

---

MARIO MANN: On Tuesday, February the 20<sup>th</sup>, at 19:00 UTC. On the call, we have, today, Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Wolf Ludwig, Annette Muhlberg, Yrjo Lansipuro, Jean-Jacques Subrenat, Andrei Kolesnikov, [INAUDIBLE], [INAUDIBLE], Bastiaan Goslings, Wale Bakare, Matthieu Camus, and Sebastien.

We have listed apologies from [INAUDIBLE] and Oksana [INAUDIBLE]. We have listed apologies from them. And also from staff, we have myself Mario Mann, the co-manager, Heidi Ullrich, Sylvia Vivanco, and Evan Estevez. We have no interpreters today.

I would like to remind all participants please to state your name before speaking, not only for transcription purposes, but also for our translators. With this, I will turn it back over to you, Olivier. Please begin.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Mario. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. Have we missed anybody in the roll call? Okay, not seeing anyone put your hand up.

CHRISTOPH: Christoph [INAUDIBLE]

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, Christoph [INAUDIBLE]. Okay, welcome, Christoph.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Please add him to the list. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much. Okay, let's then go through our agenda today. We first have to adopt it quickly. We're going to have our just review of the At-Large board member selection, also the extraordinary selection of the ALAC member. And after that, we'll go through a few of the policies, discussions that have been going on at ICANN, planning for the EURALO activities at Copenhagen, forthcoming EURALO activities in Copenhagen.

And after that, it's a long agenda today, we'll have quick updates from the EURALO Bylaws Task Force on one side, the EURALO Task Force on At-Large Structure Engagement, and finally the ALAC response to the At-Large Review draft report, which is particularly important. Last but not least, we'll have a quick update on the community regional outreach project, the CROP. That's—is there any other business to add to this?

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAUT: Yes, this is Jean-Jacques. May I speak?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, please. Go ahead, Jean-Jacques. Jean-Jacques Subrenat.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAUT: Thank you, Olivier. This is Jean-Jacques speaking. I would like to suggest that we add on the any other business a question I have put to the

---

EURALO leadership several times, which is “Could you decide on attribution of specific roles to the EURALO board members?”

Because it seems, I have now, in one of your ALSs, I see that one, you have a very small board. It’s rather difficult to get things done. And EURALO has much more things than we do. I would like to make sure that, as suggested already several times, some of your board members, who perhaps have not had the opportunity to be very active, should get on to that. Thanks very much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks very much for this, Jean-Jacques. Oliver Crepin-Leblond speaking. We’ll add this to the any other business. We’ll spend a few minutes discussing this. Could I also have the agenda unlocked, please? On my screen, it still seems to be—okay, not it works. Fantastic. Thank you.

Alright, let’s then move on. And first thing is the review of our January action items. They’re all completed. We will have a two-hour general assembly in Copenhagen. Bastiaan Goslings confirmed his nomination for the EURALO ALAC candidate.

We launched a 24-hour consensus call for Olawale Bakare to be declared the winner with the EURALO board election. And so, Wale has indeed become a member of the EURALO board. So, well done and welcome, Wale. And finally, Gisella was to schedule 90 minutes for the next EURALO monthly call in case we have a lot of things to do today.

---

As you will see, it's a very busy, very packed agenda. So, we have some time on this call. Now, let's see, the next thing in our agenda, the next agenda item, is the EURALO extraordinary selection of the ALAC member, and that's in replacement of Veronica [INAUDIBLE], who had resigned because she basically got a job that was much more demanding with a lot more things to do.

And so, we ended up with an election. And with Bastiaan Goslings winning that election. So, Bastiaan, welcome, first as an ALAC member. And I thought we'd give you a few—couple of minutes for you to introduce yourself to our community and to share with us what vision do you have for At-Large?

And if you don't have a specific vision, what do you plan on doing with the ALAC and how you plan to serve our community and help with the ALAC and with EURALO? Bastiaan, you have the floor.

BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: Hello, everyone. This is Bastiaan speaking. Can you hear me properly?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Very well, indeed. Yes.

BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: Because I was struggling earlier today, on an earlier call with a different headset. So, I hope it works better now. Well, first of all, I sincerely want to express my gratitude to all ALSs, some of whom are on the call now. I won, and to my surprise, by a significant margin.

---

So, I'm very grateful for the support I received. It was also nice to see that a really significant amount of the ALSs actually participated in the election. I was very happy now to also see Oksana on board as a group candidate, so that actually gave the ALSs something to choose between.

So, thank you very much for that. What is my vision? That's a good question. I think, you know, during my short campaign, I tried to be concerned in the sense that I'm a relative newcomer to this. So, I'm aware of what ICANN does, the technical limits.

I'm aware of the different stakeholder constituencies within the ICANN, the multi-stakeholder model, how policies are made. I'm aware of a number of PDPs and a number of which I also express in emails that I think are not of interest to me but I think are important.

For instance, PDP of the next generation of Whois system, a number of topics in the Work Stream, the accountability next steps, amongst others, human rights and jurisdictions, I think are interesting. And I think I am somewhat involved in, or following.

So, a number of topics I think are very important. Last but not least, also a topic that has been discussed a lot recently, the At-Large Review, of which we've seen a draft report and are now in public comment period [INAUDIBLE] that needs to be done there.

And I expressed my interest, and also commitment, to spend time and energy on that. Again, I'm a relative newcomer. So, when it comes to the more internals of, especially what happens in At-Large, I still have a lot to learn. I know a significant amount of people, also a lot of people I do not know yet personally.

---

So, I look forward to meeting them, a face-to-face meeting in Copenhagen. But I also think it's important, of course, that I get up to speed on exactly what are the specific policies, debates, discussions, and important forums that are being discussed and are on the agenda within At-Large and that ALAC needs to work on.

I suppose there will be some form of division of the work and different responsibilities and stuff like that. So, I need to learn a lot there. I'm looking very much forward to work with the others, who I'm very sure they're very supportive having me come on board, and to learn, and to get up to speed as soon as possible so that I can be effective.

Also, with the resources I have, I can try to spend in effective and efficient as possible, and also on behalf of the At-Large community, to have hopefully an impact.

And one of the things I did stress during my short campaign is that I think it's very important, I would like to see and try to have more of an outward view and engagement of our stakeholders, and together see to it that proper policy is determined within ICANN, plus at the end of the day, at the interest of the end-users.

