

GNSO Review WG AC Chat transcript 30 March 2017

Nathalie Peregrine: Dear all, welcome to the GNSO Review Working Group meeting on the 30 March 2017

Nathalie Peregrine: Agenda meeting page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_nLbRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc4I5cM&r=PDd_FX3f4MVgkEI9GHvV_oUhbecsvLhgsyXrxgtbL10DTBs0i1jYiBM_uTSDzggG&m=7kVoEVmsmZKLFda8jBFeMGHOxbSQ8CA4KK_nqSzLYtw&s=wiZlzQokPUkrO7zHljZd-2ZClx4ET2BkNE67pwcTWhg&e=

Amr Elsadr: Hi folks. Hope all are well.

Sara Bockey: Good day. Waiting on my first cup of coffee. BTW...hear a popping noise. Is it just me?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Hi everyone

Julie Hedlund: Hello everyone!

Amr Elsadr: I hear the occasional pop on my line as well.

Nathalie Peregrine: getting it fixed, thanks!

Amr Elsadr: Gone now, I think.

Sara Bockey: It is 5am for PT for me and Heath so hopefully Heath will join soon

Amr Elsadr: I think Rafik is honeymooning right now. :-)

Amr Elsadr: We should call him while on honeymoon, and ask him to send apologies next time he gets married. :-)

Marika Konings: The IRT Principles address composition so maybe it is worth referring to those as well?

Marika Konings: This is what the IRT Principles say: "The call for IRT volunteers should at a minimum be sent to all members of the PDP working group that was responsible for developing the policy recommendations. The call for volunteers may need to reach beyond the working group members to ensure broad participation by parties directly impacted by the implementation and parties with specialized expertise needed for implementation. In some cases, additional outreach at the start or at a later stage of the IRT may be necessary to ensure that appropriate expertise is available and that directly affected parties are involved in the IRT."

Amr Elsadr: The bracketed portion of number 2. (e.g. composition) is an extract of one of the recommendations coming out of the P&I WG regarding IRT formation/composition.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: That should be enough from my point of view

Lori Schulman: My apologies for being late.

Nathalie Peregrine: Welcome Lori

Lori Schulman: Thank you

Lori Schulman: I am in the chat only.

Lori Schulman: I will dial in too.

Marika Konings: Could that be part of the WG assessment? Ask a question on if/how the PDP could have moved faster?

Marika Konings: and then those ideas could be shared / discussed?

Lori Schulman: Let me dial in.

Marika Konings: @Julie - I think it is a link. The GOP refer to it, but do not dictate the questions as far as I am aware.

Amr Elsadr: One previous measure taken during a staff exercise for improving PDPs was including PDP WG charters in preliminary issues reports. This was meant to save time used to form charter drafting teams, draft the charters, submitting for public comments and having Council adopt them. This is now included in the process to accept issues report..

Marika Konings: The PDP only outlines minimum requirements - there is a lot of flexibility in how work can be conducted. For example, nothing would prevent groups from meeting every day for 4 hours :-)

Marika Konings: Note that the model used to be a Task Force in which each SG/C would appoint 1 or 2 people max. not an open WG model.

Marika Konings: so maybe a middle way could be explored?

Marika Konings: not open participation but neither the very limited participation of the past?

Lori Schulman: Does broad participation necessarily mean high numbers vs. having many interests represented? Each PDP could be sized according to needs. I think that idea of phasing.

Marika Konings: good point Lori - I guess the question is though how and who do you assess whether different interests are represented?

Marika Konings:would it be feasible to have each SG/C to appoint 3-4 reps representing those different interests?

Amr Elsadr:@Lori: Public comment periods is one way to participate? Also during early PDP WG outreach to SOs/ACs?

Lori Schulman:Public comments are too late for many not well versed in the subject

Marika Konings:Thinking out loud, what about a plenary style model of participation - the plenary would meet once a month to get updated on the progress / status of work, while the leg work (weekly calls) is undertaken by a number of reps?

Marika Konings:sorry, probably getting way ahead of what you are trying to achieve today :-)

Marika Konings:there may also be other models - it may be worth exploring similar organizations to see how they conduct similar activities?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:I agree to your suggestion, Julie

Pascal Bekono:Hello dear all. Sorry for the delay, another important meeting

Nathalie Peregrine:I've noted you in the attendance list Pascal

Amr Elsadr:Does the WG also wish to address the distinction between periodic vs. ongoing post-implementation policy effectiveness evaluation?

Amr Elsadr:Implementation of ongoing evaluations also need to be considered.

Lori Schulman:Thanks to the staff for their diligence.

Lori Schulman:WE are small but we are mighty.

Julie Hedlund:Thanks Lori!

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:Has "effectiveness" been defined in more detail in the report?

Pascal Bekono:Thanks Nat, I am reading meeting's notes

Amr Elsadr:@WUK: I don't believe it has. This would be dependent on how the DMPM recs are implemented?

Julie Hedlund:Thanks everyone!!

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.