon, for you to see the topics of the governance working group and the other topics that the leadership should take into account.

So, that's what I am saying, that we need to take into account what Dev is saying, because our document will pave the way for amending or for providing new rules, and that it in the document Dev is mentioning. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Thank you very much, Humberto, for your comments. Okay, first of all, we circulated an email with some documents that were being circulating and I don't remember if in that email we sent the Los Angeles document or the document drafted in Los Angeles. If that is the case, that was my mistake. However, there was another email that was sent with some issues that were under this caption, and I believe we have to give some order to those items. There was no reply to that email, and that didn't have to do with the documents, this had to do with what was debated before, and with some issues in the region.

> So, I think we should also take into account how we're going to move forward when it comes to our operations in the group. So that's why we have these calls, and I believe we are quite complicated, because otherwise we won't make it on time. If we are trying to be proactive within this working group, I think that we will have to start reading the emails and providing replies and proposals.

> Humberto, we will be looking forward to receiving the document you are preparing, because that will be also some guidelines for us to continue working. But if you will, we can now start with the document drafted in Los Angeles, that is our basic document, and we may also

take into account the agreements that were made at that time and see if we can start shaping our ideas to start working at once. Alberto Soto, you have the floor, go ahead please.

- ALBERTO SOTO: Alberto Soto speaking. Yes, I wanted to make a comment before you mentioned that. I have a doubt when it comes to what Dev and Humberto is saying. I mean, what do we mean by this? Are we going to take into account the observations that the governance working group has done before? Are these observations going to be taken into account, or not?
- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Humberto, would you like to reply to Alberto? Go ahead please.

HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Humberto Carrasco speaking.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Please speak up, Humberto.
- HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Humberto speaking. We have to make a distinction. First of all in Los Angeles we had an agreement among all the participants and that agreement had to be ratified at the end of the process by the LACRALO members. So we were able to take some document as a basis

EN

document that were created by previous working groups, but those documents should be in accordance with the mediation document, because that was the document we have agreed among all of us, I mean, we don't have to begin from scratch, but the guiding document is the mediation document. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Okay, Humberto, I think you have been very clear in response to Alberto's question. So, my question is do you think was can start working right now? Can we take this time to start working on the document on the agreement that we had in Los Angeles. I mean, if you say okay on the chat, that will be enough for me. So I have one, two, come on, please, Dev, okay, do you agree, Dev? Great, thank you Dev. Okay, let's start with the document. Can we have the document on the screen, please? Mario, can we place the document on the screen?

> Okay, I don't think it will be necessary to begin with the preliminary contents, but there are some items on the document that are important, and this is just to start taking into account some points or items on this document. Please bear with me, I need to just check something.

> Let's begin with this item which reads, "At the same time, LACRALO should look for the inclusion of diverse voices, acting bona fide people looking for leadership position or representation positions." Do you remember that some of the discussions that we had were if I'm not wrong, the conflict areas or the conflicts of interest that a person may

have when that person has one position but he is also wearing different hats. So I think we have to cap this. What do you think about this? Do you agree with pointing out, I mean, I will give an example from my organization, Internauta.

We believe that, for example, an ISP provider, registry or registrar, may not be able to part of LACRALO, okay? Because they are constantly -and I would also like to add this. Public decision maker would not be able to be here, because this is adding a lobbying situation or content in the region, and any document being issued or any advice to the board is not possible in this situation. So, what do you think about this? Alberto, you have the floor.

ALBERTO SOTO: Alberto speaking. I do agree with you, I mean, an ISP would not be able to participate, for example. People are coming from decision making bodies of a government, I mean, what kind of decisions are we talking about? I mean, decisions affecting the end user, for example, the ISPs. What is the problem with them? Well, the problem is, and we have already discussed that in Los Angeles. I mean, this is not a personal problem, but this is a person wearing more than one hat, and if that person is exercising an activity not in LACRALO that may be again the end user.

> So we cannot say, or we cannot, for example, indicate number one, number two, or number three. I believe it is not possible to delimit or to mention all the positions that might affect the end user. So I would

suggest having a kind of wording to clarify this in terms of the decision making people, decisions that might affect the end users.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Alberto, if I may, and this is a question for all of you. If we, if we say for example that any representative in the region, internet end users, may have a full participation in the region, provided that they are not ISPs, registrars, registries, I mean, I am just thinking aloud, but we can say a representative or decision maker coming from a country. If we say this in our wording, wouldn't this be enough? If we just want to create a broader framework, wouldn't this be enough? Why am I asking this?

> Because we may have some participation without receiving compensation, but we may have a government advisor. I don't think that would be a problem, but the problem is when there is someone participating and also making decisions at the governmental level. Because many of the people participating in the region may also be working at government organizations, but working at an organizational entity is not the same as making decisions in that entity. So I would say governmental decision makers, ISPs, registries, and registrars. So I think that would be the framework. Do you agree with this?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Alberto has the floor, and then Dev.

ALBERTO SOTO:

Alberto speaking. Dev, you may speak first, so Dev, you have the floor.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSING: Okay, this is Dev speaking. I would have some reservations about trying to include the various conditions, because I think you're going to have a follow as to what exactly is involved within government, what exactly is involvement in ISP or registrar, registry, or something like that. And I will give some examples. You may have lots of end users or end user organizations wanting to have community top level domains in future rounds and, you know, given that these things potentially are geared towards end users. I think it will be very strange or very difficult to say, well that person may have an interest in being involved in that, and therefore cannot be involved in LACRALO.

> I can envisage some of the concerns, so what exactly does being involved in government mean? You know, if you are invited by the government to serve, to give input in a multi stakeholder panel, does that make you part of the government? You know, those types of things. I think that what you have to do is you have to treat this on a case by case basis, rather than establishing if you work at a registry you're out, or if you get money from government, you're out, you know, those types of things. We should definitely keep our principles simple, and the core principle is that conflict of interest should be declared and therefore there should be a discussion as to whether at that point, whether that person should be allowed.

> I should mention, also, that the potential clause, I think there are quite a few members of LACRALO that are already, some are serving on the ICANN board, for example. Does that mean that they are not part of the community? There's a lot of challenges there to document what is

meant by "conflict," so I hope everybody is hearing me, because I'm hearing a lot of distortion or line noise on my end. But that's it. There's a lot of challenges in documenting these conflicts of interest. That's it.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much Dev, Sergio Salinas Port. We could hear the interpretation, so thank you very much. Alberto, you have the floor.
- ALBERTO SOTO: Alberto Soto speaking. I understand perfectly well what Dev is saying and certainly it is in agreement with what I have said before, because it's kind of impractical trying to set a list of hats that should not be worn in LACRALO or any other RALO. So we need something more general at the higher leve. For example, I was a member of Cabase the Argentine Chamber of Internet Services, and during that time there were some other representatives of my ALS of LACRALO.

I never participated, I excluded myself, because that chamber gathers all ISPs of Argentina, so that chamber may make a decision regarding rates, or registry policies, network neutrality, that would have an impact on end users. So in my position, I didn't want to interfere within LACRALO by being just a member, I did not want to interfere with the role I had in that chamber, because the company I was working at had appointed me to that chamber. So, if you're working in a government, that's no problem. The problem lies on the fact that making political decisions or decisions that are related to internet governance that have a particular impact on final users, on end users.

So, as Dev was saying, we are right, we should write perhaps a general definition and then discuss on a case by case basis, because if the list is too long, maybe something will be left out, so we would have to work on a case by case basis. So, the definition should be a general one, whether it has an impact or not on end users, and that's it. Thank you very much.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Thank you very much, Alberto. I heard somebody say I have requested the floor, who has asked for the floor?

HARLOLD ARCOS: Harold Arcos speaking.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto, wait a second Harold, and I will give you the floor. I was thinking that perhaps we should have a positive definition on who are able to participate at LACRALO, than make a negative statement. Representatives that may make decisions at the state level, I'm talking about ministers, secretaries, something like that, or a GAC member within LACRALO. Somebody working for registries or registrars, not an employee, but somebody who works at the registry or a registrar, and may have economic decision power.

> So I think it would be covering the whole and a small scope of those who won't be able to participate at LACRALO. Because they would have corporate interests on top of all the general interests that any ALS may have. So, Harold, you have the floor now.

HAROLD ARCOS: Harold Arcos speaking, thank you very much, Sergio. I wanted to point out two things. First of all, I agree with the statements of Dev and Alberto. We would have to prepare general definitions, and I accept and I agree with what Alberto has said about the chamber. So I would like to use as a working instrument the Declaration of Interest, the DOI that we have signed at the conference of ICANN, and so we have to be clear, precise, straightforward, because there is a series of questions, and you are asked whether you work for a company that somehow has a formal relationship with ICANN or with subcontracts within ICANN, because that's what defines your profile to participate.

> Because we have a place for registrar, for non commercial, for commercial, and business stakeholders, so this is why it's in the DOI template. And then you can say if you're an employee or there may be a conflict of interest because your chamber, your company, your business, has direct or close relationship with ICANN or third party. So I wanted to point this out. Thank you very much, Sergio.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you very much Harold for your input. So, we're analyzing point one, leadership and representation, here is says "The leadership of LACRALO and ALSs should have the ability to represent the interest of individual end users without conflict of interest during discussions, activities, and voting. So this is the general framework. And so we may look for words on a very small definition, a short definition, I should say, so as to include the possibility of then defining those that will be vetoed because they would have an interest.

Additionally, the second topic talks about organization leader. When LACRALO chooses its leaders and representatives, the following principle should be balanced for organizational leaders, so that these leaders will guide LACRALO's work to contribute to the development of ICANN policies, so a bottom up process. At the same time, the leaders and the representatives should be committed to the transparency of the region and the leaders and representatives should organically be raised at LACRALO. The leaders and the representatives compete with respect to these qualities.

Diversity, LACRALO is committed to diversity in terms of leadership and representation, and we include gender, geographical activity, and other types of diversity. LACRALO wishes it's leaders and representatives – sorry there was an interruption on the line. So let's talk about diversity, because this was one of the topics that we discussed at the LA meeting. How would you say that we may secure a good word in this respect? Dev, is here, Dev, what do you think about the geographical weighting?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSING: Thanks, this is Dev. I think geographical weighting is still needed. I know there was a mention in the LACRALO document that this should be discussed, and if not, then metrics should be established. But going back to the diversity of presence, in terms of representation, you know, I think it is somewhat important, I think it's probably the source of so many conflicts, or so many disputes, I should say, in our region. When representation comes to mind, there's more participation in terms of opinions and so forth, at that point, rather than anything else.

So I think by establishing that having the diversity principles established would go a long way in alleviating the disputes that happen in our region. We already have diversity principles for ALAC members, I think it's just a case that says that ALAC members must be from distinct countries and nationality, right? And I think it's something that could be just established for all the LACRALO representation, that all the representatives that LACRALO selects. That's it.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, thank you very much, Dev. Humberto, you have the floor.
- HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Humberto Carrasco speaking. I think that the question related to how we are sharing the seats, I think that the agreement was that so far the same number would be upheld, but perhaps we should have another measurement on this, like the metrics, so as to say, and to define those that are involved and also those that are not involved, and vote. And when we talk about the distribution, I think that there should be no change. Thank you very much.
- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you very much, Humberto. I hope that it would remain the same, there would be no change, because I think this has to fill for the whole region. Alberto, you have the floor.

ALBERTO SOTO: Alberto Soto speaking. I noted in the chat that what Humberto said is what the LA document said. Why, and I'm going to give the grounds for that so those of you who were not present at LA, if we take the voting system out, because if there are no metrics, we will discuss whether it's gender, geography first, et cetera, et cetera. But the first thing for any position is the metric, the contribution, the experience, the capacity, and once they are all level at the same point, then the other stuff appears. This is why we accepted at LA that if we were willing to define the system, I don't remember, I think it was participation or involvement, something like that, first we have to approve the metrics. Otherwise we will still use this system, the current one.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Thank you very much, Alberto. Another point, I think that diversity in this respect does not only talk about sub-regions or regions but about a balance among countries. I think that we took a quantum leap in 2006 when we thought of all this in advance, particularly the balance in terms of representation among countries, and I think that we should be able to balance so there is no takeover of one country by another one.

Point number two that talks about diversity mentions a balance in principle at the turnover of nationality, so that the representative should be a different nationalities and all different ALSs. So I think there is a problem, because those of us coming from organizations that can multiply in the region, if it is from different ALSs, there will be -- sorry,

there is somebody, uh, what would I say? What about Internauta of those organizations?

So, a person in Columbia should not participate, or a person in the Caribbean because they are called Internauta? So I think that we have to discuss the point of nationality. And we should have a wide range within the region so that this may be as open as possible, as diverse as possible, in terms of geography. And this is related to nationality, not region. I think this is the way ahead. I would like to hear your thoughts, your comments. Alberto, you have the floor.

ALBERTO SOTO: Alberto Soto speaking. As a matter of fact, it is written in the bylaws because the Secretary and the Chair cannot be from the same country for the next election, except the Chair is re-elected. Right now, nobody from Chile, for instance, may replace Humberto. And if it were an Argentinian, the next Chair should be from some other country. Then if I'm not mistaken the bylaws say that a Chilean, in this case, might be elected if nobody, if nobody presented as candidate for the rest of their region. So perhaps we should read it, but I think it's quite clear in terms of nationality. Because the same happens with the Secretary. Nobody can be Secretary if coming country that may be a Chair. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Now I will give the floor to Dev, but before that, Alberto I think that in that case you are wrong. Because Chairs and Secretaries may be candidates, even if they come from the same country. But the thing is that we cannot have a Chair and a Secretary from the same country. But if Humberto leaves his position and another person from Chile is a candidate for the Chair, that is okay. We are not prohibiting. So, Dev, you have the floor go ahead. We only have 7 minutes before the end of this call.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSING: Okay, thank you, this is Dev. Just a concern, the diversity in Operating Principles only applies to the ALAC representatives, it does not apply to the Chair and Secretariat. So in fact, the chair could potentially be from one particular country. I think this is what the diversity is. I think the phrase here, LACRALO wants its leadership and representative to reflect the diversity of its members. I think it is probably the very key point in that mediation document. And how do we achieve that? And I think the rotation method of if a person is from a country, then there needs to be a change at the time of the call for nomination that has to be distance from the other countries.

> And again, it's to really widen the diversity, and this would essentially open up, you know, like I said, open up LACRALO to new blood, as they say, rather than the same person going from one position to the next. It offers opportunity to open up to that, allow that to happen, rather than the staff is cool right now, I think. So again, what's in the mediation document, LACRALO wants its leadership and representative to reflect the diversity of its members.

> And I think we have to look at exactly how that mechanism works. I think the rotation across by which nationalities of the representatives be distinct. Regarding the comment about whether it's Internauta or

internet society, or whatever, I don't think that's relevant. Representatives are recognized at At-Large structures in LACRALO. So whether they are part of Internauta or internet society, or whatever, is not as critical. It's more the nationalities of the persons involved, that's more critical. That's it.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, thank you very much, Dev. Humbert, you have the floor, we only have four minutes to the end of this call. Please speak up Humberto, because we cannot hear you.
- HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Humberto speaking. Can you hear me?
- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, yes we can hear you now, go ahead please.
- HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Humberto speaking. Very briefly, as far as I know, when it comes to the mediation document, this document works, as Alberto was saying. If there is a president coming from Chile, the next candidate cannot come from Chile, they need to wait one year. For example, Maritza comes from Peru. She may become the Chair, but she cannot be the Secretary again, and the Secretary cannot be from Peru. So the objective of this is to keep diversity. So if you as a person coming from Chile, you're occupying a leadership position, that's okay.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Okay, my dear colleagues, we are at the end of this call. There are plenty of topics to debate, so I will kindly ask you to be more active in our mailing list. We would have been able to make much more progress if we had participated in our mailing list. So I would like to propose this; let's review the document, let's take into account the items that I circulated before, many of those items are mentioned in this document, and let's start building our roles.

> Also, we are delayed in time, but was also need to start having results. This should be our final document, at least during this period. Then if there is an improvement, that's okay, but I would suggest that we end up finishing this, that we create the Operating Principles, we have a deadline of June 30th, that would be the closing date for our activity. Silvia you have two minutes to speak. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia Vivanco speaking. Thank you very much Sergio. If I may, I would like to suggest that you place your comments, we have also received some comments on the working group mailing list, but as far as possible, I would like to see comments on the Wiki page so that we can compile all comments in one place. So let's try to do the followup of the comments on the mailing list, but at the same time, I believe it is important to put those comments on the Wiki page, so that everybody can access to the comments.

And Sergio, I would like to ask you whether you would like have a call next week at the same time or if there is any need to send a poll. If you

agree, we can set Monday at this time as the weekly call, if you will, or can send a Doodle Poll.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Silvia, my question is if we do something on a weekly basis or every two weeks. The idea is to be able to work. So this is a general question. For me every 15 days, every 2 weeks would be fine. If we can work on the mailing list, I think it would be better. So next call should be on May 8th, and then May 22nd. Okay, do you agree with that?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia Vivanco speaking, okay, that's perfect.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. If you all agree, we may have our next call on May 8th. Do you agree with this time? 16:00 UTC? Do you prefer any other time? If that is the case, please indicate that on the chat. So Dev agrees with this, so I think that the next call will be on May 8th at 18:00 UTC. We won't be circulating or sending Doddle polls, because our call will be fixed now, but let's take into account that on the 22nd we may have our LACRALO monthly call.

SILVIA VIVANCO:Silvia speaking, okay, but we have the LACRALO call at 23:00 UTC, so
there is no problem with that.

- SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Okay, so if that is the case, let's meet again within two weeks at 18:00 UTC. So we have exceeded one minute from the scheduled time, so it's time to finish this call. Thank you very much for your participation. I hope you have a very good day, and I hope that we will be able to exchange information on our mailing list. Thank you very much for your participation.
- MARIO ALEMAN: Mario Aleman speaking this meeting is now adjourned, so please disconnect your lines. Thank you very much for your participation.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]