So, I will leave it up to there. I will leave it up to here. If there are any questions, specific questions, I will be happy to answer them. But again, thank you very much for the support I received. I look very, very much forward to work within this community. Thank you.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this intro, Bastiaan. In fact, I guess we have the time to open the floor if anybody has any questions for you on your new appointment. Any questions for Bastiaan? Anything that you'd like for him to look into specifically? Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAUT: Thank you, Olivier. This is Jean-Jacques speaking. So, Bastiaan, congratulations, warm congratulations on your nomination. You will see that on the any other business at the end of our agenda for this call, I suggested and it was accepted that we add the attribution of specific responsibilities to the members of the EURALO board.

And I was wondering whether you could do the same for ALAC. How do you see your role in ALAC of EURALO, considering your background or experience? It doesn't have to be long, just a few bullet points. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Bastiaan Goslings?

BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: Thank you very much. Thank you, Jean-Jacques. This is Bastiaan speaking. Again, I suggested a number of points that I think are important, but those are also things that I also sent out [INAUDIBLE] have may been looking at, I think are important in the interim, the accountability questions and also the PDP that Olivier was referring to, the working in the GNSO, the next-generation Whois, and other stakeholders that are involved there, either from law enforcement perspective, or civil rights perspective, or end user's perspectives.

---

I think the tension's building up there. Again, I would think, I could see no other way also, for myself, we have to make some form of a division of who's going to be responsible for that. If I could really, in terms of managing expectations, not only my own expectations but also others, that I could commit to a number of topics.

But it would also help me to be more involved and have an impact, and also, to have a form of a responsibility that I can report and that I can commit to, and that others can hold me accountable for. Because I am, at the end of the day, I am in a privileged position.

But also, there are certain expectations, and rightly so, coming forward. So, I definitely want to make a commitment there, and it would really help me, and I'm hoping that, rather sooner than later, within ALAC, get some form of a grasp of what's happening there and who's doing what and where my resources could be directed to most effectively. It might not be a direct answer to your question, Jean-Jacques, but I hope this helps. At least that's the intention I have. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Bastiaan. It's Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. Next is Christopher Wilkinson.

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: Hi. Good evening, everybody. Bastiaan, congratulations and best wishes. I just would like to suggest that the At-Large leadership, and you have become a member of the leadership group, will have a delicate path to follow in the next few months.



---

On the one hand, participating [INAUDIBLE] and constructively in the reform and improvement of the At-Large structures, but at the same time, on the other hand, of being fully briefed and cognizant of the positive achievements of At-Large and the accountability and results that we have produced.

You will find a lot of material from Alan, and from Olivier, among others, to support that line of argument. I don't think that you need to feel schizophrenic about this. I think it's perfectly reasonable, and in fact, necessary. At the time, as supporting and pursuing of a reform agenda to quite clearly define the achievements to date.

I agree with some aspects of the review reports that seek reform, but I totally disagree with some of the criticisms of the existing achievements that have been advised. So, on the one hand, good luck. On the other hand, you will need a thick skin. But do not hesitate when you need advice and information about the background and history of At-Large, to refer back to your colleagues in the leadership group. Best wishes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Christopher. I was going to give the floor to Bastiaan, but I guess the time is ticking now. But obviously, Bastiaan, please remain in touch with everyone. I think everyone's got Bastiaan Gosling's email. He's on the mailing list now and so on.

So, along with Andrei Kolesnikov and with Sebastien Bachollet, our three ALAC members are very active and are likely to come back to us on many issues that are coming before them. I just mentioned Andrei Kolesnikov, actually. But one thing that has happened is that Andrei has

---

also now been selected to be in the At-Large Leadership Team, the ALAC Leadership Team, the ALT.

I was going to turn over to Andrei for him to say maybe a few words of what his views were and whether he had any plans for the ALT, or that he's already started work with it. Andrei Kolesnikov?

ANDREI KOLESNIKOV:

Hello, everybody. It's Andrei Kolesnikov speaking. First of all, thank you for allowing me to become the ALT member. And I'm now scrolling the—today I was scrolling the agenda, since he was going under, trying to prepare for the next meeting, which will be shortly, I believe tomorrow, right? For two hours.

So, trying to get familiar with the issues. At the same time, I am really concerned about ALAC review project. That's all we do. So, I'll do some commands—I'll send the commands to Olivier, because I really don't want to screw the collaborative work, which is drafting right now, that I see on the Google Doc by the RALO chairs. So, I'm here to work with you guys.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks for this, Andrei. And congratulations again on this new position. And I also wanted to thank Oksana [INAUDIBLE] for having stood in the selection for the ALAC member. It's through contested position that we enhance our accountability, because there is a chance for our community to choose who their next representatives are going to be.

---

So, I'd much rather have elections than when there's just one candidate, and everyone else says "Oh well, there you go." And be interested in choosing the next of our representatives. In any case, we've spent some time on this. So, we've got a couple of new people in new positions.

I think they're not new people. They know their way around. And I'm really, really glad that we've got this excellent team in place. And I look forward to working with them, and I hope that they'll come back to us, as I said, quite often with any concerns or tapping into our community to help them in their new tasks.

The next thing is—on the agenda, is the At-Large board member selection. I absolutely do not like this heading, actually, and I was looking at it, and it's misleading, isn't it? At-Large board member selection. We're not selecting an At-Large board member.

It's the At-Large community selecting a member of the ICANN board, and you must all be aware of it because we all had to vote on the At-Large Structure representatives and to place a vote. In our region, the regional results made it clear that there were more votes for Leon Sanchez than for Alan Greenberg.

This was also reflected across the overall ALAC election, and as a result, Leon Sanchez was declared the winner of the election, this election yesterday, I believe. Yeah, it was yesterday. And so, congratulations to him. It's important, extremely important, obviously, to have someone on the board that we can rely on, and Leon has woven himself, throughout the years.

---

So, I'm really pleased to see him being able to take on this position. I don't see any hands up. Leon, unfortunately, I think was unable to join us today, but I will ask whether he could do in the near future. And Sebastien, of course, you've been in that position as a member of the ICANN board.

I'm sure you will have plenty to discuss with Leon, and share experiences with Leon on what it's like to be on the board and how to navigate through these waters. Next in our agenda—I'm just checking the queue, and I don't see any hands. So, review of public consultations.

