RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 22 February

During our 22 February call, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the following sub-question:

Question 2.3: What should the over-arching purpose be of collecting, maintaining, and providing access to gTLD registration (thin) data?

The draft statement of purpose previously created by the RDS PDP WG, along with related background materials, can be found in this meeting handout: <u>22FebMeeting-Handout.pdf</u> (This may be helpful in completing the poll.)

The following poll questions give all WG members an opportunity to confirm, reconsider, or elaborate upon points of agreement that surfaced during the 22 February call. <u>This poll will close at COB Saturday</u> <u>25 February 2017.</u>

As <u>previously announced</u>, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

Note: A link to the most recently-opened RDS PDP WG poll, along with links to the last meeting's notes/recordings and next meeting materials, can be found here: <u>http://tinyurl.com/ng-rds</u>

* 1. Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)

In the 22 February call, the WG reviewed its Draft Registration Data and Directory Services Statement of Purpose, captured in our <u>Key Concepts Working Draft</u>, Section 2.3.

This Statement of Purpose was intended to define the specific purpose(s) of a potential RDS for gTLD names. To ensure those purposes are understood in the appropriate context, a list of goals for each RDS purpose was also provided.

WG members expressed support for the following "Goals for each RDS Purpose" listed in that draft Statement of Purpose; there were no objections:

i) Consistency with ICANN's mission.

ii) Consistency with other "consensus policies" that pertain to gTLDs.

iii) To provide a framework that enables compliance with applicable laws.

2.	Should '	Consistency	with ICANN's	mission" be a	goal for each	RDS purpose?
----	----------	-------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	--------------

Agree with goal: Consistency with ICANN's mission

Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)

Comment Box: Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).

3. Should "Consistency with other consensus policies that pertain to gTLDs" be a goal for each RDS purpose?

Agree with goal: Consistency with other consensus policies that pertain to gTLDs

Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)

Comment Box: Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).

4. Should "To provide a framework that enables compliance with applicable laws" be a goal for each RDS purpose?

Agree with goal: To provide a framework that enables compliance with applicable laws

Disagree/Unsure (provide rationale in comment box below)

Comment Box: Provide rationale for disagreeing (if any) or suggest necessary clarifications (if any).

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on this charter question.