

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 04, April 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Chris Pelling:Good afternoon all :)

Philip Corwin:Good day. I am the x5316 number

Michelle DeSmyter:Thanks Philip!

steve metalitz:Document looks good for now.

Vicky Sheckler:yes we should adopt one of the two - sorry I'm only in listen mode now

steve metalitz:+1 Alex

Lisa Villeneuve:How is 'piracy' defined here?

Griffin Barnett:presumably it would be defined under applicable law?

Alex Deacon:the terms used is "trademark or copyright infringement"

Darcy Southwell:Are there are other definitions of "abuse" in other places within ICANN?

Owen Smigelski:Compliance does not have any further definition

Vicky Sheckler:think we should stick with the PIC spec definition

Mary Wong:@Darcy, not as part of a GNSO Consensus Policy as far as I know.

Owen Smigelski:Apologies, my audio is not working on the phone

Darcy Southwell:Still considering options.

Graeme Bunton:Still pondering, seems curious to use something from outside gns0 consensus policy as our definition

Owen Smigelski:2013 RAA only has 2 references: 3.18.1 Registrar shall maintain an abuse contact to receive reports of abuse involving Registered Names sponsored by Registrar, including reports of Illegal Activity.

Owen Smigelski:1.13 "Illegal Activity" means conduct involving use of a Registered Name sponsored by Registrar that is prohibited by applicable law and/or exploitation of Registrar's domain name resolution or registration services in furtherance of conduct involving the use of a Registered Name sponsored by Registrar that is prohibited by applicable law

Darcy Southwell:Graeme articulated my concern much better above.

Carlton Samuels:Why not refer the language in the RA & RAA?

Griffin Barnett:The PIC Specification is from the RA

steve metalitz:This language is in the RA

Mary Wong:The policy recs are based on what's currently in the RA and RAA

Graeme Bunton:RAA is less specific, also the agreement registrars are most familiar with

Vicky Sheckler:also, as Amy just said, the PIC definition, I believe, is in the final p/p report.

Carlton Samuels:That's what I thought so why not make a specific general reference to the RA and RAA as preface for the language here.

Vicky Sheckler:+1 Susan

Graeme Bunton:Forms = ++good

Graeme Bunton:Allows for much clearer display of abuse reporting requirements

Graeme Bunton:I'll have some guidelines to the list in the next week on this

Vicky Sheckler:Yes and no re: flexibility to decide what info required to process request. I've seen this abused in other context re: service provider assign for too much information in a manner that unduly dissuades reporters

Graeme Bunton:weird!

Graeme Bunton:one sec

Vicky Sheckler:there needs to be a backstop to flexibility to ensure it isn't used to game the system in either direction

Greg DiBiase:I support the idea of allowing for additional information, but some providers may not accept attachments for security reasons. I don't think we should mandate allowing attachments to abuse forms

Vicky Sheckler:let's see graeme's guidelines and then talk further. i like the reasonableness requirement too

Owen Smigelski:Is this the report that Steve is referring to?
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A-www.icann.org-news-blog-update-2Don-2Dsteps-2Dto-2Dcombat-2Dabuse-2Dand-2Dillegal-2Dactivity&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=U9qU1Nh99eR5UAJRci3Delw58wchgfpSxuc6plBvSnQ&s=0iBwS68Q5QtUvZqjN0jxBpwvjPThp-ZkjX93DvEdVj8&e=

Carlton Samuels:We might wish to delimit 'prompt' with a timer; within 48 hours of receipt of abuse report, say.

Chris Pelling:COMMENT : Please remember that ICANN is not the content police, also, for registrars that do not host content (not the hosting company) this needs directed at hosting company

Vicky Sheckler:agree w/ metalitz

Greg DiBiase 2:I think this language works. Consistent language is preferable.

Lisa Villeneuve:+1 Chris - also, P/P providers are limited in what they can do - we cannot take action against a domain name or hosted content.

Alex Deacon:thats right lisa.. its a framework for disclosure.

Russ Weinstein:is the intent to test/validate the customer responds to a delayed email? rather than testing the providers system?

Chris Pelling:question : how do you think it should be tested, we cannot spam a registrant to get them to reply ?

Russ Weinstein:relayed*

Alex Deacon:@chris - how do registrars ensure their email services are running today?

Chris Pelling:send me an email Alex ;)

Chris Pelling:sorry but we monitor our services, if a registrar doesnt then thats mad in this day and age

Alex Deacon::) so you want me to be your QA team...fun!

Graeme Bunton:I think that's the issue here, is what is 'testing'

Chris Pelling:question: the lawyers out there, who want to offer this service, how will they do it ?

Chris Pelling:+1 Alex

Chris Pelling:maybe "moinitor" could be a better wording

Chris Pelling:monitor even (sorry)

Vicky Sheckler:I assume another optoin is a service level agmt for uptime that relay mechanism is working

steve metalitz:could support "monitor"

Greg DiBiase 2:old hand

Chris Pelling:if automated, its instant

Chris Pelling:but please baer in mind this is dependant on the service you are sending too, so if there is a problem with say google or yahoo, as long as the provider can prove it is sent, that should be sufficient

Vicky Sheckler:yes there should be a timing requirement, but ok w/ an "expeditious" or "prompt" standard

Darcy Southwell:+1 Steve about "promptly"

Griffin Barnett:+1

Greg DiBiase 2:promptly with a reasonable standard works for me

Chris Pelling:Promptly is good

steve metalitz:@Chris, agree, and the expectation is that most providers would choose that option.

steve metalitz:@Chris re automated

Chris Pelling:thanks all :)

Sara Bockey:thanks all

Lisa Villeneuve:Thank you!

steve metalitz:thanks good call

Darcy Southwell:Thanks!

Chris Pelling:yes @Steve agree

Graeme Bunton:thanks all