Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP WG call on Tuesday, 07 March 2017 at 17:00 UTC

Michelle DeSmyter:Meeting page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_i6zRAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=rb_zSFlr0GhpsCDo_a0IB-zTrjEVHTC-gxVHKI1_IuU&s=8kyAcj6eGXwlAuwMKu6th6FrJx4DguOcodVMJ6yD-TQ&e=

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello Michelle

Michelle DeSmyter:Hi there Maxim! :)

Theo Geurts:Hello all.

Chuck Gomes:Hello everyone

Susan Kawaguchi:Good morning!

Fabricio Vayra:good afternoon :)

Amr Elsadr::-)

Lisa Phifer:questions can be downloaded from https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_download_attachments_64072843_RDSPDP-2DQuestionsForDataCommissioners-2Dv8clean.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=rb_zSFlr0GhpsCDo_a0IB-zTrjEVHTC-gxVHKI1_IuU&s=BA1uub0zm0aa1OvibjHTs-_-hq1uklKQeF2m1fy7f9Y&e=

Vicky sheckler:hi

Jim Galvin (Afilias):I'll do my best.

Lisa Phifer:If there is also an audience Q&A opportunity, WG members can raise questions as well

Jim Galvin (Afilias):For a bit of context, I understand that I'm representing technology and technical issues related to privacy.

Jim Galvin (Afilias):happy to answer any questions or listen to anything that anyone wants to say to me about this.

steve metalitz:Chuck is correct re my request.

Lisa Phifer:Proposal is to delete last sentence in Q3: "If so, would entities .... be considered in violation?"

Marika Konings:so if you object to the deletion of that sentence, please put a red X

Lisa Phifer:Theo and Marc, you can always raise that follow-up question during our Wednesday session, time permitting

Theo Geurts:aye

steve metalitz:Should read EU e-commerce directive.

Vicky sheckler:ii am not on the bridge but strongly disagree

Lisa Phifer:Won't DCs have encountered this potential conflicts between DP laws and EU commerce directive?

Vicky sheckler:woould like to understand the interaction btn the ecommerce directive and GoPro

Vicky sheckler:gdpr sorry
Lisa Phifer:GDPR
Greg Shatan:GDPR
Lisa Phifer:thank you spell check :-)
Greg Shatan:"interact" needs to be removed from the first sentence.
Vicky sheckler:Steve is right - about registrants not registrars
Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):If we're considering natural persons (i.e. not legal persons) operating as a service provider, I suppose the question could still make sense
Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):But I am in doubt to what extent the EU e-Commerce Directive regulates those kinds of situations
Greg Shatan:Article 5General information to be provided1. In addition to other information requirements established by Community law, Member States shall ensure that the service provider shall render easily, directly and permanently accessible to the recipients of the service and competent authorities, at least the following information:(a) the name of the service provider;(b) the geographic address at which the service provider is established;(c) the details of the service provider, including his electronic mail address, which allow him to be contacted rapidly and communicated with in a direct and effective manner;
Theo Geurts:sounds to me this is becoming a rather complex question when we start mixing directives
Lisa Phifer:At minimum it appears we should revise "Does this appply to domain name registrars?" to refer instead to domain name registrants and contacts for domain names?
steve metalitz:Good to know that there will be a national DP authority among the panel.
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):the latter question is more for PSWG
Marika Konings:FYI, the participants in the panel are: Opening remarks: Mr. James Bladel, chair of the GNSO Council and Mr. Johannes Kleijssen, Director of Information Society and Action against Crime of the Council of Europe Panel on ICANN and Data Privacy issues: Moderator: Ms. Becky Burr (ICANN Board), Ms. Abigail Slater (GNSO) Mr. James Galvin (GNSO) Mr. Thomas Schneider (GAC) Prof. Joseph Cannataci (UN) Mr. Giovanni Buttarelli (EDPS) Mr. Wilbert Tomesen (Article 29 Working Party)
Lisa Phifer:@Greg, generalize title to "Access to registration data for criminal and abuse investigation" ?
steve metalitz:Thanks for this list Marika
Lisa Phifer:The headings are purely to organize the list, not to limit the questions themselves
Greg Shatan:Broader hading better.

