

## RDS PDP WG Poll on Privacy - 14 February

During our 14 February call, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the following question/sub-question:

*Question 4.1 (revised): For thin data only -- Do existing gTLD registration directory services policies sufficiently address compliance with applicable data protection, privacy, and free speech laws about purpose? If not, what requirements might those laws place on RDS policies regarding purposes associated with thin data?*

Further information about data protection and privacy principles related to purpose, the purpose(s) identified thus far by this WG, and related "thin data" elements can be found here: [14FebMeeting-Handout.pdf](#) (This may be helpful in completing the poll.)

The following poll questions give all WG members an opportunity to confirm, reconsider, or elaborate upon points of agreement that surfaced during the 14 February call. This poll will close at COB Saturday 18 February 2017.

*As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.*

Note: A link to the most recently-opened RDS PDP WG poll, along with links to the last meeting's notes/recordings and next meeting materials, can be found here: <http://tinyurl.com/ng-rds>

**\* 1. Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)**

2. In the 14 February call, it was noted that this WG's draft statement of purpose is captured in our Key Concepts Working Draft, Section 2.3, for further deliberation. WG members expressed support for the following possible agreement; there were no objections. Do you agree or disagree with this statement:

**As a WG, we need to agree upon a purpose statement for the RDS.**

- a) Yes, the RDS PDP WG needs to agree upon a purpose statement for the RDS.
- b) No, this WG does not need to agree upon such a purpose statement. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

3. In the 14 February call, WG members reviewed Charter Question 4.1 and expressed support for the following possible agreement; there were no objections. Do you agree or disagree with this statement:

**Existing gTLD RDS policies do NOT sufficiently address compliance with applicable data protection, privacy, and free speech laws about purpose.**

- a) Agree that existing gTLD RDS policies do NOT sufficiently address compliance with applicable laws about purpose.
- b) Disagree - believe that existing gTLD RDS policies DO sufficiently address compliance with applicable laws about purpose. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

*By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.*

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on this charter question.