Independent Review of the ICANN At-Large Community Proposed Tool for Working Party to Formulate a Position on Draft Recommendations

The Executive Summary (Section 1, pages 4-5) offers a brief overview of ITEMS International's work. Please refer to the specific recommendations and relevant sections of the Draft Report for additional details and context about each recommendation.

The purpose of this document is to serve as a tool for the Working Party to aggregate their views on the Draft Recommendations, in order to assemble the Working Party's position on each recommendation. This tool is intended to assist the Working Party with documenting whether they agree with the finding and the proposed solution. In cases where the Working Party disagrees with either, the tools provides a place to document the rationale. It also includes a place to capture actions of the At-Large community already underway to address the observed findings and different solutions that the Working Party considers more workable.

Please provide your name:

Please add your comments into the designated areas on the following pages using the following criteria:

Accept: You support the recommendation and finding that form the basis of this recommendation.

Reject: You do not support the recommendation and finding that form the basis of this recommendation.

Accept with Modification: You support the findings of the recommendation but suggest that the recommendation be modified. In the space provided, please explain your response and include suggested modified language.

Recommendation 1: At-Large Members from each region should be encouraged, and where possible funded, to participate in Internet governance / policy-related conferences / events (IGF, RIR ISOC) in their region, and to use these events as opportunities proactively to raise awareness among end-users about the At-Large and the opportunities to engage in ICANN-related activities.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #1 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 2: At-Large should be more judicious in selecting the amount of advice it seeks to offer, focussing upon quality rather than quantity.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #2 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations *and do not agree with the underlying finding*, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 3: At-Large should encourage greater direct participation by At-Large Members (ALMs) in ICANN WGs by adopting our proposed Empowered Membership Model.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #3 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 4: At-Large Support Staff should be more actively involved in ALM engagement in policy work for the ALAC, drafting position papers and other policy related work.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #4 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 5: At-Large should redouble efforts to contribute to meetings between ICANN Senior Staff and Executives, ISOC (and other international I* organisations) to engage in joint strategic planning for cooperative outreach.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #5 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 6: Selection of seat 15 on ICANN Board of Directors. Simplify the selection of the At-Large Director. Candidates to self-nominate. NomCom vets nominees to produce a slate of qualified candidates from which the successful candidate is chosen by random selection.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #6 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 7: At-Large should abandon existing internal Working Groups and discourage their creation in the future, as they are a distraction from the actual policy advice role of At-Large.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #7 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 8: At-Large should use social media much more effectively to gather end user opinions (Twitter poll/Facebook polls, etc). These polls should not be binding in any way, but the ALAC can use them as a gauge of end user opinion.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #8 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 9: At-Large should appoint a part-time Web Community Manager position who will be responsible for inter alia for social media (Rec 8). These responsibilities could be allocated to an existing member of staff.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #9 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 10: Consider the adoption and use of a Slack-like online communication platform. An instant messaging-cum-team workspace (FOSS) alternative to Skype/Wiki/website/mailing list.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #10 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 11: At-Large should replace 5-yearly global ATLAS meetings with an alternative model of annual regional At-Large Meetings.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #11 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 12: As part of its strategy for regional outreach and engagement, At-Large Community should continue to put a high priority on the organisation of external regional events. The five RALOs should continue, as part of their annual outreach strategies to partner with well-established regional events involved in the Internet Governance ecosystem. CROPP and other funding mechanisms should be provided to support the costs of organisation and participation of At-Large members. (see Section 5.3 on outreach)

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #12 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 13: Working closely with ICANN's Regional Hubs and regional ISOC headquarters, At-Large should reinforce its global outreach and engagement strategy with a view to encouraging the organisation of Internet Governance Schools in connection with each At-Large regional gathering which will in future take place in parallel with appropriate ICANN meetings. INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #13 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations *and do not agree with the underlying finding*, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 14: In the interests of transparency, all opportunities for At-Large travel funding support should be published in one place on the At-Large webpage. A record of the beneficiaries of travel support should be published on the same page.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #14 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 15: At-Large should be involved in the Cross-Community Working Group on new gTLD Auction Proceeds and initiate discussions with the ICANN Board of Directors with a view gaining access to these funds in support of the At-Large Community.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #15 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

Enter Comments Here:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

Recommendation 16: Adopt a set of metrics that are consistent for the entire At-Large Community to measure the implementation and impact of the EMM and track the continuous improvement of the At-Large Community.

INDICATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR RECOMMENDATION #16 BY SELECTING APPLICABLE OPINION:

- *If you do not accept the recommendation, but agree with the underlying finding, how would you improve or change the recommendation? If work is already underway to address this finding, please provide additional details.
- * If you do not accept the recommendations and do not agree with the underlying finding, please explain your rationale.

er Comments:
there any other comments or issues you would like to raise pertaining to the Independent Review of At-Large Community Draft Report? If yes, please enter your comments here:
Save your desument and then send as a ndf attachment to
Save your document and then send as a pdf attachment to: comments-atlarge-review-draft-report-01feb17@icann.org

EMM IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES

Implementation # 1: Adopt the Empowered Membership Model (EMM) as proposed to bring a greater number of end users directly into ICANN policy making processes, and or engaged in At-Large outreach activities (Section 11).

Implementation # 2: Engage more end users directly in ICANN Working Groups by adopting the Empowered Membership Model described in this document (See Section 11).

Implementation # 3: Adopt the Empowered Membership Model described in this document to engage more end users directly in ICANN work. (Section 11).

Implementation # 4: In the Empowered Membership Model individual users will be encouraged to participate in At-Large. Within this context there should be scope for further cooperation with the NCSG (Section 12).

Implementation # 5: Any individual from any region should be allowed to become an "At-Large Member" (ALM). The ALM is what the Empowered Membership Model identifies as the atomic element of the new At-Large model (Section 11).

Implementation # 6: Adopt the Empowered Membership Model which changes the function of RALOs so that they are primarily an outreach and mentoring mechanism for engaging new entrants (Section 11)

Implementation # 7: As part of the Empowered Membership Model, elected RALO representatives become ALAC Members who not only deliberate on advice to the Board but also serve as mentors to newcomers to At-Large. (Section 11)

Implementation # 8: The ALAC Members should have a maximum of (2) terms, each of a 2-year duration.(see Section 11).