Greg Shatan:heading
Lisa Phifer:We have edit direction
Greg Shatan:Question 15 there's an unknown character..
Lisa Phifer:@Greg, will delete that
Marika Konings:Note that the next meeting after ICANN58 will be scheduled for Tuesday 28 March.
Scott Hollenbeck (Verisign):FWIW Tuesday 28 March will conflict with the IETF meeting
Alex Deacon:I'd like to see a proposal on paper to understand/comment
Daniel K. Nanghaka:Sorry I missed the poll - been on the move - limited connectivity
Vicky sheckler:agree with alex
Lisa Phifer:@Alex, the list of goals would be moved from the body of the purpose statement but remain in the same section of the key concpets document, to serve as guidance as we finish the statement of purpose itsef
Alex Deacon:thanks
Vicky sheckler:apologies but i need to leave early today
Michele Neylon:I have to leave as well
Michele Neylon:need to rescue my car
Michele Neylon:see you all in Copenhagen
Lisa Phifer:The purpose of the RDS is to provide an authoritative source differs from facilitiate dissemination of auth data
Fabricio Vayra:+1 Greg
Fabricio Vayra:and authorization from whom?
Fabricio Vayra:the data subject, ICANN, etc?
Daniel K. Nanghaka:Verification is always an issue
Fabricio Vayra:how about "disemmination of auth data in accordance with applicable policy"
Alex Deacon:+1 Fab
Lisa Phifer:authoritative data
Fabricio Vayra:authoritative data
Alex Deacon:"disemmination of authoritative data in accordance with applicable policy"
Greg Shatan:+1 Alex
Fabricio Vayra:data has to be authoritative as it comes from registry
Sara Bockey:what if we take authoritative out?
Greg Shatan:Authoritative source of crap data?
Lisa Phifer:I think the statement is moving far afield from its original intent
Greg Shatan::-)
Sara Bockey:LOL @Greg.
Elaine Pruis:once it leaves the registry it can be manipulated

or modified so it is no longer authoritative

   Greg Shatan:Iterative processes can have these horse/cart issues (or dog/chase/tail issues)....

   Lisa Phifer:top-down or bottom-up - does the statement of purpose drive policy, or do policies drive the statement of purpose (or is it iterative)

   Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I am not sure we want to go into business of creating a technical method of cross communications between quite different parties

   Lisa Phifer:@Marc, I think you're talking about the EWG notion of purpose-based contacts

   Marc Anderson:yes Lisa

   steve metalitz 4:@Marc, isn;t everything you are saying consistent with this statement in Q7?

   Lisa Phifer:appropriate = "based on approved policy"

   Fabricio Vayra:+1 Lisa

   Lisa Phifer:I have heard two things mentioned - expanding the ability to contact for different purposes, and actually carrying out that communication. Does this purpose focus just on the former?

   Alan Greenberg:It is A purpose to identify SOME contacts. That is all we can do

   Alan Greenberg:15 minutes to end of meeting.

   steve metalitz 4:@Marc, your tquestions are about which data elements should be available to carry out the purpose.

   Marc Anderson:@steve, I think that is definitly part of it.

   steve metalitz 4:Can the STatement of Purpose be put on screen?

   Lisa Phifer:The proposal was to delete brackets from around bracketed text, leaving that text, in all cases except for "[based on approved policy]" which will remain for further discussion

   Lisa Phifer:Poll could close 9am CET to share results with WG during our Saturday meeting

   Lisa Phifer:Sorry that was 9am CET Saturday

   Lisa Phifer:Allowing those enroute to participate upon arrival

   Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:See you in Copenhagen

   Nathalie Coupet:Bye!

   Fabricio Vayra:thanks

   Alex Deacon:thanks!

   Daniel K. Nanghaka:Have safe travels