

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the GNSO Review WG Meeting on Thursday, 11 May 2017

Michelle DeSmyter:Wiki meeting page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_pbbRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=OfDmrRQegUugilwdKpk9E7wXP_sb9-QF1ZQsDxl-psg&s=CdJfhAqRbO8pRU_PA95B1UkM0rpwgrStKpQ7h13XgO4&e=

Lori Schulman:My apologies for being late. Thank you to Michelle for the reminder. I was engrossed in writing a comment.

Lori Schulman:I am In Germany too.

Michelle DeSmyter:Thank you Lori - welcome and glad to have you!

Michelle DeSmyter>Welcome Pascal!

Pascal Bekono:Hello Michelle

Pascal Bekono:Hi dear all

Michelle DeSmyter>Welcome Renata!

renata aquino:thanks. sorry for delay.

Berry Cobb:And more importantly, that same section in the charter is duplicated in the template of an Initial Report. It is basically a repeatable recommendation to be coupled with actual policy recommendations developed by the WG.

renata aquino:y

Lori Schulman:Please correct in the notes that it was INTA not IPC that conducted impact study in cooperation with CCT-RT

Berry Cobb:I'd just add that DMPM's attempt was more of a cultural change and to develop a generic framework. They did not want to be to prescriptive as each issue the GNSO deals with is different.

Lori Schulman:It adds to my comment but doesn't really address it

Lori Schulman:I feel like we need a highly expert "metrics czar"

Lori Schulman:Understand Berry's point as each WG is independent but I think we can get so in the weeds that we may not know how to ask the right questions

Berry Cobb:@Lori as a WG formulates it's recommendations, the metrics should also be defined as a part of the draft report. The public comments and other input can help to guide the WG as to whether they selected the right ones.

Lori Schulman:Agree with Rafki about more and more tasks

Lori Schulman:Rafik

Amr Elsadr:Apologies for the bad audio. I was trying to say that, in response to Lori's concern, if metrics/data available are found to be practically unhelpful in terms of a PIA, they are always subject to critical appraisal. Additionally, and also as a result of the DMPM WG's final report and recommendations, there is a mechanism for GNSO WGs to request data/metrics that may be helpful to them in achieving their charter objectives. This is true for data both internal and/or external to ICANN.

Berry Cobb:+1 Amr

renata aquino:+1

Marika Konings:@Lori - how can that be addressed here?

Julie Hedlund:@Lori: This may be out of scope of this recommendation implementation, but may be addressed separately by this Working Group.

Berry Cobb:That's the world of forecasting and it is still an imperfect science.

Lori Schulman:I understand Marika's and Julie's concerns perhaps we can highlight this as an ancillary issue

Marika Konings:@Berry - do we have a kind of FAQ on the DMPM recommendations? It may be helpful to have something like that to remind WG members of their obligations in this regard?

Lori Schulman:I understand the obligation but I also feel duty bound to raise the questions for consideration somewhere if not here

Marika Konings:@Lori - further awareness of DMPM and maybe pointing to good vs. 'bad' examples may assist additional focus on this aspect of policy development?

Berry Cobb:No FAQ. But that is why the generic PIA metrics framework was added both to the Charter and to the Draft Initial Report to force the WG to consider this as they formulate the recommendations.

Lori Schulman:Implementation without good questions is silly

Rafik:@berry the bullet points are only what we have as metrics framework?

Lori Schulman:Can we at least suggest to staff a running list of questions including the issues we discussed today?

Berry Cobb:As noted in this charter, refer to this page and review the "Working Group Charter" and Initial Working Group Report" links they contain DOCX files that contain the metrics

framework. https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_procedures&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wr crwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwww ehFBfjrsjWv9&m=OfDmrRQegUugilwdKpk9E7wXP_sb9-QF1ZQsDxl-psg&s=ZDqPheV11gyv5QmSsVMYf_R38nfj_iLCOcDGJ8PKpwo&e=renata aquino:+1

Berry Cobb:@Julie perhaps for the next call you can share your screen so that participants can see the PIA as listed in the Initial Report template. If there are issues with that then this group should focus on improving it in a way that meets the implementation of Rec #16.

Marika Konings:note that WS2 is also looking at the issue of diversity

Pascal Bekono:How can we match activities of the CCWG-Diversity and this one ?

Rafik:@Marika yes , working on the report and we sent questionnaire to SO/AC

Pascal Bekono:Maybe Rafik who is help us

Pascal Bekono:Rafik is a rapporteur in ccwg

renata aquino:+1 Rafik on sharing here the results

Amr Elsadr:Note, from Section 11.3 of the ICANN Bylaws: Stakeholder Groups should, in their charters, ensure their representation on the GNSO Council is as diverse as possible and practicable, including considerations of geography, GNSO Constituency, sector, ability and gender.

renata aquino:very imp note

renata aquino:deadline is 1st june

Amr Elsadr:Apologies. That was my line that dropped.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:sorry, I mean rec. 33

Rafik:thanks all

Rafik:bye

Lori Schulman:It was a good call. Thank you all.

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

renata aquino:thanks. bye.