

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 30 May 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Meeting agenda page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_9MrRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=XLmpdUfGsSZ8eYufrxsgzdQ9x72zMUfYsU8KgKqNQcA&s=FfuJxBGfErJpcku4dNaRJ_YA3vE3CRBwDRH8UHT14c&e=

Carlton Samuels:Morning all

Theo Geurts:afternoon!

Carlton Samuels:Correction - morning/evening.afternoon/night all :-)

steve metalitz:#2 sounds good

Vicky Sheckler:sorry - i don't recall if we ever considered including data about whether the p/p provider is affiliated with a registrar, and if yes, who the affiliated registrar is

steve metalitz:If the entry was in the registrant organization field, what would appear in registrant name field (in the case of proxy services)?

Theo Geurts:Bingo Steve

Theo Geurts:that is why it can be switched

Griffin Barnett:+1 Steve

Alex Deacon:+1 Steve - that sounds right to me. sounds like the registrant org field is the right place given the comments.

Michele Neylon:Where are you going to put the link??

Alex Deacon:In the registry org field? Registrant Organization: Proxy Service 123, PP123, https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_PP&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=XLmpdUfGsSZ8eYufrxsgzdQ9x72zMUfYsU8KgKqNQcA&s=TEHyxDcK0eTVUiYap_AXA27KQE4lKiXWrydAUHF7e24&e=

Alex Deacon:or maybe : Registrant Organization: Proxy Service 123, PP123, [See

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_PP&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=XLmpdUfGsSZ8eYufrxsgzdQ9x72zMUfYsU8KgKqNQcA&s=TEHyxDcK0eTVUiYap_AXA27KQE4lKiXWrydAUHF7e24&e=]

Alex Deacon:OK - Then the URL should be the URL of the PP service.

Theo Geurts:Right, the contact information of the p/p provider will always be in the whois record anyways.

Michele Neylon:Proxy service the registrant does not appear

Theo Geurts:Fransico , that won't change as it is now, we are

only adding an ID here and a provider mention requirement

Theo Geurts:so we are already doing that, just adding more clarification is what we are doing.

Alex Deacon:URLs are easy to parse/grep/awk/whatever.

Alex Deacon:not hearing steve

steve metalitz:@Francisco, look at definition #1 in the PPSAI report

Alex Deacon:my privacy entry doesn't contain my name in the Registrant Name field.

steve metalitz:These definitions may not be perfect but have been stable for several years in the ICANN world

Alex Deacon:ok

Amy Bivins:@Alex, I think a lot of the challenge that sometimes service providers don't name their service consistently with the definitions we have

Alex Deacon:got it...thanks.

Michele Neylon:And why would they

Roger Carney:@Amy, true :)

Michele Neylon:we're trying to sell services not ICANN labels :)

Amy Bivins::)

steve metalitz:Thanks to registrar subteam for bringing these proposals forward -- very helpful!

Alex Deacon:So what is being proposed?

Alex Deacon:I think Michele answered that at the end of his comment...thanks.

Vicky Sheckler:apologies - have to run. look forward to continuing the discussion on the next call

Mary Wong:The Working Group recognized that it will likely be much more difficult, practically speaking, to accredit non-Affiliated providers. However, the policy recommendations do not limit the program only to registrars, resellers or Affiliated services.

Theo Geurts:The WG did not reconize the complete chaos that will come out of this. , wich is understandable, this is complex

Carlton Samuels:@Mary: As well as it should! That would be discriminatory and the ALAC is deadset against that!

Theo Geurts:Then Alac is in support that registrants will lose their privacy

Carlton Samuels:There could be lawyers who may wish to give such a service, for example.

Theo Geurts:I guess we need to provide more information here.

Michele Neylon:Carlton - so ALAC wants a worse experience for registrants?

Michele Neylon:because that's what allowing this would result in

Michele Neylon:lawyers can offer a proxy service anyway - they always could anyway

Alex Deacon:i'll try to compose my question better on the list....

Michele Neylon:and it was discussed at length

Carlton Samuels:We will support a thorough accreditation process where ALL accredited MUST follow the same rules, period.

Carlton Samuels:@Steve: Yes, it was indeed discussed.

Carlton Samuels:Give all the rules. If they think it is worth the while then they jump in. We should not support any guild service.

steve metalitz:@Theo, e-mail is a questionable analogy. But agree further discussion needed. Perhaps a phased approach would be the best solution.

Theo Geurts:@Steve, yes

Michele Neylon:I need to drop off - sorry

Michele Neylon:@amy - I'll try to provide feedback via email

Philip Corwin:Regrets, must drop off to join another ICANN call

Alex Deacon:I also need to drop - but will engage on the list.

Sara Bockey:I need to drop for another ICANN WG call. Thanks all.

steve metalitz:Affiliate is also defined in the PPSAI report, identically to RAA

steve metalitz:Sorry, I may have missed that poll.....

steve metalitz:thanks all

Griffin Barnett:Thanks Amy and all, bye

Vlad Dinculescu:Thanks all

Theo Geurts:thanks