Terri Agnew:Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP WG call on Tuesday, 14 February 2017 at 17:00 UTC

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_dpDRAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=b0ktG-GEwIrfdrUG1D_fMJTiWmtf5U6zzyBrGhpHfDk&s=sUxQiclqRj4G2R92kF5p7PJTDp7QHMqCZn1FPoQG0ds&e=

Maryan Rizinski:Hello Terri

Chuck Gomes:It is taking a long time for an operator to join me on the call. Do we need to initiate that process earlier so that we sound check speakers on the agenda?

Terri Agnew:Hi Chuck, I will alert the op

Theo Geurts:Thanks Terri

Marika Konings:Hope all is fine Chuck!!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

Theo Geurts:'lo

Benny / Nordreg AB:sorry for being late

Stephanie Perrin:Sorry for being late, we had a conflict with our Intercessional meeting.

Farell FOLLY (Africa 2.0):BTW Stephanie, we need to find out a way next time...(I totally missed that Intercessional meeting)

Theo Geurts:oops

Terri Agnew:finding the line

Stephanie Perrin:Let's talk offline Farell....remote participation has been a struggle.

Terri Agnew:muting the line

Stephanie Perrin:In many ways the COnvention 108 shares similar problems with the COE Cybercrime Treaty. Hard to get people to sign, hard to get implementation, but both are truly needed right now

Terri Agnew:@Stephanie, we are unable to hear you, please check your mic on your side

Terri Agnew:let me know if a dial out on the telehone would be helpful

Stephanie Perrin:Did someone mute me cen trally when that loud noise happened?

Alex Deacon:thanks Chuck.

Terri Agnew:@Stephanie, no, your mic was unmuted but we were unable to hear you.

Terri Agnew:Stephanie is now on the telephone audio.

Lisa Phifer:Marc, that is still a question that must be addressed in our charter - draft statement is included in our working document for when we get back to it

Andrew Sullivan:the problem with "_the_" purpose of RDS is that different people have different needs

Theo Geurts:Agreed Andrew, that makes it complex

Stephanie Perrin:The purpose has to relate to ICANN, not the needs of every stakeholder who shows up at ICANN.

Andrew Sullivan:perhaps we could start, as I think Jim Galvin has suggested, by whittling down what purposes we're going to embrace at all

Fabricio Vayra:Counter balance: Article 29 WP 217 Opinion 4/2014 Article 7 requires that personal data shall only be processed if at least one of six legal grounds listed in that Article apply. In particular, personal data shall only be processed (a) based on the data subject's unambiguous consent; or if - briefly put -processing is necessary for ... (e) performance of a task carried out in the public interest; or (f) legitimate interests pursued by the controller, subject to an additional balancing test against the data subject's rights and interests.

Andrew Sullivan:if it's just to support registration and technical operations, the scope is way smaller

Michele Neylon:yup

Theo Geurts:yes

Andrew Sullivan:So, if we started at that layer (call it "minimal operational requirement"), we could make progress

Fabricio Vayra:or if we started at a task carried out for public interest (safety, security, etc) maybe a different result.

Fabricio Vayra:point being, this isn't one sided

Andrew Sullivan:but such a task is not actually required to make Internet go, whereas the min op req is

Alex Deacon:...or we start with the purpose defined by the EWG.   I find it easier to start with something concrete and progress from there vs. starting from a clean slate.

Andrew Sullivan:@Alex: except we haven't moved from this baseline yet, and my inner engineer gets itchy

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Alex, which is what we all agreed in Helsinki. And, recognizing there are nuances in the purpose requirement (exceptions and balancing tests)

Lisa Phifer:Refer to draft working document, sections 2.2 and 2.3 for list of proposed purposes for collection and the draft statement of purpose for the RDS. These are still our working documents to progress.

Marika Konings:See also https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_tiW4Aw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=b0ktG-GEwIrfdrUG1D_fMJTiWmtf5U6zzyBrGhpHfDk&s=3-PBl9VpsdQX7BfN2C-WMg1Ubz65z9c2_mjT1kMZHK0&e= for latest draft of statement of purpose.

Alex Deacon:Thanks Stephanie - that is helpful.

Lisa Phifer:See https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_p4xlAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=b0ktG-GEwIrfdrUG1D_fMJTiWmtf5U6zzyBrGhpHfDk&s=8NhI1Wz15t0jstjfdweeoZaAU9LLdxSBRjU5fZzTY7I&e= for the current working document, which contains in it the draft statement of purpose for the RDS in section 2.3

Alex Deacon:Thanks for the reminder Lisa :)

Jim Galvin (Afilias):I will continue to state my preference for a minimalist statement of purpose, i.e., +1 to @andrew. I am open to discussion and evidence that are other purposes are necessary, at which point I may change my mind.

Jim Galvin (Afilias):I do not believe that legacy existence of a purpose is a reason to continue to support it.

Andrew Sullivan:agree w/ Jim, but also the TM case seems to me to conflate access and collection

Jim Galvin (Afilias):I believe that Copyright and Trademark conflate access (secondary usage of data) with collection based on the primary purpose.

Andrew Sullivan:for TM, there is no greater collection req than that needed to make registration at all. for registration requires a registrant.

Michele Neylon:I need to drop off

Jim Galvin (Afilias):If there is more data that copyright and TM need that is not already collected, then it would help me if someone could explain why ICANN has a central role of any sort in copyright and TM enforcement.

Alex Deacon:@jim - its not clear to me we have defined a primary purpose yet...have we?

Andrew Sullivan:+eleventy to Jim

Stephanie Perrin:we have not, in my view, but we have started

Jim Galvin (Afilias):@alex - we have not made a decision as a WG, correct. We have a draft statement, linked by Lisa above, and we are still discussing boundaries.

Fabricio Vayra:agreed

Farell FOLLY (Africa 2.0):agreed

Alex Deacon:It seems we can't make much (any?) progress until we agree on a purpose.

Theo Geurts:yep

Andrew Sullivan:It is almost always true that one doesn't know what to do if one knows not why :-)

Peter Kimpian:UN Special Rapporteur on right to privacy also accepted our invitation

Jim Galvin (Afilias):@alex - I agree. I believe that a statement of purpose will drive if not define all other questions that need to be answered.

Stephanie Perrin:I would like to volunteer, and I have 8 pretty good questions already.

Terri Agnew:Next week: GNSO Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group teleconference will take place on Wednesday, 22 February at 06:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

Alex Deacon:are these questions to be asked during the session?   Or submitted to the planning committee for this session?

Stephanie Perrin:It would only be decent (and more productive) to provide the questions in advance. They are not experts in this area

Andrew Sullivan:obv better to submit in advance without that being a restriction

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):bye all

Nathalie Coupet:bye

Andrew Sullivan:bye bye

Theo Geurts:Thanks useful