

## **Adobe Connect chat transcript for 13 April 2017**

Terri Agnew: Welcome to the GNSO Review Working Group Meeting on Thursday, 13 April 2017 at 12:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Terri Agnew: agenda wiki page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_obbRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=4Hoy9oJOMvuv9pB2Wb8KL\\_eW6naqoFnxwbRG9w088LE&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_obbRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=4Hoy9oJOMvuv9pB2Wb8KL_eW6naqoFnxwbRG9w088LE&e=)

Lori Schulman: Hi

Terri Agnew: Welcome Heath Dixon

Heath Dixon: Good morning.

avri doria: subdividing into teams does take a bunch of extra human overhead

Rafik: indeed Avri

Lori Schulman: Agree with Avri. No subteams.

Lori Schulman: Sorry, Avri, I may have imputed

Lori Schulman: "no subteams"

Lori Schulman: I agree much human capital needed and human capital is in short supply

avri doria: not that i am against subteams in all cases. but it has to be worth the overhead.

Sara Bockey: Agree with Lori & Avri. No subteams.

Lori Schulman: Avri, I understand you rpoint. I think we are too small even with a large number of tasks in front of us.

Amr Elsadr: Principles concerning implementation and IRTs were developed by the GNSO Policy and Implementation Working Group: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_gnso.icann.org\\_en\\_drafts\\_policy-2Dimplementation-2Drecommendations-2D01jun15-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=jkd6RAQvbL6h6v4atDvjnxYOUBmmDPT0Y\\_hpsbjJa-4&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_drafts_policy-2Dimplementation-2Drecommendations-2D01jun15-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=jkd6RAQvbL6h6v4atDvjnxYOUBmmDPT0Y_hpsbjJa-4&e=)

Amr Elsadr: ..., and have been included in GDD's Consensus Policy Implementation Framework: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_files\\_gdd-2Dconsensus-2Dpolicy-2Dimplementation-2Dframework-2D31may15-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=sfr2FwYqVSITI\\_U9lPrKif7F\\_6MJ7ZSPjAwBe\\_684PY&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_gdd-2Dconsensus-2Dpolicy-2Dimplementation-2Dframework-2D31may15-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNszFgcpAcshSoTvt26bY&s=sfr2FwYqVSITI_U9lPrKif7F_6MJ7ZSPjAwBe_684PY&e=)

avri doria: Amr, but are they being used, and how are they working?

Amr Elsadr: In my experience, GDD often refers to these principles while working on implementation issues with IRTs.

avri doria: is this a tussle over Roles and Responsibilities or mutual agreement on R&R

Donna Austin, RySG: @Avri, the CPH has encountered some differences of opinion about roles and responsibilities when it comes to implementation.

Amr Elsadr: @Avri: The roles and responsibilities portions of the principles pertain to (for example) that it is the GNSO that develops gTLD policies. So when/if IRTs face implementation difficulties, they are on a tight leash in terms of deviating from the original intent of recommendations, and must refer these back to the GNSO.

avri doria: thanks Donna, so there may be some more work to do in making sure that the IRT method is meeting the requirements of this review.

Donna Austin, RySG:@Amr, the CPH experience is not consistent with your description, in that we believe staff can become immovable on interpretation and does not refer things back to Council.

Amr Elsadr:@Donna: My understanding is that the GNSO Council is working to clarify the role of Council liaisons to IRTs, which may be helpful in that regard? At least in terms of improving communication between IRTs and the GNSO?

Donna Austin, RySG:@Amr, it certainly may. to the extent that it's relevant to this discussion the CPH did write to the Board recently highlighting some concerns on a number of things including implementation of policy: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_correspondence\\_cph-2Dto-2Dicann-2Dboard-2D24feb17-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNsZFgcpAcshSoTvT26bY&s=GOytxOs-5Lv\\_n90tUyMhxmC7dB\\_IJU89MhV8sX6Lo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_correspondence_cph-2Dto-2Dicann-2Dboard-2D24feb17-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=DT7n3ukdFBoqobiqXRynduCNsZFgcpAcshSoTvT26bY&s=GOytxOs-5Lv_n90tUyMhxmC7dB_IJU89MhV8sX6Lo&e=)

avri doria:Donna, that is a critical point. Also in terms of the Staff Accountabilitly subteam work.

Amr Elsadr:Another example of "chunking" may be IRTP?

avri doria:exactly,

avri doria:and that is what i was thinking was meant. phases was called out, and the gTLD subteam approach is not really chunking.

Amr Elsadr:Next-Gen RDS PDP and Review of RPMs is also broken up into phases (not sure that counts as "chunking") that have independent initial report, public comment periods, final reports, Council votes, etc...

avri doria:sort of a softer chunks

avri doria:and even the community comment 1 & 2 approach of new gTLD might be considered phasing, though the tail of one phase overlaps the beginning of other pashes and the have an end to end dependency.

Amr Elsadr:I actually need to check to make sure my last comment on RPMs is accurate. It is on RDS, but thinking about RPMs..., not so sure.

Berry Cobb:RPM is too. Phase 1 is the RPMs for New gTLDs. Phase 2 is the UDRP review

Lori Schulman:Confirm Berry's comment. RPMs are being done in 2 distinct blocks of work

Amr Elsadr:Yup Berry. Just wasn't sure if each phase would have it's own initial report, public comment, etc..., which I probably should be more sure of. ;-)

Lori Schulman:I believe that each phase is intended to have separate reports

Lori Schulman:but definitely need to confirm with co-chairs

Amr Elsadr:I think you're right, Lori and Berry. :-)

avri doria:Amr, i thinkl that is one of the issues, many different ways of doing phasing, maybe some advice on how different forms can be used and why?

Donna Austin, RySG:Is there a distinction between phasing and blocks of work? The RPM WG has two distinct tasks, rather than breaking the work into phases.

avri doria:a note on ways to speed up PDPs. In my expereince whenever we talk of speediiing one up, it ends up taking longer, becasue of the extended discussions of whether it can be sped up and how.

Donna Austin, RySG:could providing more opportunity for face-to-face meetings of the WG lead to speeding up work?

avri doria:but the autopsy of a PDP looking for thing that could be done in the future is a good thing.

avri doria:Donna sometimes, but sometimes there is a lot of overhead into preparing for a f2f and then debreif. So it has to be well planned to be be useful. They are good for working hard issues, but not necessarily as a speed up factor.

Amr Elsadr:@Donna: My guess is that meetings at Policy Forums will help answer that question. :-)

Donna Austin, RySG:Good points Avri

avri doria:Policy Forums may be too short to accomodate all the f2f time that people need.

Berry Cobb:ERRP is in data collection phase for now. Analysis and initial report are on deck. Once complete it will be delivered to the GNSO Council

Donna Austin, RySG:@Avri, that's why we should have two a year, and and ICANN AGM.

Lori Schulman:Yes, Meeting A confuses me.

Lori Schulman:2 meeting B formats and 1 big meeting would make sense

Lori Schulman:Sorry, that is a tangent

Lori Schulman:How does "ongoing" work"

Lori Schulman:How does "ongoing" work? Super labor intensive?

Lori Schulman:Yes, I would like to understand "ongoing"

Lori Schulman:Agree with Amr

Donna Austin, RySG:@Amr, has the GDD actually done any reviews?

Lori Schulman:yes very helpful

avri doria:but in general this scope of work look reasonable

Amr Elsadr:@Donna: That's actually one of the questions this WG might want an answer to. It might be premature to recommend changes to "periodic" reviews, if none have been completed and assessed yet?

Lori Schulman:What are the 2 workstreams?

avri doria:on 16/18 not sure how one does ongoing without some sort of periodicity.

Terri Agnew:next GNSO Review Working Group Meeting will be scheduled on Thursday, 27 April 2017 at 12:00 UTC

Lori Schulman:Very good call today. Thank you. Happy Spring Holidays!

Donna Austin, RySG:I don't agree on the GNSO GAC Liaison fulfilling the liaison role.

Lori Schulman:I don't understand this charter as well.

Lori Schulman:bye

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

avri doria:bye and thanks

Sara Bockey:thanks all

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Thanks and bye