

RAW COPY

EURALO MONTHLY TELECONFERENCE

JANUARY 17, 2017

Services Provided By:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
1-877-825-5234
+001-719-482-9835
www.captionfirst.com

This text is being provided in a rough-draft Format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) or captioning are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

>> Good morning, good afternoon, good evening to everyone. Welcome to the first call in 2017 on Tuesday, the 17th of January at 19:00 UTC.

(Roll Call.)

From staff we have Sylvia Vivanco, Evin Erdogdu, Gabriella Schitteck and myself, on this evening's call.

We have the live captioning as you see. Apologies for the small pods. We have accommodated the live captioning pod, and if I can please remind everyone to state your names before speaking to allow for the captioner to note the names as well as well as for the usual transcript.

Thank you very much.

Over to you.

>> Thank you very much.

Have we missed anybody in the roll call?

>> HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi. I'm here as well.

>> Thank you. Noted.

With this, let's then move to our Agenda.

Usually we first do approval of the Agenda. We have a very long Agenda today, 13 points, and we only have one hour. We'll have to go quite swiftly and we'll ask for everyone to please be direct in their points and we'll hopefully be able to go through the whole thing in the time that's allocated to us.

Are there any Amendments, additions to the Agenda? God forbid hopefully no additions bunny Amendments, points to add tore A or B?

I'm not seeing a hand up. The Agenda is adopted as it currently is displayed on the screen.

First we have to look at the action item. Our action items are the number of them -- the ones that are still around and not complete yet, there is one regarding the EURALO bylaws, the EuroDIG team assistance, that's been done, we can mark that as done.

We got in touch about the taskforce on the Copenhagen activities and I got in touch with Wolf and he's on board and moving with us. Finally, Olivier asked for volunteers to be involved in the Finance and Budget Committee, I have done that. I think -- is it Andre that's now a part of the Finance and Budget Committee? I'm just doing this from memory? I believe it is Andre that joined this subcommittee; am I correct?

>> HEIDI: Yes. We have him marked down.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic.

That's the current action items all complete.

Let's go immediately to the at-large board member selection. We have Tijani, Chair of the Board Management Board Process Selection Committee and Julie, the Evaluation Committee. There is a process in place for the at-large community to select its next board member and I will hand the floor over to Tijani and Julie for an update on this.

>> JULIE HAMMER: Can you hear me?

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think that Tijani is trying to speak at the same time. Choose who wants to go first.

Go ahead.

>> JULIE HAMMER: I will just very briefly recap on our work and allow Tijani to talk about next steps.

We finished our work on the 23rd of September and spent a great deal of time working on the code of conduct, the candidate requirements and did a lot of new work on the developing its own process and tools in templates and planning for the evaluation. The calls went out on the 19th of October with the final date of submissions four weeks later, on the 18th of November.

Immediately the next day the request for references were released and the final dates for references for the six candidates who had submitted expressions of interest was on the 2nd of December. The Committee then worked very hard and announced the candidates on the 16th of December, which was slightly earlier than the planned schedule.

What our process entailed initially was a blind assessment where staff anatomized removing as much identifying information as possible from the expressions of interests so the candidates

were -- if you like -- evaluated as independently as possible by ACC members and subsequently the candidates once referenced were received were then re-evaluated and much more deep analysis was conducted when all had been received. There was a number of stages to our process.

The names of the six candidates who had applied weren't released until the 29th of November, which is some time after they had submitted the questions of interests and that delay was because of the blind evaluation process. The names of all six candidates were released for transparency reasons, but also because all -- a number of candidates had made their own candidacy known to the community and it was important that the community be aware of all applicants.

The final determination of the Committee was to release a slate of candidates with two names, Allan Greenburg and Leon Sanchez, and that's now in Tijani's hands to take to the next stage and I hand over to Tijani.

Thank you.

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Julie.

I'm glad Julie had issued the slate of candidates which contained the names.

Now what is -- what happens next? First of all, the Rules of Procedure says that any -- the four remaining applicants, you remember there were six applicants, and the BCEC chose only two of them. The remaining four, each of the remaining four people, has a big value and in this case there can be a petition to add the remaining on the team, but the petition should be done according to the formal procedure, meaning that we have to use exactly the same procedure, the same rules that they're using. There used to be always vote, we have to -- they used to use the process and scores, we have to do that, this is how the decision to make a petition to add one or more candidates should be done. Once this is done, when the petition is decided on the petition moves through three other rounds that need to be done. The support of the petition could also be done according to the formal procedure meaning that it is the leadership, it must be done with the rules according to the rules.