And we have a number of public consultations that are in place at the moment, just ahead of the ICANN meeting in Copenhagen. In the past month, there have been no statements approved by the ALAC. But there are statements currently in process, either being commented on or being drafted.

The first one, and you must have heard about it, and we will be touching on this a little bit later on, but there's two sides to it. It's the At-Large Review, this draft report, which was released and which contains a number of inaccuracies. And so, the ALAC is looking at including the At-Large Review—the working party is looking at drafting a response.

There are actually two responses. One will be a response from the ALAC. The other one will be a response given by the RALOS, the regional At-Large organizations. If you go onto the page of the public comment, which is linked from your agenda, you will notice at the bottom of the page there is a first draft that was submitted for the ALAC response,

---

that was drafted by Alan Greenberg with the help of—and the input of other members of the review working party.

I would invite you to have a look at it. It's quite—I would say it's quite a number of pages, as you here, 14 pages in total? 15 pages in total for the time being. It might actually grow a little bit more. And what it has is to look at each one of the recommendations in turn and provide a response from an ALAC point of view.

So, the At-Large Advisory Committee members themselves, who obviously are to look at many different aspects of the review. And so, there's a suggested response for each one of the points there. I would invite you to read through it and perhaps even comment on it if you think that there needs to be more things added or some things need to be amended.

Very important process, as you know, this is one of the organizational reviews that has the power, if the board implements the recommendations, to completely change the structure, change the working methods, change the goals of an organization, and on this occasion of course, the goal of the ALAC and the way that it works.

And there are some particularly significant recommendations made there, which if implemented as they are currently drafted, would I think cause—and I'm not alone to say this—but cause quite a few problems, both for our leadership but also for our existing At-Large Structures and our existing membership structure.

So, something to really look out for. There is a second—another draft report that we will be touching on this—sorry, there's another draft

---

response that we will be touching on this in part 9 of the current agenda. So, if I could as in the meantime that we don't have any discussion this now.

We'll wait until the second part of our agenda, and agenda item 9, we'll be able to discuss our response on this draft report. You'll notice on the agenda it says we've got until the 24<sup>th</sup> of March, 2017 to provide a response. That might seem to be a long distance away.

But in between, there is the Copenhagen meeting, where a lot of things will happen, and some discussions will take place. What we're trying to do is have most of the input, or at least a maximum amount of input prior to meeting face-to-face, because that's where we can really do a good amount of work.

In addition to that, immediately after the ICANN meeting, there usually is a one-week break, where everyone flies back and recovers from the meeting. So, that already takes another week out of the equation, which means that we really do need to move.

Now secondly, there's also an ALAC response to the Cross-Community working group accountability Work Stream 2, and Work Stream 2 deals with supporting organization and advisory committee accountability subgroup. That's—they look at the accountability of the community, effectively.

If you recall this whole At-Large Review Work Stream 1, the first part, which was all part of the [INAUDIBLE] transition, that first part looked at the accountability of the ICANN board, the accountability of the ICANN

---

itself. Now they're looking at the accountability of supporting organizations and advisory committees.

We follow this as a community through our ICANN—there's a working group that follows this. I always keep on losing the name of this. It's ICANN evolution working group, I think it's called now. It used to be called the INS Stewardship Transition working group, but now it's ICANN evolution.

If you're interested in following this group, please consider joining that working group, or attending one or the other calls. And in the meantime, I would suggest that you have a look at the Wiki page that has that statement. And there's already a first draft submitted as well, and it really was drafted by the people that were directly involved with the work.

So, they're absolute experts in that, and it would be good for everyone to have a look. There are a lot of questions being asked of the community, and the answers are being proposed on this paper. These are the current statements in progress.

Are there any questions regarding that second statement? I don't see anyone. Okay. So, let's then move on. There are statements, public comment requests, which the ALAC has decided not to submit statements. One is about the Japanese label generation rules for the second level.

This is all to do with the internationalized domain names, when you have a certain set of characters that are not Latin character set. Well for

---

this region, really, the Japanese label generation rules, I gather, probably don't have very much of an impact on our community.

But in general, it's a very highly technical field, and the ALAC, and I guess the internationalized domain name working group of the At-Large Advisory Committee decided not to submit a comment on this. And then there are still a few public comments requests that are still requiring decision.

And if you notice these and say "Oh, we really need to say something about this, and have to say something about this," then please volunteer to hold the pen or to contribute to putting this statement together. The first one is the generic name-supporting organization initial report on the intergovernmental organization, or inter-nongovernmental organization access to curative rights protection mechanisms policy development process.

This is a generic name-supporting organization policy development process that looks at effectively the protection of the domain names for international government organizations. And we're looking here at the copyright, at the trademarks, this sort of thing.

As you might or might not know, when it comes down to trademarks, international governmental organizations often are not protected by trademarks. And many of these are—the first one that comes to mind is really The Red Cross, for example—would do work that requires funding, that requires fundraising, etcetera.

And there have been in the past some other parties trying to register domain names that looked as though they were from these



---

organizations but were not. It really is a process that was pushed by the Government Advisory Committee, the GAC, and the GNSO is now working and looking into this.

If you think that the ALAC needs to point something out in this report, please stand forward. Next, the recommendations to improve ICANN's transparency. Well, you've heard that we had the Work Stream 2 subgroup to improve the accountability of the Supportive Organizations and Advisory Committees.

This one is all about improving the transparency of the board, the transparency of various processes being implemented. It's not to do specifically with Supportive Organizations or Advisory Committees. It's looking at ICANN as a whole.

And there are several recommendations that are being made there, including some when it comes down to reporting of activities by the board and by staff. And finally, interim paper, Cross-Community working group on the use of names and countries and territories, these top-level domains, where the new top-level domains, the launch of new top-level domains, and a possible future round, again.

It is likely that there would be applicants for, let's say .france, or .england, or .unitedstates, or .germany, or maybe there have been applicants for these already. And the GAC, the Government Advisory Committee, has been really having a long, long discussion on this topic, as in should private-sector applicants be able to apply for these top-level domains.

---

So, there's a working paper, an interim paper, that's been put together here, and public comment is open on this. Again, if you feel strongly about this, please step ahead and put your hand up, or volunteer after this call to hold the pen. Are there any comments or questions on any of these? Christopher Wilkinson, you have the floor.

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: Yes, Olivier. I apologize for being comment-lastic, but you're referred to several documents. And in the process of your statement, I have been scrolling through, I think it's called the Wiki. I can't find any of them. I don't want an answer now, but there is a serious problem in real time to try and find the documents that are being discussed.

Even to find the confirmation for this meeting, I have to scroll through 100 emails. There's a problem here. Maybe it's my generation. I still find the Wiki method counter-suggestable. My main problem is the time I waste searching, searching, searching for the links or the documents I know that exist somewhere.

Maybe somebody could just post into the chat the direct link to the ALAC response on accountability? Which I've read, but I can't find it back, to the last At-Large response to the review. I would also be interested, and this I think you could answer, Olivier, now, what is your view about individual responses to the public consultation?

Personally, I've said a number of things on the list, and bilaterally, which I think by and large have been ignored. Should I be making a document of my own, a personal document, in response to the public consultation? I don't know. Thank you.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Christopher. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. And so, a couple of things. First, the ability to find the different statements that I'm mentioning. Now this first link in the chat, if you scroll back to the top of the chat, there is a link to the agenda, to today's agenda, and then you will be able to see on the agenda you can click on the actual links themselves.

But there is also one thing, which is that staff, as I was speaking, were adding the links and putting them up in the Adobe Connect chat. And Evan has done very well, actually, on this. If you then click on one of those links, and I've just clicked on the SO/AC accountability subgroup, it will open a page with the ALAC policy advice, with a big title "ALAC Response to CCWG Accountability Work Stream 2," etcetera.

You then scroll to the bottom of that page and that's where the first draft is submitted. Each one of these policy development pages for At-Large are formatted in the same way. There is first a table that provides you with full details of the public comment closing date, the name of the [INAUDIBLE], the dateline, or the timeline for calling for comments, and closing the call for comments, and opening the vote, etcetera, etcetera.

Then there is an information box, which you can click to be on or off, that provides details and explanation of what the public comment is about. And then scrolling further down, there is final version to be submitted if ratified, and then you find there is final draft version to be voted on by the ALAC. And under that there is a first draft submitted. So

---

yes, we are filling the bottom one first, as the first draft. Then after comment is—

MARIO MANN: Excuse me, Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Hello?

MARIO MANN: Excuse me, there is a noise in your line, we believe.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Is it my line?

MARIO MANN: We think so. So, we can try to mute your line, and then you can unmute your line again.

[INAUDIBLE]

MARIO MANN: There's no noise now.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think it might be maybe coming from Christopher's line. I see there, Christopher's line is there. It doesn't come from me, because I'm using headphones. So, anyway—

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: It's not me. I'm muted.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, let me continue quickly. So, first draft submitted comes at the bottom, and then you've got the final draft. After all of the input from everyone has come into it, the ALAC votes on this, and then a final version is then added to this Wiki page above.

So, I think it's quite self-explanatory, and I hope that you're able to navigate through this, Christopher. If you have problems, then we can follow up after this call, and I can certainly sort of show you the—it's something we've done for several years, perhaps that we would be doing this—I mean maybe there are things that we might have to change if it gets confusing.

If anybody else has a view on this, please email me and staff, and we'll certainly be channeling this over to the ALAC chair if you think that there could be a better—a much better—what is it? Format for this thing. And of course, if you are going through the Wiki, in order to leave a comment on the Wiki page, you have to log in.

So, on top right-hand corner it says "Log In." You click on that, and then you can type the—there's a comment box that will open. Right. Let me go through the list of people [INAUDIBLE]. Now, Christoph

---

[INAUDIBLE], you were queuing for a while, and then you put your hand down.

I'm not sure whether you got tired, or did I answer your question already? Christoph? Okay, maybe that does the work. Okay. Let's then go to Sebastien Bachollet. And Sebastien, you might be muted.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Is it better now?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, now we can hear you. Go ahead.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay. Thank you very much, Olivier. Sebastien Bachollet speaking. If, as my internet is going in and out, if it goes out, just wait for me. I have two or three remarks. The first one is I think the questions raised by Christopher Wilkinson are important, but it shows one thing, that in fact, even when you are not working on writing documents, just following, or trying to follow all the things going on around At-Large, it's already a full-time job.

And I am very concerned with that, because we request that everybody knows about everything, and that's not possible. And we have to see how we can sort out and how we can speed the work, and be confident that others can do part of the job.

---

It's not—it's almost impossible if you want to participate. Second point, Christopher raised the question about the individual people, like him, Ken and [INAUDIBLE] the commentary about At-Large Review. And I think it's very important that your specific point of view be taken into account.

We didn't start to work, it was maybe not the right way, but we didn't start to work to have just one single document from all At-Large. We already have different inputs from different people, and now we have seen that there are two groups who are working on a report, or a comment.

And please, do yours. It will be useful. And I guess it would be better, also, concerning what reviewer told us that we are not having any inputs from the [INAUDIBLE]. And I hope that we will get some. Now on the content of some of the documents.

I want to be sure that everybody understood that the discussion about transparency. It's one of the nine topics of the Work Stream 2, of the ICANN Accountability. And yes, it may concern the board, but it concerns first the organization and the need from the SO/ACs.

Because I would say the reform of the board, of the Accountability and Transparency of the board was already done in Work Stream 1 of the main part. And it's allowed me to come to one specific topic raised in this document, but in others, it's a possible role of the Ombudsman.

As you know, I am the rapporteur of this Work Stream 2 topic. I think it's important that at one moment, we be able to discuss that issue for end-user, where we want to have our complaints taken into account,

---

knowing that in addition to the Ombud's office, we will have soon a complaint officer reporting to the [INAUDIBLE] legal department within the ICANN staff.

And it's not in any of your links and documents, but I sent to the plenary of the Work Stream 2 first draft, or draft of a draft, for people to think about what we need. We have currently an Ombudsman who are taken a liaison with the board.

That's why it's called independent, but in fact, it's independent from staff. We have now somebody within the staff. Is it the right time to have a third office chosen by the [INAUDIBLE] community to take into some of our requests in Work Stream 2?

It's not to say that I have the answer, but I wanted to raise this issue. And maybe some EURALO people will be interested in that specific issue, and if so, I will be glad to send them this first document on that specific topic. Thank you very much, Olivier, and the others.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sebastien, and thank you for bringing this to attention. It's very important as well. It all comes down to improvements of ICANN, and it's a time when we can really make a difference. So, input is needed.

And I'm sure that there would be input in our community for these processes. You mentioned that—you answered Jean-Jacque's question—Christopher's question earlier, which Jean-Jacque Subrenat had also answered in the chat, which is that even when there is a



---

comment by the ALAC itself to a public comment period, I think, and I agree with Jean-Jacques and with you, it's important that individual comments are also made.

We have indeed, as a community, been accused of being top-down and not really tapping the input from the—what they call the grass-roots, from the edges, from At-Large Structures, and I think that's really a terrible thing to be accused of that.

We are trying. Please don't get scared and say "Oh well, I don't know very much about this topic and I'm not going to say much about it. I can't bring anything to it because I don't know very much about it." The input is needed. So, you can do it in both ways, both directly and also via the ALAC.

Obviously, by the ALAC, then provides some formal way to ratify the input, but I think that often the numbers are important. Numbers are important. So, if you have 10 people, 10 individuals that are commenting on something, that shows that our At-Large Structures, that people, even individuals in EURALO, are following what's going on at ICANN and where we're contributing to this.

I don't see any other hands up at the moment. So—no, there was a note from Christoph [INAUDIBLE] earlier, and his microphone was muted while speaking. Christoph, did you wish to say a couple of words? I know you wanted to come on. Christoph [INAUDIBLE].

CHRISTOPH: No, it's no longer important. Thanks, Olivier, for time for me. Thanks.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you, Christoph.

CHRISTOPH: Can you hear me now?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, very well.

CHRISTOPH: Okay, great.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So, that's great. Thank you. I don't see any other hands up. We spent a significant amount of time on policy today, and that's good. I enjoy the fact that we're doing policy, because again, another thing that we're being accused of always to do too much process.

But obviously, there is so much going on. And we have to do it. We have to do a little bit of process now. And the next thing is the update on ICANN 58 Copenhagen EURALO meeting and activities. Quite a number of things taking place there.

As you know, whenever we have a meeting taking place in Europe, EURALO, or the local/regional At-Large Organization, has to organize a few additional activities. They're all summarized on one Wiki page, and we'll go through them quickly.

---

First there is an ICANN 58 information booth. We have found in the past that the information booth was a good way to be both a meeting point but also to engage on people that might be interested in joining At-Large or joining an At-Large Structure.

And so, on this occasion we've managed to broker a deal with the part of ICANN that runs the booth, and we will be able to attend, and it would be a good idea to sign up, if you are in Copenhagen, to sign up for specific times during the breaks.

No need to be sitting there when there are sessions taking place and nobody's going to be around. But it would be interesting and probably very useful if we could have some people at the booth during the breaks, the lunch hour, etcetera.

So, there is a link on that Wiki page that will let you go and sign up. There's a EURALO flyer that will—that has been designed a while ago. Many of them will be printed in several languages. I might ask staff if they know off-hand what the languages are, but I believe it must be English, Spanish.

I think we have some in Russian. We will have some in—I was going to say German and Italian, but unfortunately, no, we won't have German and Italian. We'll have French as well, yeah. How could I forget that? So, that's the flyers, and we'll have quite a pack of them, large box of them, that will be printed locally.

What I would say, if you are interested in searching for more At-Large Structures and growing our community, then take a handful of these. We've got plenty. Take a few of these and then you can take them back

---

to your community and share them with anyone that you might be meeting on the way.

There is a EURALO business card as well. It just basically says EURALO on one side and it's got the website address so that people can contact, if you're interested also. It's helpful for outreach to have a few of these, and if you meet anyone, you can always just give them the business card.

I mean we're all ambassadors of EURALO, really. So, just take a few of these and use them as you can. There is going to be a capacity-building session on the Wednesday, I believe, at lunchtime. This is in consultation with the Capacity-Building working group.

We're currently building the agenda. It will be in a sort of roundtable fashion. And by capacity-building, of course, what do we mean? We mean basically bringing people up to scratch on any processes, anything that might be of interest, both in ICANN—sorry, both in At-Large but also in other Supportive Organizations and Advisory Committees, possibly linked.

And I would hope that we make a choice of that, possibly linked to some of the hot topics that we have at the moment. We have a list of EURALO hot topics that we had compiled from previous surveys, and we'll probably be having to choose among some of those hot topics.

I can guess, this is just a wild guess at the moment, I could certainly guess that Registration, Directory Services, might be a good thing to talk about. And the format, as I said, will be a roundtable. So, not one of

---

these things with tons of slides and then you just fall asleep and check your emails while this happens.

On this occasion, it will be roundtable with full interaction. If you have questions, comments, anything to add, and then so on, please go participate. You can also participate remotely. I think that remote participation will be possible, due to the local facilities, and ask your questions and make your comments.

Then we'll also have outreach and engagement session, which is a joint outreach and engagement session with the NCUC, Non-Commercial End-Users Constituency. For some reason, I'm not seeing this on this page. It was on the page a while ago, but it seems to have disappeared, strangely enough.

But that's, again, going to be a roundtable discussion. And this is really for outreach, for people who are not At-Large Structures, or not At-Large members, NCUC members, that may be some of the local population, also newcomers, the next generation.

There are quite a few people coming to Copenhagen for this. And the idea here is to say "Well, why should you join now? What's interesting about ICANN today? What's important? Why should you join?" I guess if you're on this call today, you've already passed this point.

But if you want to help out with convincing people and letting people get a little bit of an insight of what things are like when you are an At-Large Structure, or what kind of work we do at ICANN, then please consider joining that session. It's going to be Saturday afternoon, at the beginning of the ICANN meeting in Copenhagen.

---

And then finally, there is also going to be a general assembly, obviously, by EURALO. The general assembly is just going to be using the usual format of—well basically, having the topic of discussion, the annual report, etcetera, etcetera.

There is nothing that comes out of the ordinary. And of course, you can be there during the face-to-face meeting, or you can also follow remotely using remote participation. And finally, a little bit of fun among all of that doom and gloom and work, and that's the Global [INAUDIBLE] Multi-Stakeholder band.

If you've not heard about it, it's a group that is made up of people who are, well they are active in ICANN, but they're also pretty cool musicians. I can see a couple of them that are here among us. I'll just name them quickly. The first two names that come to mind, Andrei Kolesnikov on keyboards and guitar, Bastiaan Goslings on bass, I believe.

If you want to hear these people play, then please attend that. It's on Tuesday night, and we'll send more details as it comes down. So, is it guitar that you're on, Bastiaan? Sorry. Apologies. Here's a chargeable offense. Bastiaan will be on the guitar.

Anyway, that's a little bit of lighthearted activity. But yes, we do have a lot of work in Copenhagen, and I'm now opening the floor if there are any questions or comments, or suggestions, of topics that we should have in the capacity-building and also any additional topics that we might wish to address during the general assembly.

And I think that one already is answering a question perhaps, and that's the board, the EURALO board, and whether we should assign specific

---

tasks to members of the EURALO board. Perhaps that's the place, the general assembly would be a good time to discuss this.

Any questions or comments? I don't see anyone putting their hand up. I see—oh yes, I note that staff has put all the links to the various agendas. So, there's the general assembly agenda that has been posted, that Sylvia has very kindly linked.

And you can see, we'll just have the usual confirmation of assembly quorum, adoption of the proposed agenda, and what we will be touching on is the EURALO annual report for 2016. We'll be looking at the work of the Bylaws Task Force, of the At-Large Structure Engagement Task Force, at the Community Regional Outreach pilot program, which we will all touch on today, but perhaps we'll dig into more detail.

And then we'll have to discuss the outreach strategy with the Global Stakeholder Engagement, Jean-Jacques [INAUDIBLE], for engagement for Europe and also engagement for Eastern Europe, Mikhail [INAUDIBLE], Eastern Europe, Russia and Central Asia.

So, both of them will be joining us then. And then we'll have EURALO hot topics and we'll be able to discuss quite freely, I think. I'd like to keep this quite open, and perhaps also have some policy discussions as to what you are interested in touching on.

Okay. So, that's the current general assembly and details. I don't see anyone putting their hand up, so we can continue with the next part of our agenda, if I may. And that's the update on the EURALO Bylaws Task Force. Before we go on to that, I was just going to turn over to staff, to

---

Sylvia, Sylvia Vivanco. Is there anything that I've forgotten about the EURALO general assembly and about all the things going on in Copenhagen?

SYLVIA VIVANCO:

Hello, Olivier. This is Sylvia. I think you summarized all of the events, actually. So, thank you very much for that. And staff, we are updating the Wiki pages with all the information, so we already have the agenda posted and the documents, you will send us the documents, the views that will be linked to the agenda.

So, just visit the Wiki pages and you will be able to download the documents in advance, so you can prepare yourself for the EURALO general assembly. That's all. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much for this, Sylvia. That's good. The only other thing I was going to ask then, is that you send, of course, a follow-up email to the EURALO mailing list to give us all the links that are needed so that we don't have up ending to search for them. I totally agree with Christopher that once you get onto the Wiki and you try to navigate it, it sometimes gets very frustrating to find your way around. But certainly, an email with the links would be helpful.

SYLVIA VIVANCO:

Okay, will do, Olivier. And all agendas will be hyperlinked, and we'll also send reminders to the EURALO mailing list with the agendas, and people



---

can open them and click on them, and they will see all the documents that are posted. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Thanks very much. Okay so next is, as I mentioned just a moment ago, the update on the EURALO Bylaws Task Force. And for this, we have Wolf Ludwig, Erich Schweighofer and [INAUDIBLE], who met, was it a week ago, I think, to move this thing forward. I'm not sure who wishes to speak to this. I'm not seeing Florian nor Erich on the call. So, perhaps should we ask Wolf Ludwig if you could please provide us with an update on this? Wolf, you have the floor.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, okay. Thanks a lot, Olivier. It's Wolf Ludwig, for the record. Well, actually we met 10 days ago, in the context of, and I've been to the Domain Path meeting in Vienna for other purposes. And Erich Schweighofer and Florian simply used the opportunity to meet face-to-face in Vienna to go through some details and open questions of ongoing bylaw revision.

As most of you may remember, this is a longstanding issue, the task force for the bylaws revision has been created after Dublin in October 2015. We had plenty of task force meetings, at least once a month, in 2016. And we could reach some clarifications but we did not really progress because it's a highly-sophisticated issue, and original EURALO bylaws are extremely long, extremely bulky, etcetera.

---

And it's difficult to come to conclusions to cut it down to essentials. But that was, more or less, the agreement we could find in between to really cut it down to the essentials and to avoid any chapters who didn't make any sense in the past or didn't prove to be feasible over the last couple of years.

And finally, we reached a new step. When Florian came in, Florian is a lawyer at the University of Austria in Vienna, and he made himself familiar with what I am, this association's standards and bylaws model. And then we decided, "Okay, let's use such a Swiss model," which is simple and light, and not exceeding in too much details.

So, we identified it to deal with some very basics, what is needed in bylaws, in the bylaws itself, and about any complimentary things like rules of procedure we could better describe in an annex to the bylaws, in the rules of procedure. And there is a second major reference document to the bylaws, what will be the memorandum of understanding we signed with ICANN in March 2007 in Lisbon.

So, it's a very complex work. We made some good progress. It's sometimes much easier when you meet face-to-face and you can discuss in much more effective ways than on a call conference. And we had, after Vienna last week, a task force call, where some of the task force members participated, and we made a briefing and an update on what we discussed.

And the pen-holder, Florian, is now providing a first draft of the new bylaws, of the revised bylaws, which will be well-presented to the task force, to the EURALO board, and the members, of course. And then it's

---

getting into critical phase, because as you all know, whenever you try to modify or amend bylaws, this is a touchy subject.

We did it once in 2011. Some of you may remember, it was a time-taking endeavor at the time, and it will be a time-taking endeavor again when we'll ask for comments or for suggested modifications. But the state of art so far is still work in progress, and if you have any particular questions, etcetera, please feel free to ask me. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. The floor is open. I don't see any hands up, but I wanted to really thank you and Florian and Erich for working on this, spending quite a lot of time on that to get this process in motion and moving.

I also wanted to thank ICANN for having also allocated someone from ICANN legal to follow up with this. And the process forward, really, once a first set of bylaws—once a first draft is given, that will be shared with our community, so with all of the At-Large Structures, and with ICANN legal to see if it's something that holds together and would be compatible with the processes that we have at ICANN.

We're not looking at creating a new MOU, a new memorandum of understanding, between ICANN and EURALO. We're changing the bylaws, the sort of rules of procedures, effectively. So, anyway, I don't see any hands. Let's move on then.

