

Adobe Connect Chat – CCT-RT Plenary #42 – 5 April 2017

Brenda Brewer: (4/5/2017 07:23) Good day all and welcome to CCT RT Plenary #42 on 5 April 2017 @ 13:00 UTC!

Brenda Brewer: (07:24) This call will be recorded. Please remember to mute phone when not speaking by pressing *6 (star six). Press *6 to unmute. Thank you!

Alice Jansen: (07:55) Hi Megan - thanks for joining!

Jonathan Zuck: (07:58) Hey Megan, we can have a very efficient meeting this morning

Calvin Browne: (08:02) hello all

Carlos Raúl: (08:03) hello calvin

Carlos Raúl: (08:03) happy b day

Calvin Browne: (08:03) Thanks Carlos

Carlos Raúl: (08:03) did you find the place for the jam session?

Carlos Raúl: (08:04) based on CPH we may need some musicians too:)

Calvin Browne: (08:04) not yet - have a couple of venues in mind

Eleeza Agopian: (08:04) Calvin, is today your bday?

Calvin Browne: (08:04) Last Saturday ;-)

Eleeza Agopian: (08:04) happy belated!

Calvin Browne: (08:04) Thanks

Alice Jansen: (08:05) Link to ICANN 58 and webinar archives

here <https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https->

[3A_community.icann.org_display CCT Engagement-](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_CCT_Engagement-)

[2BSessions&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-2BSessions&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980)

[u4nTPfwdloDLY6-](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-u4nTPfwdloDLY6-)

[6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=ybLd8kbX6rDyM_N7RPFrI6yHIG2yrCp1BYeSLuPhLFU&s=5pODt5Mt5kf](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=ybLd8kbX6rDyM_N7RPFrI6yHIG2yrCp1BYeSLuPhLFU&s=5pODt5Mt5kf)

[hZWHaIEfEx-6-p0a34DciRsWK3huMQk0&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-hZWHaIEfEx-6-p0a34DciRsWK3huMQk0&e=)

Waudou: (08:08) my apologies for open mic

Drew Bagley: (08:10) We still cited Spamhaus and others in the draft. We just didn't elaborate on them at this time because we are waiting for the data. SSAC noted that they had not read

the report, but they provided helpful feedback on making sure we're considering the operational community and the realities of blocking new gTLDs.

Alice Jansen: (08:10) FYI one comment received to day in public comment box on your draft recs. Close date is April 27th

Alice Jansen: (08:10) date*

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:10) FWIW, although the Spamhaus report's 10 worst TLDs are new gTLDs, I suspect if they published a list of best gTLDs they'd also be new gTLDs (many of the new gTLDs that I spot-checked have a bad score of "0" according to SpamHaus).

Brian Aitchison: (08:11) That is worth something Jordyn. Glass half full or half empty? :-)

Jonathan Zuck: (08:13) Might be a volume issue there however

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:13) Yes, it's hard to tell because they don't show volumes other than for the top 10.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:13) e.g., it could be 0 because there's no mail whatsoever (so it's really NAN)

Brian Aitchison: (08:14) Indeed. That's why it should be important to focus on proportions of abuse rather than absolute numbers

Jonathan Zuck: (08:14) yes

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:14) But in some cases (like .NYC) I'm sure there's some amount of traffic but it's still 0% spam.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:14) Price is probably a significant factor--I'd imagine anything that's more expensive than the legacy gTLDs is unlikely to attract abuse, because abusers will tend to gravitate towards the cheapest gTLDs.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:15) Well, cheapest TLDs overall.

Carlos Raúl: (08:15) Eleeza please repaet the link here in the chat. I'm in an external PC right now

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:15) For example in the APWG report .TK consistently shows up near the top.

Carlos Raúl: (08:15) Gracias!!!

Carlos Raúl: (08:16) no wonder ccTDLs dont like our report.....

Megan Richards: (08:16) .NYC controls for location of registrant - could that be a reason for 0 spam?

Megan Richards: (08:16) and happy birthday to those celebrating :-)

Kaili Kan: (08:17) Sorry to be late. Finally able to connect to Adobe from Beijing.

Megan Richards: (08:17) I put mine in the chat

Fabro Steibel: (08:17) All clear

Carlos Raúl: (08:18) txs Eleeza

Carlos Raúl: (08:18) woops

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:18) Megan--I really think it's price.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:18) But now we're talking about this.

Eleeza Agopian: (08:19) LAC paper can be found

here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1JO3syL6Mgqt3t-5FoAPhASO8qlQjitCmRbDs4FVbfmxhc_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwMFAQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=YHDWysfNgG9kn4Mk3Oyp9ccgD3bKUf2w88Lvdup8hZw&m=1SSAjF4cpxvoUU13UuGLAe0YEIcnPQj9cnCL2j2W2qE&s=wg10Jlp2KJxoaP72Tdc0wTpXalwOQRb3BkFdpvybY4c&e=

Calvin Browne: (08:19) that's the same one emailed out?