The petition, if you don't think that the four remaining candidates would have value to be added, we don't have to do anything. If you feel that you need to add someone, you have to go through the procedure as just discussed. The first step, which you have to do, you think that you need to add someone, if we don't feel that, you don't have to do anything. During the decision to look at the petition to add anyone, it should be done according to the formal Rules of Procedure and formal rules. This is the first point.

I also want to stress on the fact that those that can be

added should be of the four remaining candidates, the four remaining applicants. The addition, it should focus only on those four persons. This is the first point.

The second point now, since we have this issued, we have one new -- we would -- if you need to make a petition, you have to do that before the (indiscernible). And if there is no petition, there is no more addition. If it come, the petition, before 19th of January, you can support the petition.

If you go through the petitions, it could be issued still in January but if there is no petition, we don't have the work.

Now, the candidates, the community would interact because the candidates make the statements to stress the program, to make a campaign and the Committee needs also to ask questions to those candidates. We want to make the candidate and the Committee happy with the way of this communication, this interaction. We ask the community and the Committee, ask about the interaction. I can mention three of those.

We can ask questions to the candidates and for the candidates to answer the questions. We can use a work space we can create so that the community asks questions on the WIKI and the candidate answers that same question on the same WIKI. The third way, and I think it is important, that you have a call where the candidates will make statements and then the Committee asks questions and the candidates answer those questions. Those are three kinds of ways of communication, but we're open to any other kinds ever communication we may think about to hear from the candidates.

The interruption, we will not go through the interruption from the Finance Committee until the 21st of February, which is the first round of work.

With that, if we don't have petition, we can start from 19 of January. If there is no addition, we can go on and we can continue the process. We would have time. We want to make everyone happy to make the best interruption for the community and the candidates.

I'll stop here and I'm ready to answer any questions.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much.

While you were speaking, I have been going through the pages of the selection work space. I have had the atrocious time trying to find the name of candidates and their expression of interest. I don't know if there is a link to any of these pages. There is a lot of description of what the process is, et cetera, but -- how to apply, all of that. I'm quite surprised to not have found the expression of interests today where we are in the at-large board member selection home.

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: It has not yet been issued. The candidates, we have two we have one more possible member, we may

not have.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

The fact, how can people know who to add if there is no mention of them anywhere?

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Oh. This is -- when you -- you know when the six names are published.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Where?

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: People will know then who is the four remaining people that can be selected or added on.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. We haven't got very much time.

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: You --

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, thank you.

I'm saying, I think there is certainly some communication here. I would say there is certainly some problems with communication when this comes down to having a pure list of canal dates. For the feedback I have received so far is people are quite confused.

Let's go through the queue. Oksana is first and then Julie Hammer. We have to move on. We have taken a lot of time on this topic.

>> OKSANA PRYKHODKO: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Tijani, for the report of the board election since 2014. I would like to remind you, there were two problems. One problem since and then a proxy was appointed but it wasn't until the first round, there was a question of if the proxy was the member or Jean-Jacques Subrenat, I would like to discuss this issue before mentioning any future names.

The second one, how the members vote according to the decision of EURALO or by their own knowledge.

Thank you very much.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Oksana.

Tijani, can you answer the questions?

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes.

Thank you very much, Oksana, for reminding me of something important. Which is EURALO has a second position. You need to appoint someone before the action, and if there is no one before the election appointed you need to appoint -- this is Rules of Procedure.

Also, Oksana, Rules of Procedure have been updated to solve the problem that was faced last time about Jean-Jacques. Now it is clear.

The candidate will not vote independent of the election. Everything is clear now. We took all the problems and we make a change to Rules of Procedure so that it will not come back with any confusion.

Does that answer all of your questions?

>> OKSANA PRYKHODKA: Yes.

Thank you very much.

>> TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

Next is Julie Hammer.

>> JULIE HAMMER: A quick response to the questions, the six names applied candidates, it is actually on the important announcements page. It is the second point that looks to that list on that page. I accept your criticism that it is not necessarily easy to find.

Also the expressions of interest are only what BCEC has made published as Tijani at the time that we begin the engagement with the community. The undertaking for the other candidates is their expressions of interests won't be made public if they don't appear on the final slate.

Hopefully that answers your questions.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Julie. That's helpful.

I found the page here. Have the expression of interest which makes it a little difficult to evaluate where they wish to add someone on there.

We're running out on time on this topic. What I would suggest, if anyone has any further questions for Tijani and for Julie, if they could please email staff and staff will forward those questions to Tijani and Julie and we'll follow-up after the call.

Thank you for taking the time to come visit us, Tijani, Julie. We -- you said that the deadline is the 19th of January. I would, please, ask everyone to follow-up after this call if we wish to add someone to the current slate of candidates to raise this on the meeting as soon as possible because we have to also speak to other regional at-large organizations to be able to have a candidate put forward. Please email me after this call.

Thank you.

Let's go quickly then. The review of the upcoming public consultations. There are quite a few of them. In the interest of saving time, there are only three statements that are currently being drafted. There is one about creating a consumer Agenda at ICANN, not in response to a public comment but it has been for a while, it is a sort of a statement that could be made if you're interested in consumer Agenda, look at that.

There are two statements at the moment just at the end of their consultation, the first is the identifier technology health indicators. I would -- can you say a couple of words on this, please? Is that possible for you to say a few words?

>> YRJO LANSIPURO: Yes.

Basically the draft is on the WIKI page, it is linked there. There are a few comments from the community members already on the page. Basically in view of saving time I won't go into the substance but to say that this is a quite interesting attempt to use medical terminology and even a Latin language to describe the problems the health problems of this and the approach, it is also something that is turning people off and basically the recommendation is that this -- that this approach would be recognized, alternative, in more ordinary language but because there is some good elements which should be saved.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this quick summary, Yrjo.

The other statement, it is on the proposed renewal of the sponsored registry agreement and the at-large Advisory Committee has a liaison with them and they're currently drafting a statement. With any registry agreement, they have to regularly renew their -- there is a sizable number of Amendments that are proposed and the community has to comment on this. If you're interested in this topic, look at the relevant WIKI page for this.

There are a couple of public comments which -- this is not particularly important. One which requires a decision, the proposed charter Amendments of the generic name in supporting organizations business constituency and Sheryl and Maureen, they're currently reviewing public comment to let us know whether we should comment on this. Often generic name supporting organizations, it is typically their business and we might support what they are proposing. I guess if you see anything that's completely out of the line and you wish this to be raised, please let us know as soon as possible, the statement period, the coming period closes on the 15th of February.

There isn't really much else. There were four statements that were approved, if you're interested in those, it is too late to comment on them now but you can see the different points that were raised.

I open the floor for comments or questions on any of these statements.

I don't see anyone putting their hand up.

Now, we'll be discussing this a little bit later briefly. What we might do in the future is to actually look at any subject matter experts that we might have in our community to give us feedback on the statements, just like we did on the technology health indicators definition.

That's for future calls.

Let's go to Agenda item number 5, the planning of the

ICANN58Copenhagen EURALO meeting and the activities. There is a WIKI page created for this and we have had some discussion by email with quite a number of ideas for the activities that will take place in Copenhagen.

On the outreach side of thing, there is an ICANN information booth, we'll make sure there are brochures about EURALO and it is an opportunity to reach out to northern countries, Denmark is seen as northern Europe and very accessible, easily accessible to Scandinavia and Nordic -- that part of the world around there. The outreach and engagement Working Group is working along with us on this. We have Jean-Jacque, regional vice president for Europe who I had a call with a few days ago and there are several things which they're organizing. There could be a premeeting meeting for outreach in Copenhagen, a certain amount of time before the ICANN meeting and there is the possibility of having not a showcase but some kind of a European stakeholder meeting, much like what happened at the meeting in Dublin where there was a debate that took place around the table and then after the debate a cocktail with the community. Certainly promotional items are being prepared, brochures.

As far as capacity building is concerned, the first day of the meeting, day one as it is called, put in the Agenda, has this outreach and capacity building ability or at least it is listed in the block schedule at the moment. The idea is to take the whole first day to have capacity building in the morning and outreach in the afternoon and discussion that we have had with the NCUC, the non-commercial user constituency that does very good outreach at the Internet Governance forum, at ICANN meetings we have had a discussion with them to have a joint outreach session in the afternoon where we would have a roundtable or a U-shaped table inviting newcomers and people that we can target and answering all of their questions. Currently the co-Chairs of the outreach and engagement Working Group are working on this to have a first draft of the proposal that we will then share with the outreach, engagement Working Group.

If you're interested in this, get in touch with me or with staff after this call and we'll add you to the outreach and engagement Working Group list.

There is an aim, a possible thing that could be undertaken in Copenhagen, the significant of a memorandum of understanding with RIPE, The Regional Internet Registry, those are the people that distribute the IP addresses, the numbers of ICANN for Europe and the initial early discussions with RIPE makes it seem that we can sign a collaboration with them along the lines of what's happened in AP RALO where they have done some expensive

work.

Now RALO is having the General Assembly this year in North America. After a few years you see that collaboration is improving. This is still under discussion, so it is not cast in stone this will happen. This is one of the things that could happen.

Of course the Global Equal Multistakeholder Band will playing. It is word of mouth, so you have heard it here first. It will actually happen and it is likely to be on the Tuesday nice. There is a discussion on inviting university students to come to the ICANN meeting or to actually go and visit the students in Copenhagen at their University.

The last time we were in Europe it was the wrong time to visit universities because we happened to be at mid-summer where everyone was on holiday. On this occasion it is not expected that there will be a holiday. We are studying this, I'm in touch with Jean-Jacques, certainly host from the local host would be very welcome and they would be much more likely to identify the right places to go to and also to help with the logistics.

That's the current state of affairs. Are there any questions, comments, anyone else wish to add anything? The queue is open.

Goodness. I killed everyone on the call with what I have said!

Bastiaan Goslings, you have the floor.

>> BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: I mentioned it in the chat, but with regard to the MoU, I was curious who you were talking to or probably quite a few people.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Currently in discussions with Chris Beckrige. I have met with Chris quite a few times over the year at various Internet Governance fora, et cetera. Chris is our point of contact.

>> BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: In case it is of added value, let me know, I can -- I talk to Chris often. If there is anything I can do, let me know.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

Of course, to let you know, Bastiaan is in the Netherlands and I believe he's in the same town, or at least in Amsterdam.

I don't see any other hands up.

I think we can move to the next Agenda item. That's the EURALO extraordinary member of -- one more thing before we do this. Sorry. Something important.

A General Assembly, will there be a EURAL General Assembly in Copenhagen, yes, there will be.

Will anyone be funded to go to the General Assembly? No, they'll not be. We did not submit a request for this. We

couldn't last -- we couldn't submit the request because we had in previous years we have already had the funded General Assembly. We have to wait a certain number of years before that happens.

Wolf and I will start going over the Agenda with Silvia, preparing the first draft of the Agenda. It is pretty straightforward because it will review the year's activities and primarily look at the progress of our taskforces and then have in the election process that needs to be undertaken there. That's the overall plan for the time being.

In a few days' time we'll have a GA. I think that the general length of the General Assembly is two hours, I'll have to ask Wolf on this. How long is a standard General Assembly, a couple of hours?

>> WOLF LUDWIG: Can you hear me now?

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you. Go ahead.

>> WOLF LUDWIG: Usually 90 minutes, up to maximum two hours.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Thank you for this. That's a note to Gisella that deals with the room bookings, we need 90 minutes to two hours.

Excellent.

Let's move on.

EURALO extraordinary selection of an ALAC member.

Our current or previous ALAC member was selected by the regional -- by EURALO in December -- I think it was December or November last year and has stood down due to personal reasons and we therefore need to select someone to replace her. I think the process has just started for nominations and there is a WIKI page which has been created for this. The overall schedule is very tight. Why, we need to have a representative seated early enough so as for them to first get ready for the meeting in Copenhagen but for the travel arrangements, et cetera, all will be done especially if -- there might be a need for visas and so on. Effectively the process started on the 16th, yesterday, and it is -- there is a nomination period for ten days until the 27th of January and after that between the 27th and the 2nd of January, the EURALO community will have the opportunity to have a call with the candidates with questions that you can ask, you can ask questions on the mailing list and the candidates will be answering and will probably build a sub-page with questions and answers. Then on the 3rd, from the 3rd to the 10th of February, the elections will take place with a possible announcement of the winner on the 11th of February.

If there is no contest, in other words if only one person stands forward, which sometimes happens, then the selection will take place a lot earlier and at present it is not that it could

be on the 28th of January, it is the 28th of January if no elections are required. That's the proposed process.

I open the floor with Jean-Jacques Subrenat being the first person in the queue.

>> JEAN JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you.

Looking at the page on the subject, I see that there is no stipulation of nationalities which one would have to hold as a candidate. Is this something that's not relevant or has it just been forgotten from this space?

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes. Thank you very much, Jean-Jacques.

I will have to check on this. Perhaps could I ask staff to let us know.

Currently our current -- I see that Wolf has his hand up. Perhaps he can let us know.

>> WOLF LUDWIG: Thank you.

Looking at the records.

The question of nationality was announced, I think the announcement, the second member cannot be from the same country as an existing member, but that's the only restriction we had so far in regards of nationality, must be a different nationality for the selected representatives, that they cannot be from the same country. It is only a restriction. I don't know if this is enough answer.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

It is written on the page, on the WIKI page, part number 3, notes on nominations. It is actually in red. And Silvia is trying to cut and paste the note which says according to EURALO bylaws 11.5.10.

Next in the queue -- oh, there is nobody else in the queue.

Any other questions? A quick note on nominations. It is possible to self-nominate and also possible to nominate someone else. To nominate someone, you have to send a note to the mailing list and then everyone is aware of this and staff will then pick it up and put this on to the WIKI.

Bastiaan.

>> BASTIAAN GOSLINGS: Thank you.

I thank you very much for that eloquent summary of the procedural side of this, obviously I do feel -- I actually confirmed my nomination for this. Basically what triggered that was the obvious message you sent and shared with us with the resignation and you may recall that I was also a candidate for this position and it had made it an interesting election, we were both very different candidates. Veronica obviously won, deservedly I think, that's emphasizing the fact that this was unexpected. After giving it some thought and talking to a

couple of people and keeping in mind as a newcomer I did receive significant support as a candidate I thought, okay, I also feel a moral obligation to offer my services because I have the time and I think I have the qualities to offer the community.

Since then, I have shared first of all an expression of interest and today another confirmation, that I'm available and I would like to submit my name for that submission and I look forward to any questions that people may have either in emails or dedicated call. May be nice if there are other candidates too that people have someone to choose from. Up until now I personally speaking have only received very positive feedback. I heard from a diversity perspective I might be less than an ideal candidate in terms of gender balance and regional balance. I think that's fair enough an argument. Nothing I can change there. I leave that up to the people at the end of the day to decide, you know, who will do so and I hope that people will take my nomination at face value and any questions people may have either email or dedicated call or personally as well, let me know and I would be happy to do that.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much.

No doubt there will be more interaction with the special purpose call that we'll have if there is more than one candidate.

Great.

Let's continue please. I'm a little concerned about the time. I'm sorry to be a little bit pushy.

Next we have the board member replacement election. Here as well, we had a board member that I guess for the second time running we had a board member that has left the board because they got another job or even more work in the real life. It is great for them but not that great for us because we're losing another valuable board member and we need to find a replacement as soon as possible. The process was -- is open, the call for nominations was sent on the 22nd of December and I'm not even sure, do we have -- the deadline was the 13th of January, 23:59 UTC, that was a few days ago. I'm afraid I haven't looked at the list so far. I think we have one person that's been nominated and has been accepted, the nomination, that's Bakari, am I -- yeah. Bakari, that's right. I'm fine with the process, I don't quite know how -- I can't quite remember how the process works from here onwards. I may have to ask Wolf or staff quickly on this.

Usually when we have one candidate, there is a consensus call on that one candidate. What I would suggest then is we issue a consensus call, the 24-hour consensus call and if there are no objections then they will be declared a winner.

Before that, I see Jean-Jacques with the hand up. You have the floor.

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you.

This is just to confirm that it is I who put forward the name and he's Nigerian, a resident of the United Kingdom, according to bylaws of our association, he qualifies. He qualified to become a member of our association and he was promptly elected as Vice-Chair. I support that nomination.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Jean-Jacques. Excellent.

Let's have the consensus call, please, we'll send that -- we'll do that as an action item for me to send the consensus call to the EURALO discussed mailing list for a 24-hour period and then we'll see in 24 hours and hopefully I'll send a note to welcome him.

Let's move on.

Next we have -- where are we? Number 8, the EURALO bylaws taskforce. I'll be quite quick on this as well.

This is an effort to first review the current EURALO bylaws with quite a few discrepancies between the reality of things and the bylaws themselves or very long historical reasons for this, obviously things have moved on, so a refresh was required. The work started last year in the beginning of last year led by Michael Medrich who was from Russia. He is one of the people who has left because of getting another -- a great job somewhere. Unfortunately, we ended up with no real leader for this work and we looked around for help and I'm glad to announce that we now have help. There is a new number of people that are involved in looking at this, the idea is that going through every single line of the bylaws was a very time consuming activity that didn't get us far enough in the first six months of the work we would throw or put the bylaws aside and restart from scratch. In other words, draft a new set of bylaws, looking at the different jurisdictions in Europe, it looks as though the Swiss form of putting bylaws together is the most forward and flexible. EURALO, as a result, a small taskforce now, a small subset of the taskforce, are looking at producing a very quick first draft of the headlines of what should be in the bylaws. We have the luck of Floren from the University of Vienna who joined the taskforce and has enough time to hold the pen and he's produced a first draft that he's sent to the bylaws taskforce to share with them, along with them we have the help of ICANN legal who can call upon their resources and also Wolf who has drafted quite a few bylaws according to Swiss rules.

I think that a first draft should be out pretty soon. The Working Group will continue its work with the aim to finish as

soon as possible. I'm not quite sure whether it would be possible to have a first -- to even agree to the new bylaws by the Copenhagen meeting. We should have it sooner rather than later. The work has started over a year ago now. One year I think is enough for such an exercise.

I open the floor for comments or questions. I see Jean-Jacques has the hand up. You have the floor. Also Wolf will speak on this if he wishes to add to anything that I have mentioned.

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you.

I would like to bring up a question which could have some effect on the drafting of new bylaws. You remember as Chair you had asked for some comments on possible improvements to EURALO and quite a few EURALO members in a few meetings ago, I had made the proposal but actually asked very specifically that it be looked upon by the leadership of EURALO which is to assign specific tasks to current members of the EURALO board. I see that people like yourself, Wolf, some others, they're very active constantly and I would like to make sure that this is true with all the other gigantic talents available.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We have lost --

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: I think it is important to share. Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: You are breaking up at the moment.

Okay. So I think we may have lost --

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: I'll try again then.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It is okay. We got most of what you said.

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Can you hear me now?

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you now. Go ahead.

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: To remind you, several meetings ago I had suggested, in fact demanded, that specific assignments be given to each of the current members of the board. I think it is only fair if you and Wolf and others dropped the effective participation of all board members for sharing and therefore for a mixed result.

Thank you very much.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much.

There was a discussion on the taskforce about including such positions, and I think there are two documents which we'll probably have. One of the bylaws themselves, which has to be pretty tight and as short as possible whilst being useful as possible and there is also sort of rules and regulations, Rules of Procedure or procedural rules that could be amended more evenly than bylaws and I think that this is probably the right document to have the specific positions.

Wolf Ludwig has more knowledge on this.

>> WOLF LUDWIG: Can you hear me? I don't know if I'm muted or not.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you.

>> WOLF LUDWIG: I would like to support or underline what you just have said and that's how we discussed it a month ago in the bylaws taskforce. I think you have to differentiate two things if you want to keep the bylaws as simple as we have repeatedly discussed almost I think I have found consent on this point then we need the rules of procedures. This would be very good for a board Working Group or some more people who concentrate afterwards on the Rules of Procedure, what has to deal with the points that may be included from the bylaws to keep them short and simple.

This could be a good thing. Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf.

That's I think the last word we can have about the bylaws taskforce.

If you're interested in this topic, please join the taskforce. There is a link I believe -- is there a link in the chat? There is no link in the chat but we'll link to the home page of the taskforce or you can link to it directly from the Agenda.

Next we have the at-large -- the EURALO update taskforce only ALSes engagement with Yrjo whose leading that work.

>> YRJO LANSIPURO: Thank you.

The engagement of the ALSes is a challenge for EURALO and for many others as well. The taskforce has been focusing first on an issue that's actually mentioned in recommendation 28 to map the current expertise and interests available at the ALSes and identify subject matter experts. The reason is, one way, one important way to activate and increase the engagement of the ALSes is to get them to participate in the policy work of EURALO. What we did, last year individualized email messages were sent to every ALS and we asked about what kind of expertise they would offer and after many months of persistent work and multiple reminders we had replies from most of them.

A table Cass compiled to show what kind of expertise is available at each ALS, including the individual ALS association. We just had a meeting a couple of hours ago where we reviewed the table and it was decided to go further, that is to say that the table will now be sent to all ALSes and to the individual user associations who are checking for comments and for more information especially names of experts on specific points and topics. We have names from some ALSes but not all so far.

Here we are also touching the implementation of a couple of other recommendations, 26 and 29, they call for improving

at-large policy management processes and setting up an automated system for tracking topics of interest. These are ideas that actually came up during today's calls and we're trying to take them further.

At the call Olivier, a member of the taskforce, he came also to an excellent idea on how to link this effort to another important engagement issue, that's how to make these calls more interesting to more people and to make -- to make them more policy oriented versus just process oriented by inviting experts by ALSes to speak and I don't know if Olivier would like to continue from here. Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

There isn't really much else to add. You have done very well. Rather than a call today, we have talked an hour now talking process which was quite unfortunate, we could actually have a 90-minute call for EURALO and during the 90 minutes we would have 20 minutes that would be given to an expert from one of our at-large structures being able to speak about a topic of interest to our community. Where he ask for volunteer experts to give us -- others have done us similar things, some have asked for experts from ICANN staff or other parts of ICANN to come and speak to us. I have found with time in other -- more people have joined on the call due to the actual interesting discussions can taking place on the call. Not a routine call with the same things being discussed every time and too much process.

>> JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Last time we had a meeting I indicated that they were hesitant of asking directly or providing directly names and areas of expertise of our membership however as you have probably noticed the last few days we have now asked support staff to help us send out a new survey that looks more professional to our membership. This is underway now, it will be done in the next few days, probably this week and one of the questions I have reformulated asking specifically our members to indicate whether they would be willing for us to provide to EURALO at ALAC their name e-mail address and area of expertise so that they can be more directly included in the policy formation process. I hope that will help.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. Very helpful indeed. Just a quick word and then we'll move on.

>> YRJO LANSIPURO: Thank you.

This is wonderful news.

Thank you.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

Let's go to our next Agenda item. That's the budget

request process. You have 3 minutes.

>> HEIDI: I'll take one minute. The budget request has started and the deadlines for the requests to be sent to staff, the deadline was yesterday, we received several, many and two from EURALO, one for a workshop on the public interest at the IGF in Geneva in December and the other one for travel support. The next step is the financing and budget subcommittee to review them this week with finance staff and then we'll get back to anybody who needs to revise a request and then we'll review them again towards the end of January with the final submission to the ICANN finance controller on the 30th of January.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much.

Just to say, we have sent an announcement to the EURALO list asking for suggestions. I even sent a reminder more recently. I haven't heard anything from anyone, no feedback at all. This is a process we can ask for funding for things we want to do in our region. I hope we'll use that opportunity better in future years.

Let's move on. Just to let you know, this is something that any at-large structure can come up with or member can come up with a suggestion of activity and then we can discuss it and propose it. If there is no discussion and no proposal, we're not going to get the funding for it.

Next --

>> Excuse me, before you go to the next point, I think that Oli raised his hand if I'm not mistaken. My system is late, I'm sorry.

>> WALE BAKARE: (Audio difficulty).

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Wale, I'm sorry, your audio, it is very distorted. I can't understand it. I don't think that the scribe can either.

>> WALE BAKARE: Hello? Hello?

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Hello. Yes. We can hear you saying hello, but very distorted.

Could you please send your comment by email to the EURALO meeting list and follow-up on that? It could be a microphone issue or a connectivity problem.

Let's move on with apologies to Wale about this. The at-large review, this process that has also started a while ago, a consultant has gone, interrogated and asked questions of many, many, many different people, has collected all of the information, has produced a first draft of proposals that were reviewed by the at-large review Working Party, a number of significant changes were proposed and currently now the feedback was given by members of the Working Party to the consultants and they're working on a new draft which will then be put for public comment for everyone. This is just an advanced notice, I hope

we'll be able to have quite a few of you take part in the feedback on the second draft. It is something that's very important because the way that it works after this is that the final recommendations then get sent over to the board, it used to be called the board governance Committee and they decide on what needs to be implemented and whether the ALEC should be completely turned upside down which is what I currently see as being some of the Recommendations that are being made.

I think that's all I can add about this. I'm not sure if staff wish to add anything to this? Heidi, perhaps? Is Heidi with us anymore?

>> HEIDI: I'm here. No. I have nothing else to add.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We're 13 minutes past the top of the hour. Let's go quickly through the last two items.

