



# Privacy and Proxy Service Provider Accreditation Program

IRT Meeting | 14 February 2017

# Agenda

1

Deliverables Status 2

RDDS Labeling Discussion



#### **Deliverables Status**

- IRT reviewing Registration Data Directory Service (RDDS) labeling proposal
- Data escrow/retention likely to be discussed next
- ICANN org: updating Policy document per IRT discussions



#### RDDS Labeling Proposal: Overview

"To the extent that this is feasible, domain name registrations involving P/P service providers should be clearly labelled as such in WHOIS." (Final Report, p. 9)

"While this may be possible with existing fields, the WG has also explored the idea that the label might also be implemented by adding another field to WHOIS, and is aware that this may raise certain questions that should be appropriately considered as part of implementation." (p. 9, footnote 15)



#### RDDS Labeling Proposal: Overview

- What information is needed for a record to be "clearly labelled"?
- Identified scenarios:
  - Is this a registration from an accredited P/P provider?
  - How to report an issue and to whom?
  - Registries and registrars have unique identifiers in WHOIS today. How to identify PP?
  - How to identify the difference between a Privacy and Proxy Service provider?



## Proposed New Output Fields

- In order to address identified scenarios, consider the following new Registration Data Directory Service (RDDS) fields:
  - Provider name/unique identifier
  - Type of service (with URL/link to service description on ICANN website)
  - Some way to contact the provider—proposing URL



#### RDDS Labeling Proposal: Overview

- Other alternatives considered:
  - Labeling each set of contacts that are masked by PP (in case some contacts are not masked)
  - Updating labels for fields masked by PP (e.g. updating "Registrant Name" to "Proxy Registrant Name")
  - Additional Provider contact information
  - Labeling of optional fields that are utilizing PP service (billing contact)



## RDDS Labeling Proposal: Output

- Where should these new fields appear in output?
- Proposal: under the registrar abuse (or reseller, if applicable) contact fields



#### RDDS Labeling Proposal: Output

Domain Name: EXAMPLE.TLD

Registry Domain ID: D1234567-TLD

Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.example-registrar.tld

Registrar URL: <a href="http://www.example-registrar.tld">http://www.example-registrar.tld</a>

Updated Date: 2009-05-29T20:13:00Z Creation Date: 2000-10-08T00:45:00Z

Registrar Registration Expiration Date: 2010-10-08T00:44:59Z

Registrar: EXAMPLE REGISTRAR LLC

Registrar IANA ID: 5555555

Registrar Abuse Contact Email: email@registrar.tld

Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +1.1235551234

Reseller: EXAMPLE RESELLER<sup>1</sup>

Domain Service: <PRIVACY> or <PROXY> - either option populated in this field would include a link or URL for an ICANN managed page for authoritative definitions for each type of service offering.

Privacy/Proxy Service Provider: EXAMPLE PRIVACY SERVICE PROVIDER NAME Privacy/Proxy Service Provider ID: ###### - equivalent to the ICANN ID (i.e. Registrar = IANA)

Privacy/Proxy Service Provider URL: ExampleURL.tld

Domain Status: clientDeleteProhibited<sup>2</sup>



## Thank you!



#### Thank You and Questions

Reach me at: amy.bivins@icann.org

Email IRT list at: gdd-gnso-ppsaiimpl@icann.org

IRT community wiki space:

<a href="https://community.icann.org/display/IRT/Privacy+and+Proxy">https://community.icann.org/display/IRT/Privacy+and+Proxy</a> +Services+Accreditation+Implementation

Implementation Status Page:

https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ppsai-2016-08-18-en