Eleeza Agopian: (08:19) Here's a cleaner

link: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1JO3syL6Mgqt3t-5FoAPhASO8qlQjitCmRbDs4FVbfmxhc_edit&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=ybLd8kbX6rDyM_N7RPFrl6yHIG2yrCp1BYeSLuPhLFU&s=QyhQsh8M4PDTPfoQX5IFNjd0w4loXrRQCu3J1Dq9xwc&e=

Eleeza Agopian: (08:20) Yes, Calvin. Sorry, our email client adds the URLdefense

Megan Richards: (08:20) by the way I am all ok for the LAC study and the adjustment for .co - so no comment on that :-)

Eleeza Agopian: (08:20) Thanks, Megan.

Megan Richards: (08:26) good- would very much like to see SIDN's work !

Megan Richards: (08:26) sounds a bit too simplistic but at least a start - thanks Brian

Megan Richards: (08:30) indeed! more the better

Megan Richards: (08:30) it's not out yet that's why you haven't seen the results :-)

Brian Aitchison: (08:31) @Jordyn: it's very new but I think they have presented it. Will let you know today!

Megan Richards: (08:31) we run a very inexpensive but restrictive registration ccTLD via the EURid registry - spam continues to be a problem but not sure how it rates vis-à-vis others

Megan Richards: (08:33) certainly not!

Drew Bagley: (08:34) we could require more stringent proactive anti-abuse, such as querying the credentials used to register a new domain name against DNS abuse data, etc.

Drew Bagley: (08:34) because bad guys just jump from one TLD to another

Drew Bagley: (08:34) and often don't get kicked off until after they've committed the abuse

Brian Aitchison: (08:35) old hand

Megan Richards: (08:35) agree with Drew - or at least a caveat emptor for consumers so that they know that "free", no restriction TLDs are more often correlated with higher rates of abuse?

Drew Bagley: (08:35) old hand

Eleeza Agopian: (08:35) @Jordyn, Table 6 in Phase II econ study from AG reports minimums.

Eleeza Agopian: (08:35) they range from \$1-\$6 with one outlier in legacies at \$62

Eleeza Agopian: (08:36) the table also includes maximums, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:36) Ooh.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:36) Okay.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:36) So that's low enough we might see some interesting correlations.

Eleeza Agopian: (08:36) those are wholesale

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:36) But this means we'd have to ask Analysis Group to do more work.

Carlos Raúl: (08:37) I expect that dElft will deliver those results

Carlos Raúl: (08:40) Brian <question> is Delft going to get into a parking definition?

Brian Aitchison: (08:43) @Carlos: we haven't discussed that with them as it wasn't part of their research contract

Carlos Raúl: (08:51) Brian could you at least get the transcript of the exchange with them. hey said some smart things (out of contract of course...)

Carlos Raúl: (08:53) Who set usage=content?

Carlos Raúl: (08:54) usage can be measured in clicks and bit/second

Carlos Raúl: (08:54) independnet of content

Carlos Raúl: (08:54) we need a definition for sure

Carlos Raúl: (08:54) just for mental danity

Carlos Raúl: (08:54) sanity

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:54) I think it's the case that ICANN isn't a regulator of content, but that doesn't mean that ICANN shouldn't understand thee content of domains.

Carlos Raúl: (08:55) It would be nice to know how ICANN defines traffic...

Jamie Hedlund: (08:55) We don't

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:56) Seems like we definitely have more to call about next week. :-)

Jonathan Zuck: (08:56) Yes

Jamie Hedlund: (08:56) More importantly I am not aware of a community consensus definition of traffic

Megan Richards: (08:57) a minor point but would be useful to know as soon as possible the exact dates for the CCT main meetings times/days during ICANN 59 thankx

Megan Richards: (08:58) apologies but have to go to another meeting - bye all

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:02) Should we do a Doodle to get RT preferences at least?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:03) (I'd personally prefer to do it during the meeting, but understand that different people may have different preferences.)

Jonathan Zuck: (09:04) Jordyn is that a general preference or specific to this meeting because of a conflict

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:04) Hopefully Calvin is not complaining about travel arrangements!

Carlos Raúl: (09:05) :)

Carlos Raúl: (09:05) Calvin's family may be complaining

Carlos Raúl: (09:06) +1 Jordyn: lets be clear as soon as possible

Calvin Browne: (09:07) bye all

Fabro Steibel: (09:07) bye all

Alice Jansen 2: (09:07) on the poll - will need to wait for meetings' team feedback first I am afraid.

Kaili Kan: (09:07) Thanks! Bye!

Alice Jansen 2: (09:07) We will get back to you on this asap.

Alice Jansen 2: (09:07) Thanks.