Saturday March 11, 2017 1:45pm - 4:45pm CET (UTC+1)

- **Session Description** http://sched.co/9npN
- **Location** Hall C1.4 (GNSO)
- **Adobe Connect** https://participate.icann.org/cph58-hallc14
- **English Audio (Low Bitrate)** http://stream.icann.org:8000/cph58-hallc14-en-lo.m3u
- **English Audio** http://stream.icann.org:8000/cph58-hallc14-en.m3u

Session Description:

GNSO Registration Directory Services (RDS) Policy Development Process Working Group Meeting This is a face-to-face meeting of the GNSO Policy Development Process Working Group on a next-generation gTLD Registration Directory Service (RDS) to replace WHOIS (Next-Gen RDS). This Working Group has been tasked by the GNSO Council to provide it with recommendations on the following questions: What are the fundamental requirements for gTLD registration data and is a new policy framework and next-generation RDS needed to address these requirements?

Draft Agenda: To be posted at https://community.icann.org/x/GbLRAw

1. Introductions

All WG members will briefly state their name and affiliation (if any).
All WG members will also have a chance to give any update to their SOI.

2. PDP Work Plan, Progress, and Status

Briefly introduce work plan (https://community.icann.org/x/oIxlAw), recent progress, and current task:

Task 12.a: Deliberate on Possible Fundamental Requirements for these charter questions:
- Users/Purposes: Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why?
- Data Elements: What data should be collected, stored, and disclosed?
- Privacy: What steps are needed to protect data and privacy?

starting with Key Concepts – latest version always posted at https://community.icann.org/x/p4xlAw.
Highlight initial points of rough consensus reached since ICANN57, reflected in that working document.

3. PDP Working Session

   a. Finalize WG preparations for Cross-Community session with Data Commissioners

   b. Continue deliberation on Purpose: **(sub-question subject to change after 7 March call)**

   Question 2.3: What should the over-arching purpose be of collecting, maintaining, and providing access to gTLD registration (thin) data?

4.   Confirm action items and proposed decision points

Wednesday March 15, 2017 1:45pm – 3:00pm CET (UTC+1)

- **Session Description** http://sched.co/9npc
- **Location** Hall C1.4 (GNSO)
- **Adobe Connect** https://participate.icann.org/cph58-hallc14
- **English Audio (Low Bitrate)** http://stream.icann.org:8000/cph58-hallc14-en-lo.m3u
- **English Audio** http://stream.icann.org:8000/cph58-hallc14-en.m3u

Session Description:

This is a continuation of the face-to-face meeting of the GNSO Policy Development Process Working Group on a next-generation gTLD Registration Directory Service (RDS) to replace WHOIS (Next-Gen RDS) that took place on Saturday, 11 March 2017. Part of this session is expected to be dedicated to an exchange of views with data protection commissioners.

Draft Agenda: To be posted at https://community.icann.org/x/HbLRAw

1. Introductions

Guest presenters will be introduced to RDS PDP WG:
- Joe Cannataci, UN Special Rapporteur on the right to privacy
- Caroline Goemans, Interpol Data Protection Officer
- TBD, from the European Data Protection Supervisor
- Peter Kimpian, Data Protection Unit of the Council of Europe

2. Data Protection Expert – Q&A session

The Chair will briefly introduce our charter to provide the GNSO Council with recommendations on the following questions: What are the fundamental requirements for gTLD registration data and is a new policy framework and next-generation RDS needed to address these requirements? Indicate that the WG is currently deliberating upon key concepts upon which to base requirements in the following areas:

- Users/Purposes: Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why?
- Data Elements: What data should be collected, stored, and disclosed?
- Privacy: What steps are needed to protect data and privacy?

Moderator? will lead the panel through the WG's list of questions (URL to be provided), recognizing that Mr. Cannataci can only stay for 45 minutes. Allow ??? minutes for open Q&A.

3. Continuation of Saturday F2F session deliberation, time permitting

4. Conclusions and Adjourn