

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG call on Monday, 24 April 2017 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_7LDRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGlBLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7\\_KQFSeV\\_fDBs54FB\\_SNMc0&s=D1SnP561LSScwR-tVd7kmBWJRA11hG8ZVcvItXrt8AE&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_7LDRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7_KQFSeV_fDBs54FB_SNMc0&s=D1SnP561LSScwR-tVd7kmBWJRA11hG8ZVcvItXrt8AE&e=)

Katrin Ohlmer, DOTZON:loud and clear, jeff.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):hi all

Jeff Neuman:4 minute warning

Vanda:hi all. finally my iternet running today....

Annebeth Lange:Good evening from a snowfilled Oslo

Jeff Neuman:2 minutes

Karen Day (SAS):Happy Rainy Monday everyone

Jeff Neuman:ok - 1 minute warning

Karen Day (SAS):Sara, not me

Vanda:yes

Jeff Neuman:Sorry Sara

Phil Buckingham:Hi Jeff , 2 minutes

Donna Austin, Neustar:I have the WT1 call in my calendar for tonight at 8pm PDT (0300 UTC). Is that correct?

Jeff Neuman:yes

Phil Buckingham:We have another on Thursday 27 April @21.00 UTC . We will continue with closed generics to start to get some consnsus within the group on what we are all agreed is a complex and contentous subject .

Karen Day (SAS):Robin covered it all

Karen Day (SAS):Thakns

Vanda:good point kavouss

Alexander Schubert 2:Thanks Kavouss: Community defibnition was WAY to narrow in the last round....

Alexander Schubert 2:Geographic names: Currently only GNSO and GAC are working on it. No one else. The CCTN WG just eliminated itself - and I don't see resurrection is an option.

Anne Aikman-Scalse (IPC: "social good" is a very narrow subset of freedom of expression and association in a community. It's questttionable whether ICANN wants to be in the business of determing what is and is not a purpose of "social good".

Annebeth Lange:Well, the UCTN will produce a Final Report after having gone through all the input to the Interim Report.

Phil Buckingham: Sorry I nothing to further to say.

Annebeth Lange:The comment period ended 21st April for the UCTN. THE staff is working on a summary of the comments

Steve Chan:CC2 public comment extension announcement here:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_news\\_announcement-2D2017-2D04-2D24-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\\_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjwv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7\\_KQFSeV\\_fDBs54FBSNMc0&s=SKyIQ7FgLJyX4IbtRz99XnzWX0DhGtQtxj89PS-M3xs&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D2017-2D04-2D24-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjwv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7_KQFSeV_fDBs54FBSNMc0&s=SKyIQ7FgLJyX4IbtRz99XnzWX0DhGtQtxj89PS-M3xs&e=)

Donna Austin, Neustar:The RySG is making good progress and are currently reviewing. not sure what our target date it.

Donna Austin, Neustar:is

Emily Barabas:The Google Doc is available here:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_docs.google.com\\_document\\_d\\_1LC-2D1-2DZ2auN2XTSjXyW34eWnGgNuuDInIOeJ1TcZ7z58\\_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\\_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjwv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7\\_KQFSeV\\_fDBs54FBSNMc0&s=-RGcbgmEUeqGvpL2tRIo6z926D-ciluV3ivDPeVrCgQ&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1LC-2D1-2DZ2auN2XTSjXyW34eWnGgNuuDInIOeJ1TcZ7z58_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjwv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7_KQFSeV_fDBs54FBSNMc0&s=-RGcbgmEUeqGvpL2tRIo6z926D-ciluV3ivDPeVrCgQ&e=)

Emily Barabas:the content is the same as in the pod here

Kavouss Arasteh:Alan+1

Karen Day (SAS):Have to drop for a few. Will be back in 5 min.

Kavouss Arasteh:yes Greg but why with reduced price?

Kavouss Arasteh:Still I do not understand why price should be reduced

Paul McGrady:+1 Greg

Kavouss Arasteh:we should follow the principle of equitable access

Kavouss Arasteh:Please register my objection with the concept of reduced costs

Greg Shatan:i didn't say prices should be reduced. I just said that the issue of "cost" could refer to both price per registration and to reducing costs by reducing the need to engage in defensive registration.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Yes, you did. My point is that it wasn't clear to me how it's consistent and I doubt I'm the only person who may not be sure.

Greg Shatan:That said there has been some inequitable pricing aimed at getting higher prices for defensive registrations.

Vanda:Greg. defensive registration I beleive will increase qith the initial price reduced. more people can register a brand name if the cost is reduced.

Alexander Schubert 2:Can't hear Kavouss speaking. I am on Adobe only. Can it be that the phone lines aren't looped into the Adobe Audio?

Vanda:so the need of defensive registration will grow

Vanda:Alex, I am only at adobe and hear him quite well

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC: 1.1(c) in the ByLaws carves out measures that are consistent with the Mission . For example, 1.1(c) apparently does not prohibit GAC Safeguards to certain strings.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I like the idea of identifying the comment requests, stating them at the beginning, and identifying the recommendations to which they apply. The recommendation-specific requests would then be only that - recommendation-specific.

Steve Chan:I think Kavouss' point is at least in part, taken into account in the third paragraph of the high-level feedback?

Alexander Schubert 2:Vanda: I think for th majority of run of the mill gTLDs defensive and speculative registrations are the main revenue stream. Sadly.

Vanda:you right, but is not reducing price that this will change

Greg Shatan:Vanda, you may be right when it comes to "penny pricing.". But I don.t think using price as a barrier to abusive registrations is the solution, since the practical effect (and possibly the intent) is to milk brand owners like cash cows.

