```
Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy
Services Accreditiation IRT Registrar Subteam Meeting on Tuesday,
25 April 2017 at 14:00 UTC.
  Chris Pelling:afternoon all :)
  Chris Pelling:if someone would like to do a voice check I am
happy to help
 Chris Pelling:Hi AMy :)
 Chris Pelling:yep :)
 Chris Pelling:a little quiet
 Darcy Southwell: Would be great if Nick could speak up - he's
very hard to hear.
  Chris Pelling:yepo
  steve metalitz:@Nick, encouraging report!
  Theo Geurts:let's hope there are not many rebels on starwars
day
  Theo Geurts::)
 Lindsay Hamilton-Reid: Better than the Empire Theo . . . . .
:-)
  steve metalitz: How wold ICANN be able to notify customers since
by definition it does not know who they are or their contact
points (except in case of privacy provider)?
  steve metalitz:*would*
  Theo Geurts: RDE Deposit Stev?
  Theo Geurts:err Steve rather
  Amy Bivins 2:Good question, Steve--I believe Caitlin will talk
about challenges with this in a moment
  Amy Bivins 2:One of the issues with RDE deposits, Theo, is that
ICANN can't access them before entity is terminated (at least for
  steve metalitz:@Caitlin, in what proportion of involuntary
termination cases does the registrar cooperate e.g. by providing
a "gaining registrar designee"?
 Volker A. Greimann:@IIRC, ICANN is not bound by any such
designation
  steve metalitz: How would ICANN know whether the provider gave
the 30 days' notice?
  Theo Geurts: one of the practical issues we need to solve
 Volker A. Greimann: I see huge problems with the protection of
private data in case of involuntary de-accreditation.
  steve metalitz: How would option (1) work either if the provider
being de-accredited is not affiliated with an accredited
registrar, or if the provider to which the customer wants to
switch is not affiliated?
 Volker A. Greimann: Would a de-accreditation also require a
domain transfer for affected customers?
  steve metalitz: Following up on earlier chat dialogue with Theo,
```

would RDE data supplied by provider be available to ensure notification to cusomters that their provider is about to be deaccredited?

steve metalitz:i.e. would that RDE data be available to ICANN? Lisa Villeneuve:Would a de-accreditation also require a domain transfer for affected customers? Volker: can you explain if by transfer you intend a change of registrant or change of registrar?

Vicky Sheckler:forgive me for the ignorant question, but if the p/p is about to be decredited, doesn't either the p/p provider has to notify its customers s they can choose how to proceed, or if the p/p provider hasn't escreowed the data, the the customer may have a cause of action against the p/p provider?

Volker A. Greimann: The sponsoring registrar may not want domains using a certain p/p provider for example because they had some bad experiences in the past.

Volker A. Greimann: Any registrar transfer would have to be triggered by the registrant. there cannot be an automated process Theo Geurts: for now option 1 sounds reasonable

Eric Rokobauer:can we get control to the slides? want to refer to option 1

steve metalitz:I would assume that those members of this IRT that give paramount importance to protecting privacy would prefer option 2 which provides a path for customers to a new p/p provider. But I don;t think any of them are on this call.....

steve metalitz: A poll might be appropriate here....

Darcy Southwell:Option #1 seems to combine P/P provider issues as well as registrar transfer issues

Darcy Southwell:+1 Theo - we need to look at draft langauge for a process and discuss

Volker A. Greimann:a registrar transfer may not always be needed in option 1. sometimes switching resellers or going with a different provider supported at the same registrar may be an option too

Vicky Sheckler:my instinct leads to option 1 as a floor Vicky Sheckler:the p/p customer should have choices as to how they want to proceed.

Vicky Sheckler:but also agree wth theo et al that this requires more thinking

Darcy Southwell:+1 Roger - we have more than one scenario to consider here (e.g., affiliated p/p providers, unaffiliated)

steve metalitz:Staff, assuming you get further guidance through a poll or otherwise, when could we expect t see a specific proposal with draft language?

Vicky Sheckler:thanks. bye Roger Carney:Thanks everyone!! Lisa Villeneuve:Thanks!