

- Could there be a problem with divisions of opinion within SOs and ACs as each SO/AC puts specific processes in place, and issues over whether or not they are according to the set of guidelines? Would normal consensus procedures be adequate to resolve such differences here or is there need for something beyond the individual SOs and ACs to be involved? My thoughts are that since an SO/AC has to build a coalition to remove nomcom appointees, it would be up to the other SO/AC's to determine adequacy of any procedures. I believe that we may have touched on this in earlier discussions.
- If there a dispute over whether or not guideline based processes, as developed, are followed within a particular SO/AC action, how would that be resolved? The SO/AC would resolve that internally if challenge is internal. If challenge is external, then if the SO/AC is relying on a coalition, it will have to support its actions. If potential coalition partners question the process, it will have to be reconciled with the SO/AC.