During our 17 May meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the following charter question:

What steps should be taken to control "thin data" access?

and reviewed a proposed resolution to replace the term "authoritative" in the Statement of Purpose.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to confirm, reconsider, or elaborate upon support for possible WG agreements developed during the 17 May meeting. Meeting notes and materials, including a meeting handout to set the stage for deliberating this question, can be found at this link: https://community.icann.org/x/HMPRAw

As a reminder, deliberation is currently focused on "thin data" as defined by the Thick WHOIS Report: *A thin registry only stores and manages the information associated with the domain name. This set includes data sufficient to identify the sponsoring registrar, status of the registration, creation and expiration dates for each registration, name server data, the last time the record was updated in its Whois data store, and the URL for the registrar's Whois service.*" This WG previously reached rough consensus that "*Every existing "thin data" element does have at least one legitimate purpose for collection.*" It may be useful to keep these assumptions in mind when responding to this poll.

This poll will close at COB on Saturday 20 May 2017.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

Note: As always, a link to the most recently-opened RDS PDP WG poll, along with links to the last meeting's notes/recordings and next meeting materials, can be found here: http://tinyurl.com/ng-rds

* 1. **Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

2. During this week's call, the WG revisited its agreement on identification and purpose from the 2 May poll while also considering results on authentication and anonymity from the 9 May poll. Following discussion of several alternative agreements, those on the call expressed support for the following updated WG agreement which combines multiple concepts:

"gTLD registration "thin data" must be accessible without requestor identification, authentication, or stated purpose."

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the above statement.

◯ Agree

◯ Disagree

Comment Box (for example, give rationale for disagreeing)

[   ]

3. During this week's call, the WG considered a proposed replacement for the term "authoritative" as used in the draft Statement of Purpose (see section 2.3). Those on the call expressed support for updating item 2) in the Statement of Purpose to read as follows:

"2) A purpose of RDS is to facilitate dissemination of gTLD registration data of record, such as domain names and their domain contacts and name servers, in accordance with applicable policy."

where "data of record" would be tied with a footnote to the following definition:

"The data set at a given time relevant to a given registration object that expresses the data provided in the then-current registration for that object."

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the above statement.

◯ Agree

◯ Disagree

Comment Box (for example, give rationale for disagreeing):

[   ]

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions.