

Adobe Connect chat transcript for 18 April 2017

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 3 – String Contention, Objections & Disputes on Tuesday, 18 April 2017 at 15:00 UTC

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_FbHRAW&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=mcQdOdDwOVomjIV5jJ7PxHmA06-OcovUEMy_UVHTD4&s=w9dHvqlRMfjEKRgk_KY5jPcFEgZwTX56MYzVYODmzaA&e=

John Laprise:Good morning Terri!

Annebeth Lange:Hi all, I have a few minutes waiting for my flight. I'll try to listen in.

Annebeth Lange:Thanks

Michael Flemming:Good morning!

Emily Barabas:Additional information about about geo names webinar is available here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_p77RAW&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=mcQdOdDwOVomjIV5jJ7PxHmA06-OcovUEMy_UVHTD4&s=8xAct5O2zIw4jTGunWstOhyGmF4OL6V9JQi3lswVYw&e=

Jeff Neuman:good afternoon (in London today)

Michael Flemming:Happy to be here

Emily Barabas:15:00 UTC and 22:00 UTC

Alan Greenberg:Sorry, last meeting ran late.

Emily Barabas:See link above for details. To RSVP, email Geo-Names-Session@icann.org

Jeff Neuman:yes

avri doria:yes

Jeff Neuman:Forward on....

Emily Barabas:Karen, yes, please do

John Laprise:There's always a tension: defining a community externally vs. internally

Jeff Neuman:the term we used to use was "sponsored TLDs"

avri doria:that was a previous round

Jeff Neuman:right.....and every tld that applied that round was approved.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):agreed Alan, that is why we need much more discussion on this

avri doria:but the notion of supported was not as broad as the notion of community. and the degree of support needed to be considered supported was not as deep as that required by the AGB

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed

Jeff Neuman:In this "round" very few were accepted. Somehow we may need to try to swing the pendulum back to a balance....if we still favor community applications

Robin Gross:It is important to remember we are creating classes with special privileges in tlds, and that will impact existing rights, like IPR.

Alan Greenberg:Part of the concept I think is that the rules of the TLD are governed by "the community"

Alan Greenberg:DOes the TLD need to be not-for-profit??

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):not for profit really limits freedom of association and freedom of speech.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I think that Anne's suggestion makes sense to look at this from the angle of freedom of association

Jeff Neuman:I do not necessarily believe that there is a need to limit to not for profits

Robin Gross:The problem I see with the freedom of association argument is that it doesn't extend to precluding others from identifying with an idea also.

John Laprise:What about communities in jurisdictions that persecute non-profits?

Alan Greenberg:As we know, a NFP can make money and turn it back into the community.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):QUESTION: What about a for-profit community of kennel clubs from around the world who want to associate and promote discussion around dog breeding? would that be bad somehow?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes ALAN, true the interdependencies are yet to be explored

Gg Levine (NABP):@Anne -- no, not bad.

Jeff Neuman:Again a for-profit and not-for-profit is not necessarily a universal concept adopted globally nor is it consistent amongst jurisdictions. Not sure how we can make being "not for profit" as defined in the US the standard.....

Michael Flemming:I agree with Anne on that aspect. We have a habit of distinguishing what the Public Interest is and what may harm the Public Interest. This is similar to our discussion of Closed Generics. But if we have to define what the social good is, then how do we even begin to define that?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):agree Anne could be a slippery slope here as well

Alan Greenberg:Is the COMMUNITY of kennel clubs for profit, or are the kennel clubs for profit?

Annebeth Lange:I agree with Anne here. THIS is a dangerous road to go down.

Jeff Neuman:All - It is hard to talk about the freedom of association as an absolute right. All communities have some form of qualifications to get into an stay in the community. We cant say that all of them are a restriction on the freedom of association

John Laprise:If we take a social scientific perspective, a community is simply a group of actors who interact and exchange, bound by an interest.

Michael Flemming:@Jeff, for my own personal question, is there no sense of what defines a collective community in international law?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):important distinguishing point Alan purpose if the classification has any privilege or advantage does need establishment IMO

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Jeff - they would still need to be a community. But ICANN should not get into the business os assessing social good.

