

## **Adobe Connect chat transcript for 09 February 2017**

Terri Agnew: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 4 – IDNs/Technical & Operations on Thursday, 09 February 2017 at 20:00 UTC

Terri Agnew: wiki agenda page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_bbTDAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=HP5Heurhzi8vQGIYxVZAvdZs570DMn-7J7-kSbU6w&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_bbTDAw&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=HP5Heurhzi8vQGIYxVZAvdZs570DMn-7J7-kSbU6w&e=)

Christa Taylor: Awesome slide!!

Terri Agnew: Thank you Christa

Steve Chan: Here is the sign-up sheet: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_docs.google.com\\_document\\_d\\_1g-2DOoBec-5FQ6nnBofBvcTnfAotFh7yq07HUM7kxh62SYo\\_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=7wGqH97g3LM8USjuYefru8c3dpqrDErX9UivCUwbIY&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__docs.google.com_document_d_1g-2DOoBec-5FQ6nnBofBvcTnfAotFh7yq07HUM7kxh62SYo_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=7wGqH97g3LM8USjuYefru8c3dpqrDErX9UivCUwbIY&e=)

Jeff Neuman: Who is doing the work on whether and how variants can be used at the top level?

Jeff Neuman: Would a TLD pass PDT today if it did not follow the reference LGRs? What did .se use to pass TLD applicants through PDT

Jeff Neuman: In 2012, registries were prohibited from applying for single-character IDNs at the top level. Do you see any reasons, policy or technical, why we should or should not continue this ban on a going forward basis?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): makes sense Jeff

Rubens Kuhl: Also known as IIS

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): we need to note that yes

Rubens Kuhl: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_files\\_sac-2D052-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=GN59cjAdg-hOgul6DcrnS9qMKEHYbA1NdY35nbS-KzQ&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_sac-2D052-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=GN59cjAdg-hOgul6DcrnS9qMKEHYbA1NdY35nbS-KzQ&e=)

avri doria: But SSAC is just advice and their conclusions might need verification and discussion

Trang Nguyen: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_files\\_sac-2D052-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=GN59cjAdg-hOgul6DcrnS9qMKEHYbA1NdY35nbS-KzQ&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_sac-2D052-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=a1LkfHOEp72b1VFZ87WTqQNx3OWgh-JrHQhK2J33OsU&s=GN59cjAdg-hOgul6DcrnS9qMKEHYbA1NdY35nbS-KzQ&e=)

Rubens Kuhl: The SSAC makes two recommendations: 1. Given the potential for user confusion and the currently unfinished work on string similarity and IDN variants, the SSAC recommends a very conservative approach to the delegation of single-character IDN top-level domains. In particular, ICANN should disallow by default the delegation of all single-character IDN TLDs in all scripts; exceptions are possible, but only after careful consideration of each individual case. 2. Because important relevant work on string similarity, IDN variant issues, and TLD label syntax is currently underway within ICANN, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), and other bodies, ICANN should review the Findings of this report, and any policies that it adopts in response to recommendations made in this document, no later than one year after the three work items mentioned above have been completed.

Rubens Kuhl: Citing this advice is a circular reference: it just said that AT THAT TIME the work was not ready.

Kurt Pritz:Some single-character IDNs are very similar to single-character ASCII: for example, è. The community found no way to parse between Latin-character IDNs and Pictographs (if that is the right term) such as Chinese.

Kurt Pritz:But it seems we could white-list scripts where single characters are allowed

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):well worth considering @Kurt

Jeff Neuman:should probably add SSAC to last bullet

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed @Jeff

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):all the more reason to coordinate with them in my view

Jeff Neuman:I think the Board would never contradict SSAC advice, so going it alone is not a viable option

Kurt Pritz:I would change the third bullet to creating a white list based upon being a ideograph and a that a committee has passed on them somehow

Jeff Neuman:@CLO, i agree that coordination with the SSAC should start ASAP on this. Even if it is a letter from us that states that we are considering whether the ban on single character IDN TLDs should be lifted. It has been seven years since SSAC 52, and we request that the SSAC re-evaluate the notion of allowing single-character IDNs at the top-level.

avri doria:i would argue against that, in a longer string the bogus character can hide better.

Kurt Pritz:Right, which are more confusing: a vs e; or dictionary vs dictionery

Jeff Neuman:Good example Kurt

Kurt Pritz:I agree with Sarmad (if I understood the point correctly) on defining what is an ideograph and that leading to confusion

Jeff Neuman:Just because things may be more likely to be confusing does not mean we should disallow it completely

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):True Jeff

Jeff Neuman:could we add something to the end that makes this recommendation pending any findings by the SSAC that the delegation of variant TLDs do not cause issues of security or stability

Jeff Neuman:and do any of those 3 implementations cause technical problems?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):that would work for me Jeff

avri doria:it may be sufficient that there are set of possible solution to enable the policy discussion. we do not necessarily have to require a specific solution.

Julie Hedlund:Time check: We are 6 minutes past the end of the call.

Steve Chan:I was just going to note what Julie said...

Julie Hedlund:Some staff may need to join other calls.

Jeff Neuman:Really good session.

Jeff Neuman:we will continue this next time

Terri Agnew:New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 4 – IDNs/Technical & Operations will take place on Thursday, 23 February 2017 at 03:00 UTC.

Martin Sutton:Thank you Sarmad

Jeff Neuman:thanks!!

Trang Nguyen:Thanks, all. Bye.

Sarmad Hussain:thank you

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus:have a great day all

Christa Taylor:Thanks.

avri doria:bye

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye