

## RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 18 January

During our 18 January call, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the following question/sub-question:

### 2. Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why?

#### 2.2.1 For what specific (legitimate) purposes should gTLD registration thin data elements be collected?

As before, deliberation focused on purposes for “thin data” collection only, where “thin data elements” are WHOIS data elements required today, as listed in the [GNSO PDP on Thick WHOIS Final Report](#) page 10. Further information about purpose(s) identified thus far and related thin data elements and use cases can be found in this meeting handout: [18JanMeeting-PurposesForThinData-Handout.pdf](#) (This may be helpful in completing the poll.)

The following poll questions give all WG members an opportunity to confirm, reconsider, or elaborate upon points of agreement that surfaced during the 10 January call. This poll does NOT ask about and is not intended to imply any decisions about purposes for “thin data” authentication, disclosure, or access control; those will be deliberated upon later. **This poll will close at COB Saturday 21 January 2017.**

Note: A link to the most recently-opened RDS PDP WG poll, along with links to the last meeting’s notes/recordings and next meeting materials, can be found here: <http://tinyurl.com/ng-rds>

\* **Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)**

**Q1) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Domain Name Certification as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Domain Name Certification</b>	Certification Authority (CA) issuing an X.509 certificate to a subject identified by a domain name needing to confirm that the <u>DN</u> is registered to the certificate subject.	Domain Name <u>Name Servers</u>

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Domain Name Certification is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Domain Name Certification is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q2) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale</b>	Making purchase queries about a <u>DN</u> , acquiring a <u>DN</u> from another Registrant, and enabling due diligence research.	Domain Name Name Servers Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s <u>RDS/WHOIS</u> URL Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date <u>RDS/WHOIS</u> Last Updated Date

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q3) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Academic/Public Interest DNS Research as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Academic/ Public Interest DNS Research</b>	Academic public-interest research studies about domain names published in the <u>RDS</u> , including public information about the Registrant and designated contacts, the domain name’s history and status, and <u>DNs</u> registered by a given Registrant.	Domain Name Name Servers Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s <u>RDS/WHOIS</u> URL Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date <u>RDS/WHOIS</u> Last Updated Date

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Academic/Public Interest DNS Research is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Academic/Public Interest DNS Research is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q4) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement</b>	Tax authority investigation of businesses with online presence, <u>UDRP</u> [and <u>URS</u> ] investigation, contractual compliance investigation, and registration data escrow audits.	Domain Name <u>Name Servers</u> Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s <u>RDS/WHOIS URL</u> Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date <u>RDS/WHOIS Last Updated Date</u>

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q5) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Criminal Investigation &amp; DNS Abuse Mitigation</b>	Reporting abuse to someone who can investigate and address that <u>abuse</u> , or contacting entities associated with a domain name during an offline criminal investigation.	Domain Name <u>Name Servers</u> Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s <u>RDS/WHOIS URL</u> Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date <u>RDS/WHOIS Last Updated Date</u>

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q6) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Legal Actions (e.g., IP Rights Enforcement, other Legitimate Investigative Purposes) as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Legal Actions</b> <i>For example:</i> <b>Intellectual Property Rights Enforcement</b> <b>Other Legitimate Investigative Purposes</b>	Investigating possible fraudulent use of a Registrant’s name or address by other domain names, investigating possible trademark infringement, contacting a Registrant/Licensee’s legal representative prior to taking legal action and then taking a legal action if the concern is not satisfactorily addressed.	Domain Name Other Thin Data Elements?

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Legal Actions is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Legal Actions is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q7) In the 18 January call, WG members expressed support for Individual Internet Use (e.g., Consumer Protection/Mitigation, Consumer Trust/Verification) as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Individual Internet Use</b>  <i>For example:</i> <b>Consumer Protection and Risk Mitigation</b>  <b>Consumer Trust and Verification</b>	Identifying the organization using a domain name to instill consumer trust, or contacting that organization to raise a customer complaint to them or file a complaint about them.	Domain Name Other Thin Data Elements?

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Individual Internet Use is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Individual Internet Use is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q8) In our 24 January call, we will discuss the possibility of publishing raw poll data, taking into consideration WG member wishes for privacy and transparency. As input to that discussion, please identify any personal data that you would prefer NOT to be included in raw data that might be published for future WG polls. (Check all data of concern to you, if any)**

- a) WG Member Name
- b) IP Address of the device used to submit poll responses
- c) PDF of your individual poll responses, with or without your name and/or IP address
- d) Other Data (please describe below)

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on this charter question.