


#14



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, January 19, 2017 9:45:47 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, January 19, 2017 9:47:47 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:00
IP Address: 216.168.239.87

PAGE 1

Q1: Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)	Chuck Gomes
Q2: Q1) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Domain Name Certification as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Domain Name Certification is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q3: Q2) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q4: Q3) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Academic/Public Interest DNS Research as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Academic/Public Interest DNS Research is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q5: Q4) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q6: Q5) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes,Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q7: Q6) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Legal Actions (e.g., IP Rights Enforcement, other Legitimate Investigative Purposes) as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Legal Actions is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
Q8: Q7) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Individual Internet Use (e.g., Consumer Protection/Mitigation, Consumer Trust/Verification) as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?	a) Yes, Individual Internet Use is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.

RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 18 January

Q9: Q8) In our 24 January call, we will discuss the possibility of publishing raw poll data, taking into consideration WG member wishes for privacy and transparency. As input to that discussion, please identify any personal data that you would prefer NOT to be included in raw data that might be published for future WG polls. (Check all data of concern to you, if any)

Respondent skipped this question