Michael Flemming:└My question doesn't need to be put into the agenda notes.

Michael Flemming:Just for clarification.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I agree with Anne. Each community may have their own purpose for applying for a community TLD. Trust is a huge one that has previoully been discussed, but let's not forget that the current implemenation of community TLDs took none of this into consideration.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):NOTE Anne I was stating establishment of purpose is important not a opinion on the 'worthiness' of the purposeless essential

Greg Shatan:Not a risk.... :-)

Emily Barabas:The spreadsheet displayed in the center pod is also available in the wiki (directly under the heading Additional Information): https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_Wz2AAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=mcQdOdDwOVOMjilV5jJ7PxHmA06-OcovUEMy_UVHTD4&s=6wkrLcHL5m0fxsl7-TgWb6meovw2u3vzuvDLLWt7Hhc&e=

Jeff Neuman:Its all about the effective allocation of TLDs

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Cheryl - I agree with you. I really cannot see ICANN trying to decide which applicants qualify as promoting social good.

Jeff Neuman:Hand up :)

John Laprise:I can't see the GAC signing off on a definition of social good.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed John

Robin Gross:For some, "community" is the new "beauty contest".

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):back to interdependencies in our works as well as an order of required decision order Jeff

Christa Taylor:I agree with Jeff - there are multiple considerations

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:i think that it is important to remember that despite being offered "priority", communities in the current round have actually been put through some of the hardest challenges (financially & legally) and suffered the longest delays. Nothing says priority about the current system, and if it is not addressed, or priority is taken away, it's likely a good guess that few to none will apply in the future..

Jeff Neuman:I agree with jamie

Jeff Neuman:from a personal perspective

Christa Taylor:Jamie +1 it has been a very long road for community applicants with a significant amount of time and resources

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT: Please be very careful about limiting communities to non-profits. For example, our firm represents numerous Native American communities. These communities are not non-profit. They do have names that could operate as TLDs and they do promote cultural goals in relation to preserving indigenous language and culture. COMMENT

John Laprise:Let's not forget that it's not just the road but the simple notion that applying for a gTLD is something to consider.

Steve Chan:@Jamie, I'll try and see if I can get an update on that review

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:much appreciate Steve.

Michael Flemming:@Anne, even if a community was not an established non-profit, if the model behind the TLD itself was a non-profit or non-money making model, could that be used to tie into the model of a community TLD?

Michael Flemming:Thank you for clarity.

Christa Taylor:+1 Karen

John Laprise:Just remember that for every "good" we want to support, there is an equal and opposite "bad" community. Conferring preference opens the door to malevolent communities too.

Emily Barabas:please go ahead karen

avri doria:John and some people's good is other people's evil. often the fate of many minority communities.

Emily Barabas:you have control

John Laprise:Agreed Avri

Annebeth Lange:Could you put the link to this presentation in the notes, Emily?

Emily Barabas:@Annebeth, yes, one moment please

Emily Barabas:The slides are available here under the heading Documents:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_FbHRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCigmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=mcQdOdDwOVomjIV5jJ7PxHmA06-OcovUEMy_UVHTD4&s=w9dHvqlRMfjEKrgk_KY5jPcFEgZwTX56MYzVYODmzaA&e=

Annebeth Lange:Thanks @ Emily

Jeff Neuman:It should be noted for the record that this version 3 of the GAC Subgroup has been abandoned for now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks everyone... lots more to come on this of course... bye for now

Jeff Neuman:Now there is a repository proposal.....but still may have similar implications

Robin Gross:Can we see the latest GAC proposal, Jeff?

Terri Agnew:next meeting: New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 3 – String Contention, Objections & Disputes will take place on Tuesday, 02 May 2017 at 20:00 UTC

Jeff Neuman:Will try to find it

Annebeth Lange:Thanks

Christa Taylor:Thank-you

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thank yoiu.

avri doria:bye

Robin Gross:Thanks, Karen and all. Bye!