


Q1 Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member, not Observer, to participate)

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	Kathy Kleiman	3/26/2017 7:05 PM
2	Mark Svancarek	3/24/2017 4:42 PM
3	James Galvin	3/24/2017 2:51 PM
4	Greg Shatan	3/24/2017 1:52 PM
5	Stephanie Perrin	3/24/2017 12:29 PM
6	Chris Pelling	3/24/2017 12:17 PM
7	John Horton	3/24/2017 10:41 AM
8	Andrew Sullivan	3/24/2017 10:37 AM
9	Vicky Sheckler	3/24/2017 10:04 AM
10	Maxim Alzoba	3/24/2017 9:57 AM
11	Roger Carney	3/23/2017 9:04 AM
12	Susan Prosser	3/21/2017 7:19 AM
13	Scott Hollenbeck	3/20/2017 10:41 AM
14	Griffin Barnett	3/20/2017 10:00 AM
15	Greg Aaron	3/20/2017 8:27 AM
16	Allison Nixon	3/19/2017 9:35 PM
17	Rod Rasmussen	3/19/2017 11:52 AM
18	Chuck Gomes	3/19/2017 8:15 AM
19	Tim Chen	3/19/2017 5:56 AM
20	Farell FOLLY	3/18/2017 3:38 PM
21	Nathalie Coupet	3/18/2017 3:12 PM
22	Patrick Lenihan	3/18/2017 2:56 PM
23	Marina Lewis	3/18/2017 2:24 PM
24	John Bambenek	3/18/2017 1:00 PM
25	Ayden Férdeline	3/18/2017 12:56 PM

Q2 During our meeting, we tentatively agreed to replace: 1) A purpose of gTLD registration data is to provide information about the lifecycle of a domain name.with the following alternative text: 1) A purpose of gTLD registration data is to provide information about the lifecycle of a domain name and its resolution on the Internet.Do you agree with this proposed revision? If not, why not?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Agree with alternative text for specific purpose 1)	68.00% 17
Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)	32.00% 8
Total	25


#	Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).	Date
1	I think the original text is better and clearer. Also, what does "resolution on the Internet" mean, and can't it be misinterpreted? E.g., what happens if the domain name does not yet resolve or is otherwise not in use? Could this new/potential "purpose" be interpreted as barring the registration of a domain name to be placed on hold? (I note that many organizations and companies register domain names *long before* use in order to assure the domain name is available when needed).	3/26/2017 7:05 PM
2	Redundant. Resolution is part of the lifecycle.	3/24/2017 4:42 PM
3	We have no definition of "lifecycle" for a domain name. As a result, I am uncomfortable endorsing either version. As between the two, I prefer the latter, as it is broader. But we must clearly define "lifecycle" -- it should include transfers (and there are various uses relating to transfers), investigation relating to potential use of the domain for infringing, abusive or otherwise violative purposes, etc.	3/24/2017 1:52 PM
4	why pull our resolution on the INternet, it is only one aspect of lifecycle? Keep it simple	3/24/2017 12:29 PM
5	A domain registration does not need to lead to resolution on the Internet.	3/23/2017 9:04 AM
6	resolution is part of a domain's life cycle (for most domains) We also learned that these "generic" purposes probably are insufficient to satisfy DP requirements so need to look at them all again in that light.	3/19/2017 11:52 AM

RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 15 March

7	Th purpose of the gTLD can be : to facilitate domain resolution (according to acceptable policy) but not (generally speaking) to provide domain names resolution. Therefore, we need at least two verbs to express the purpose of the gTLD if we really want to modify the 1st established statement.	3/18/2017 3:38 PM
8	I generally agree with this proposal, but to the extent that this represents one purpose, not necessarily the sole purpose.	3/18/2017 2:24 PM

Q3 During our meeting, based on 7 March poll results and further discussion, we tentatively agreed to replace: 2) A purpose of RDS is to provide an authoritative source of information about, for example, domain contacts, domain names and name servers for gTLDs, [based on approved policy].with the following alternative text: 2) A purpose of RDS is to facilitate dissemination of authoritatively-sourced gTLD registration data, such as domain names and their domain contacts and name servers, in accordance with applicable policy.Do you agree with this proposed revision? If not, why not?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Agree with alternative text for specific purpose 2)	84.00% 21
Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)	16.00% 4
Total	25


#	Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).	Date
1	I prefer the original wording. To say "dissemination" suggests that the purpose of registration data is to publish it and I cannot agree with that. Publication policy is a separate discussion, supported by an authoritative source of the data desired. Hence the original wording.	3/24/2017 2:51 PM
2	I generally agree with this, but we need to be clear on the consequences of this change, if any.	3/24/2017 1:52 PM
3	No. Who knows what applicable policy is? This is an attempt to hide "gating" behind some ill-defined "policy" that might change in the future.	3/24/2017 10:41 AM
4	I don't think these "example" registration data points (except domain name) are appropriate as I am not sure that we have agreed that domain contacts and/or names servers will be accessible through the RDS.	3/23/2017 9:04 AM

RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 15 March

5	The ability to contact/identify domain owners is a valid use for WHOIS data	3/19/2017 9:35 PM
6	Still need specifics tied to this statement as to why dissemination is needed.	3/19/2017 11:52 AM
7	While I can live with this language, I recognize that some WG members have expressed problems with some of the words used so we may have to deliberate further on this. Personally, I would replace 'such as' with 'including'.	3/19/2017 8:15 AM
8	The proposed revision does not suggest confidence in the RDS. The RDS should be viewed as the primary source of gTLD registration data. If necessary, it can include a disclaimer that this data is based on information provided by registrants. That would provide confidence that the RDS is the appropriate place for people to inquire on registration data, but does not suggest liability on the RDS if that data is wrong.	3/18/2017 2:24 PM
9	Contacts to enable third-parties to reach relevant domain owners to handle abuse reports or other routine business.	3/18/2017 1:00 PM

Q4 During our meeting, we tentatively agreed to replace: 5) A purpose of RDS policy is to facilitate the accuracy of gTLD registration data. with the following alternative text: 5) A purpose of RDS policy is to facilitate fulfilling requirements for the accuracy of gTLD registration data. Do you agree with this proposed revision? If not, why not?

Answered: 24 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Agree with alternative text for specific purpose 5)	70.83% 17
Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)	29.17% 7
Total	24

#	Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).	Date
1	This one does not make any sense to me. "facilitate fulfilling requirements" - what does that mean? How does this fit in the language, intent and requirements of the EU data protection laws (and similar laws around the world) with which we are otherwise trying to "square" our work and this "purpose" statement?	3/26/2017 7:05 PM
2	I think this is picayune, but again we need to understand the consequences of the change, or else we are just playing word games.	3/24/2017 1:52 PM
3	Noone seeks to gather inaccurate data. Putting this in the purpose of RDS opens a can of worms.	3/24/2017 12:29 PM
4	The existing version is more clear and is fine.	3/24/2017 10:41 AM
5	unsure of the basis for this change. ALSO, i think we should consider the purpose statement Fabricio proposed in the chat, namely that "Purpose of RDS is to support domain name registration and maintenance by providing appropriate access to registration data to enable a reliable mechanism for identifying, establishing and maintaining the ability to contact Registrants."	3/24/2017 10:04 AM
6	I don't think the RDS has any data accuracy requirements. Any data accuracy requirements would be bound to the source data regardless of the RDS. As I have stated before we need to make sure that we recognize and maintain that registration data and an RDS are different, with different purposes, goals and requirements.	3/23/2017 9:04 AM

RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 15 March

7	Not sure the revised formulation helps clarify. Ultimately, I think we are trying to say that one purpose of RDS policy should be to enhance rather than hinder the collection/dissemination of accurate gTLD registration data. I would prefer a formulation that states that more clearly.	3/20/2017 10:00 AM
8	Still need specifics tied to this statement as to what requirements are being referred to and why they are valid ones.	3/19/2017 11:52 AM
9	This is similar to my comments about Question 3 (above). The proposed language is too wishy-washy and does not inspire consumer confidence in the RDS.	3/18/2017 2:24 PM