


This poll closed January 13 with 29 responses, as detailed below.

Related Link: [PDF of poll questions](#)

Q1 (Confirm support for Domain Name Control as legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection)

Q1) In 21 December call poll results, one respondent disagreed with Domain Name Control as a purpose for RDS/WHOIS collection of “thin data.” However, in the 10 January call, WG members expressed support for Domain Name Control as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections. Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
▼ a) Yes, Domain Name Control is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.	96.55% 28
▼ b) No, Domain Name Control is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)	3.45% 1
Total	29


Comments (4)

1. Need to remove the part about "use of Registrants own contact information" as those element are not part of thin-data (so it cant be a task for which thin data is used). 2. Dont think the tasks should include the text "a Registrants' own" as it is increasingly rare that the registrant does any of the remainder of the tasks listed. If those are changed I'd have no other objection
2. Please be aware that we need to distinguish collection of the initial data prior to the registration moment, and access to it after the fact of the registration (and need for collection of the inaccurate information)
3. In principle I agree, although it is not immediately obvious to me how "detecting fraudulent use of the Registrant's own contact information" is enhanced with data elements listed.
4. For some elements of thin data, maybe not all elements

Q2 (Confirm support for Technical Issue Resolution as legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection)

Q2) During the 10 January call, WG members on the call discussed the distinction between Domain Name Control and Technical Issue Resolution, finding that these purposes are sufficiently distinct to identify as a separate purposes for “thin data” collection, for now. WG members on the call expressed support for Technical Issue Resolution as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections. Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?

Answered: 28 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
▼ a) Yes, Technical Issue Resolution is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.	96.43% 27
▼ b) No, Technical Issue Resolution is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)	3.57% 1
Total	28

Comments (5)


1. This comment is actually to Q3 but no box provided there. I leave DN certification blank because I am not knowledgeable enough about that use to judge. However, I know we have WG members who are quite well versed.
2. Technical Issue - maybe but not with items like "email delivery" and "website function" listed as tasks - these are not *domain name* issues and the "technical staff responsible for handling these" would not be in RDS (and are usually not in any way related to the domain name directly, but solely through whomever manages the service(s) at the device a particular zone record ultimately resolves to
3. Though, it does not have to be collection only (it can be access to the data which was already placed into RDS).
4. I am agreeing with this expressly because it is asking if it is a legitimate purpose for "thin data" collection.
5. For some elements of thin data, maybe not all elements. For Q3, Maybe I misunderstood the intent of the discussion on the call, but I thought the discussion was focused on purpose and remit (does an ICANN RDS need to support these purposes) not purpose and thin data.

Q3 (Assess initial level of support for other identified purposes for “thin data” collection)

Q3) During the 10 January call, WG members on the call discussed Domain Name Certification as a purpose for “thin data” collection without reaching agreement; several WG members indicated that further discussion was necessary.

To facilitate continued deliberation on ALL of the other purposes identified thus far as potentially legitimate for “thin data” collection, understanding that your level of support may evolve during deliberation, please indicate your initial level of support below. WG members will have an opportunity to share their rationale as deliberation continues during the next WG call and/or on the WG email list.

Please indicate your initial level of support for each of the following as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection only. Refer to 10JanMeeting-PurposesForThinData-Handout-v2.pdf for brief descriptions of each purpose.



Level of Support	DN Certification	Business DN Purchase/Sale	Academic/Public Interest DNS Research	Regulatory/Contractual Enforcement	Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation	Legal Actions	Individual Internet Use
0	2	2	5	5	3	3	2
1	0	2	0	0	0	1	2
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
5	4	4	3	1	4	3	4
6	1	4	1	2	2	3	1
7	4	2	3	2	1	1	3
8	3	1	2	4	0	3	1
9	1	0	0	2	2	1	0
10	11	13	14	13	15	13	12
NR	3	0	0	0	1	1	1

Purposes for "Thin Data" Collection

Initial Level of Support

0 = No Support, 5 = Neutral, 10 = Full Support

Total Number of Responses = 29