And we're back again with—well, we have a second task force. That's on At-Large Structure Engagement. Just before, I was going to give the floor

---

to Yrjo Lansipuro, I noticed that Glenn McKnight is actually with us here, and he is one of the co-chairs of the Outreach and Engagement working group.

And I know that he has written a number of things in the chat, some of which I might have missed, on the various activities that are taking place here. There is an electronic invite that you will all receive in your email box, an e-vite, as it's called.

But I don't know, Glenn, did I forget anything on outreach and engagement during Copenhagen? Glenn McKnight. And it looks as though Glenn doesn't have a mic, so that's why he's probably been typing away. In the meantime, whilst Glenn McKnight responds by typing, let's turn over to Yrjo Lansipuro for an update on our very own working group on Outreach and Engagement of the EURALO At-Large Structures. Yrjo, you have the floor.

YRJO LANSIPURO:

Thank you, Olivier. This is Yrjo Lansipuro, for the record. The objective of this exercise is to engage the ALSs and independent members in getting more input to what we are doing in the substantive matter, more input through to the drafting of advice of EURALO and of the ALAC.

So, what we did was that first, we contacted all ALSs in Europe. We asked them what kind of expertise they could provide. And then this information was sort of turned around, and we now have a table, perhaps Sylvia or Evan, you could put that table on Connect just to show what it looks like.

---

SYLVIA VIVANCO: Hello, Yrjo. This is Sylvia. We are going to upload the table on the Adobe Connect. In the meantime, you can check on the Adobe Connect chat and click on the Google Doc, and that's the table.

YRJO LANSIPURO: Thanks.

SYLVIA VIVANCO: Thank you.

YRJO LANSIPURO: Thank you very much. So, on this table, the idea is that you can look for a specific topic and then you see what—which ALSs or independent members have expressed—have told us that they have expertise in that area. Some ALSs have even provided names, and that is of course good, because it's always easier to talk with concrete names.

So, this is what it looks like, and it's quite a number of topics, and listing ALSs, and listing persons that have been—that are—would be available as experts for drafting the advice. Next step would be to send this back to all ALSs for their review, asking for more information, additional information, names and so on and so forth.

And of course, we should keep this expertise in mind so that when there are public comment periods, or when there are any other occasions where ALAC or At-Large is asked to provide their opinion, we should

---

remember we have these experts out there and actually ask them to become involved, to send in their input. So, this is all. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Yrjo. It's Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. And it's the first time I see that table. I love it. I think it's great to see slowly that the hard work that you and your group have done to tap the input—or get the input from At-Large Structures, and basically just list out what they are good in, what they're interested in, and see it on a table now.

That certainly is an excellent step to be able to move forward in the I hope not so distant future, and only send emails about a specific topic to those ALSs and people that are interested and that can contribute in that topic rather than using the email mailing list to absolutely hammer everyone about every single topic under the sky.

If this work can lead to a reduction in emails in people's mailboxes, and an increased engagement from At-Large Structures and people on this, on the various topics, then that's really, really a great step forward. A small one, but it's very important. So, thank you for that work. Wale Bakare, you have the floor.

WALE BAKARE: [INAUDIBLE]

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think there might be a problem with your microphone, Wale. It doesn't sound too right.

---

WALE BAKARE: [INAUDIBLE]

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Nope, we can't hear you, or I certainly cannot understand you. [INAUDIBLE]. Nope, it doesn't work. Okay, let's try and see if that can be worked out. In the meantime, Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. This is Jean-Jacques. On behalf of Wale, I had asked him to speak on behalf of our association, but apparently, he has a technical problem. So, I'll speak in the meantime. Thank you very much, Olivier, for this very comprehensive list.

I'd just add a couple of comments. As some of you know, our association, the EURALO Individual User's Association, took a survey recently, in January, and we got the results. Thanks, by the way, to very precious help from ICANN staff. And we are looking at the results, and put it out on our own website, complete results and a few comments by our association board.

And I think this will help us in refining a possible contribution to this list once it has been sent to us by Olivier. That was my first remark. The second remark is that the typology, or the profile of the average member of our association is perhaps also interested in—we'll put more on that on our own website, but let me just say a couple of words about that.

---

It ranges very far and wide. We have 26 registered members, and out of that, a great number are interested in, more than anything else, internet governance. And that comes first, even before, or ahead of, policy making within ICANN. With that being said, we have people who are from academic background, lawyers, technicians, engineers.

So, we cover quite a broad swath of expertise. And as I said earlier, I think that with this survey we just conducted, we should be able to go a bit more into detail in responding to your [INAUDIBLE]. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Jean-Jacques. Yrjo, any words to say to this?

YRJO LANSIPURO: Yeah, thank you, thank Jean-Jacques for this information. And of course, the idea is that more additional information, and more precise information will be added to this table. And I think that the survey that Jean-Jacques mentioned will provide lots of new information. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Yrjo. And finally, Glenn McKnight on this topic. Glenn, I believe your phone is now working?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yes, it is. Hi, everybody. It's Glenn McKnight, for the transcript record. Thank you, Olivier. You singled me out. I was trying to be silent. But on



---

outreach and engagement, our committee meets on a monthly basis. So, what we've done for promoting the NCUC/EURALO event, we created an e-vite, and Sylvia has the most recent version, which includes the room number.

And also in our magazine, there's an At-Large guide to Copenhagen, which we've included the entire brief that was produced by the committee. So, more detail, plus the brochure again, plus the calendar. Now just to let you know, we sent that out to Janice and [INAUDIBLE]. It's gone out to the fellows. It's gone out to the Next-Gen, and hopefully into the hands of the newcomers.

So, perhaps the silent methodology of the magazine will actually get some traction. And I have to mention that Olivier and myself, and I think some crazy number of 12 or more, Olivier you'll have to help me on this one, at IGF Mexico was a huge number.

In fact, with the board members and staff, it was like in the 20s at the IGF Mexico. And I will tell you, the ICANN booth was silent except for our meeting spots for ICANN board, but the NCUC table was vibrant. They had lots of volunteers.

They did a fantastic job. So, I would say from my observations with NCUC, they really understand outreach. So, partnering up with NCUC for this outreach and this session should be quite successful. So, looking forward to it.

On our own side of things, I've shared with all of you our information and logistics for our own GA, which will be a major outreach at our RAR, which is errant coming up in April. So, if anyone wants to reach out to

---

me to how we're doing the logistics and how we're approaching that relationship with our RAR, which is equivalent to your right, I'd be happy to talk to you. Any other questions for me?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: No, that's all. Thank you very much for this, Glenn.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: You're welcome.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It's a good update that you've provided. Thank you. And I think that the work that Yrjo's group is doing is of such caliber that perhaps at some point in the future, I hope he will consider presenting this over to the ALAC-wide outreach and engagement working group, because it's really starting to shape up and to come together.

There might be some lessons to be learned from this. Let's see where it goes, but I'm really looking forward to the further developments on this. Time is flying by at such a rate, and we are already reaching the critical time in our call. Agenda item number 9, we have already spoken about the At-Large Review.

I wanted to touch on the RALO response, the Regional At-Large Organization response, which has been drafted by the co-chairs—sorry, by the respective chairs, or co-drafted by the chairs of our Regional At-Large Organizations. The only thing is I can't find it in the agenda for

---

some reason. I thought that it was linked to the agenda, but I see the ALAC response, I don't see the RALO response. Sylvia, help.

SYLVIA VIVANCO: Thank you, Olivier. I am actually looking for it. Give me a few seconds, or minutes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, I can look for it quickly as well. So, basically as I mentioned earlier, the RALO chairs have co-drafted a set of responses that are particularly, I think you would call it particularly of importance, or particularly pertinent to RALOs and At-Large Structures.

Here we go. I have the link. I'm going to put it in the agenda. It's a Google Doc at present. It's set to be in comment, commenting stage. So, you can comment and make suggestions for changing things on it. It's looking exactly like the ALAC response.

It's looking at the recommendations that were made and it's answering them with a RALO perspective. So, RALO and At-Large Structure perspective, end-user, end-case scenario perspective. We haven't got very much time to put comments into this.

I would really suggest that if you—it's not very big. It's only a few pages long. If you could all have a look at this and put your comments on the side to it. Our aim is to have as much input as possible. Then the co-chairs—sorry, then the chairs of the Regional At-Large Organizations will come together.

---

Possibly we will meet in Copenhagen, when we meet face-to-face. We'll be trying to put together a coordinated response that will also be sent to the public comment in parallel with the ALAC view as well. So, there's going to be two statements.

We believe that they will be in line with each other, they will agree with each other at the end of the day. We're part of the same organization, and sort of the same inputs for both, so hopefully we've got agreement. But we also believe that having the perspective of the RALO and the buy-in from the At-Large Structures is [INAUDIBLE] important.

In EURALO, what I am hoping is that once we have that statement drafted, or a coordinated statement drafted, we could have an actual vote from our At-Large Structures to show if there is real support from the edges for the responses which are provided here.

So, if you don't agree with some of the responses, please also mention it and comment on it so that we can amend the response accordingly and we can see there's support or not. And that's the update on this statement. I can't expect everyone to read this so quickly, but please, let's follow up on the mailing list.

You're likely to be asked about your point of view on this for the next few weeks, insistently asked about this, for your point of view. Now we have only a minute left in our original agenda, and I was going to suggest that the community regional outreach pilot project was to be discussed, but now that I've noticed that we will be discussing this in Copenhagen, may I suggest that we move this, or leave this until Copenhagen and go straight into the any other business?

---

Which was a question from Jean-Jacques Subrenat. Are we all okay with dropping the CROP for the time being? We are meeting in Copenhagen only in a week and a half's time. So, there's nothing time sensitive about CROP for the time being. Right.

The only thing I was going to say is I'm—and I'm bearing full responsibility for this, I remembered too late that there could have been an interest into going to [INAUDIBLE], which is a conference that takes place at the end of March. And unfortunately, it takes eight weeks to file a CROP request, eight weeks before the event takes place.

That's for the organization to review, etcetera, and unfortunately that was too late in filing a CROP request on behalf of one of our participants who had volunteered and was interested in going there. Next year, we'll do better, but I do apologize for this year's mishap.

So, any other business? Jean-Jacques Subrenat asked could we define the attribution of specific roles to EURALO board members? And because I think what we'll do is to—we do need a discussion around this, and what I was going to suggest is we have this as one of the discussions in the face-to-face meeting in Copenhagen.

So, there will be plenty of people there. There will be the opportunity to participate remotely as well, and we can then decide as a group on whether there should be a specific attribution of roles. And in fact, we also need to choose what the attribution should be if we were to go down that route.

I don't think we have enough time to pull out of a hat the exact attributions. But Jean-Jacques, if you could perhaps make, in advance of

---

the face-to-face meeting, if you could make a suggestion as to what the attributions could be, that would be helpful. Jean-Jacques Subrenat.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. Very quickly, thanks for your suggestion. My concern is that there are people like yourself who are really so engaged in all this, and the idea is to even out the efforts of [INAUDIBLE]. I will not be in Copenhagen, because I have no function, no role in any part of ICANN just now, but Roberto will be there and he will be speaking on behalf of our association. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Jean-Jacques. Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. So, may I just suggest, if you could—I don't know whether you have any suggested roles for the different members of the board, but I know you've got extensive board experience in many different organizations.

If you could send an email out to the EURALO mailing list letting us know what your suggestions would be or could be as a starting point, that would be really great. So then, we'd start the dialogue and the discussion before we met face-to-face, or before those people that are in Copenhagen meet face-to-face.

And of course, we know that there will be remote participation, so—but we can start the discussion before that meeting. That would be a good way. Okay, thank you for this. Any other business? I don't see anyone else putting their hand up, so I'd like to thank you all for joining this call.

---

It was a long, 90-minute call today, but we nearly made it on time. It's only three minutes past the half-hour mark. But I hope you enjoyed this call, and there will be, of course, the EURALO general assembly in a week and a half's time.

For those people that are traveling to Copenhagen, have safe travels. For those people that are not, have a very good week, and month ahead. But I hope that you will be able to join us remotely, even for a short time, for the general assembly and for, of course, the other work of the ALAC.

I know it's sometimes during office hours, but you can get dial-outs and you can certainly connect to Adobe Connect quite easily. That's quite straightforward. Thanks, everyone. Thanks to our staff. And seeing no other hands up at present, this call is now adjourned. Thank you and have a very good night.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**