Greg Shatan:Alexander, you may be right. Which would be quite sad. Either way those sales are to registrants with little or no intent to use the domains for websites, emails, etc. At that point it's just speculators and suckers....

avri doria:Kavouss understood you thought a group parralell to the gTLD-IRT could do it. That is possible but someone else would have to charter it.

Paul McGrady:a predictable process with knowable deadlines and subject matter guidelines

Kavouss Arasteh:PICs may normally be changed

Julie Hedlund:@Jeff: Staff tried to capture that in the notes.

Vanda:Greg. me neither. price is not a barrier itself in a razonable prices but reducing prices can growth the defensive application, but also price is not the key point to reduce defensive applications.

Alan Greenberg:But if they are "normally changed", the intent of the Rec is minimal

Vanda:Alan + 1

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC:What if PICs arise in response to GAC Consensus Advice? We don't want a TLD to be "hung up" because it is unable to make a new PIC of record.

Jon Nevett:Anne +1 -- consensus advice or otherwise

Jon Nevett:an applicant may not know of concerns with a name, so would be impossible to address in the application

Robin Gross:The rules are supposed to be knowable and predictable according to the principles. If PICs can be changed,

that would violate this predictability requirement.

Paul McGrady:+1 Robin

avri doria:what about if a review period is required for some change procedure.

hadia Elminiawi:+1 Robin

Jon Nevett:I am thinking about adding PICs to address a concern vs. changing them

Kavouss Arasteh:You may need to talk to Mrkus and Manal

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC:+1 Jon

Julie Hedlund:@Hadia if ou are speaking we can't hear you.

Alexander Schubert:MAybe there is a mike muted!

hadia Elminiawi:I was speaking, and i can't hear you either now

Susan Payne:yes Jeff

Vanda:yes

Jamie Baxter:yes

Emily Barabas:@Hadia, Michelle has sent you a private chat to help troubleshoot

Emily Barabas:Geo Names Webinar:

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_p77RAW&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\\_5iHWG1BLWwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7\\_KQFSeV\\_fDBs54FB\\_SNMc0&s=SL\\_mZbQSLjH8\\_mKLQcVfeqS4xI8dSt\\_XMzFpM-ezjxk&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_p77RAW&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLWwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=eHcKe3o9c9GRf663CvbHJ7_KQFSeV_fDBs54FB_SNMc0&s=SL_mZbQSLjH8_mKLQcVfeqS4xI8dSt_XMzFpM-ezjxk&e=)

Emily Barabas:This includes presenter lineup

Donna Austin, Neustar:On the GEO names, I through the background document was really helpful.

Emily Barabas:and presenter slides

Donna Austin, Neustar:thought not through

Emily Barabas:as well as the background paper

Annebeth Lange:Will it be possible for other than presenters to comment? There are a lot of presentations in these two hours

hadia Elminiawi:So basically I wanted to ask if our group has to adhere to or follow or the recommendations, or do we have the choice to see things differently

Emily Barabas:@Annebeth, the chat will be open throughout the session

Emily Barabas:and there will be a question and answer at the end

Annebeth Lange:Thanks, Emily

Annebeth Lange:Thanks, Avri, very useful

Emily Barabas:Please send us an email at [Geo-Names-Session@icann.org](mailto:Geo-Names-Session@icann.org) to RSVP. Thanks!

Emily Barabas:I think you covered everything. Thanks Jeff.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks everyone... good call today...

Emily Barabas:There are only three

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):looking forward to our webinars... talk

Kavouss Arasteh:Steve, Some of us have another meeting at 05,00 UTC. MAY THEN FINISH THIS MEETING NOW

hadia Elminiawi:@Emily thanks

Greg Shatan:03:00 UTC is very late, not very early.... :-)

avri doria:anything that maks it better for one group may make it worse for another.

Robert Burlingame:Thank you Jeff et all. Looking forward to the geo names webinar tomorrow.

Greg Shatan:Not so good for the Eastern Americas either.

Sara Bockey:It 4UTC makes it very late for many in the US

Greg Shatan:+1 Sara.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):exactly Avri

Paul McGrady:+1 Avri - we have to strike the balance to ensure opportunities to participate globally.

Annebeth Lange:Sorry, I have to go. Good night.

Greg Shatan:It would be nice to know if we are getting a high percentage of APAC participants are making the APAC friendly call,

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):that said for me 0300 or 0400 is little difference

Phil Buckingham:Kavouss - you / us Europeans can always listen to the transcripts the following morning !

Greg Shatan:Rather than numbers, how about percent.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye Annabeth

Sara Bockey:+1 Greg

Vanda:midnigh for us

Paul McGrady:+1 Alan

Vanda:+ 1 ALAN

Greg Shatan:Let's just make sure they are raking advantage of this, not in sheer numbers but in percentage.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye for now everyone...

Vanda:5:30 hours

Alexander Schubert:Bye

Greg Shatan:Bye all

Susan Payne:But Kavouss the 1 hour chage would mean hardly anyone in the US joins and the reality is you will have almost no-one on the call. You have one call in 4 which you cannot make. If you live in Australia you have 3 in 4 you cannot attend unless you are willing to do so at very unreasonable times

hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all

Robin Gross:Thanks Jeff and all, bye!

Katrin Ohlmer, DOTZON:bay

avri doria:bye see you at 1am EDT

Vanda:thanks to all!!

Phil Buckingham:Thanks Jeff - great call