## Notes from CEP Meeting June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017

- How to get more involvement
  - Put down position and circulate
    - Point to debate...that's what will get people interested
- Develop a 2-pager for Johannesburg
  - Should be done one week before
    - June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2017
- Framing (perception vs bylaws)
  - Cost issues
    - Council/legal
  - Access issues
    - Council/legal
    - Expansion
  - O What is the role of ICANN in shaping this framing?
    - What is the link between the IRP and CEP characterized as?
      - Should the CEP and IRP be framed together?
- Documenting
  - o Restate the purpose in the bylaws as gatekeeper?
  - Access vs Cost (as a an issue to raise for debate)
- Comment and Structures
  - O My notes:
    - Small claims- legal focus
    - Lawyers?
    - Number of people in rooms
      - Combining CEPs?
    - Location of CEP
  - Do we address the specifics after Johannesburg and instead focus on generating a document that asks questions?
    - This pertains to all the issues within general CEP discussion
- Neutral 3<sup>rd</sup> Party
  - O What is legal precedent?
  - o Mediator?
  - O Allowed in as a matter of right?
  - o If CEP and IRP are connected
    - Need to recognize that IRP has legal restrictions on case type (should make this clear in the 2-pager for those not familiar)
- Next meeting 1.5 hours
  - Develop the 2-pager
  - Anna is going to code the interviews...but not before Johannesburg (sorry!)