First, the cross Committee Working Group WS2 process update. It is marked here that Erich and Christopher Wilkinson will talk to us about this. I gather -- I'm not sure who will take it first, is it Christopher? Could you please summarize this in a couple of minutes if that's okay?

>> CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: Good evening, everybody.

I think with difficulty, it is a complex, a wide subject. I know that Erich and perhaps Sebastian wish to intervene.

I would make three very short points: The first, I find that at-large and Civil Societies particularly, they're not well represented in the process. Putting it another way, the balance of the competition of the working groups is not reflective of the ICANN community as a whole. I think that's a pity.

Secondly, regarding jurisdiction, frankly the Working Group has become rather contentious. Any personal opinion is increasingly that on matters such as -- which are so highly political as jurisdictions of ICANN the multistakeholder process is reaching the limits of its scope of jurisdiction of ICANN has been it decided by governments and I think certain governments are -- certain stakeholder participants, if I may say so, including myself, are not comfortable with the idea of the private sector creating a situation where U.S. jurisdiction over ICANN would become enshrined and permanent feature. In short, multistakeholderism is reaching the limits of its power on such a subject.

The third point relates to SO and AC accountability. There I think we can be more positive. There is good progress on reaching consensus on a number of points, but personally I understand that I have been encouraged to join a little Working Group, a little subgroup reviewing the rights received by each of the ACs about their internal accountability procedures which is an important feature, however in so far as I'm associated with particular EURALO I personally find it difficult to do this

job until the ALEC responds to the questions of internal accountability has been received and discussed. Allan has been so good as to confirm that the ALEC response will come up very soon. We haven't gotten it yet.

In view of the time, I will say no more. I will pass the floor to Erich and Sebastian if they want to add anything.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. Erich.

>> ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: I will be speaking but there will be a delay so we will not be finished with the ICANN59. There is some positives also in Human Rights, we have had a second meeting of the proposed framework from the ICANN Human Rights bylaws.

There are some facts to restrictions and I agree with Chris on the interventions concerning the various working groups, to be sufficiently balanced and I'm looking forward more positively than he's doing it. It will take longer. We can address the various issues. There is some north, South sanctions.

Thank you very much.

Next is Sebastian.

Thank you very much.

I will not add anything. I think there is a discussion that could be very useful and we don't have time to take in depth discussion.

I think we need to have this work done in the other Working Group that you are sharing Olivier about our -- about our future.

I think that the question of the situation, it is an important one, because for all of the group, they're still working on the substance, the ones on University, the one on -- the other, we already talked about. I think if we can have more participation from EURALO it would be great. I could spend 10 minutes but you don't have time for that t.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

I have a link in the chat to the ICANN evolution Working Group. This is an ALEC Working Group, you can all take part in this. This is our primary way to bring input into the process through our representatives in all of the different subgroups.

If you're interested in the topic, please ask staff to add you to this Working Group and we'll restart calls. I believe we'll have a call next week on this.

With this, we are so late already. I think we need to go to any other business and I was going to have a quick discussion on the format of the meetings but we have already touched on that.

You will notice we have captioning today and we have a few questions about the captioning, whether it has been helpful.

Over to staff for the survey.

>> GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you. The captioning survey, it will just take a couple of minutes.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: You sound very far.

>> GISELLA GRUBER: Sorry.

The questions are in the right-hand column in the Adobe Connect.

Is that better?

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Slightly better. You still sound a bit away. That's fine.

>> GISELLA GRUBER: There we go. I'm sure it is good enough.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's a lot better. Yes.

>> GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you very much. Apologies for the inconvenience.

You may have already seen the question, the question number one, the captioning feature of the Adobe Connect room is part of the pilot. Please select one of the following, very helpful, helpful, less relevant, not helpful, no vote. And I see that quite a few people have already casted votes.

I will pass now on to question number two: Please self-identify all categories that describes who you are: A person with disability, participant for whom English is a second language, participant who doesn't speak English, participant who has limited or low bandwidth, all of the above or none of the above.

Thank you for casting your votes now.

Then there again we see a very good participation and then in the interest of time we will go on to our third question: What benefits did you get from accessing the captioning stream? Choose as many answers as possible, one, greater understanding of the topics, be ability to understand the session more effectively, provided the correct spelling of technical terminology, able to more fully participate and engage with the presenter, all of the above.

Again, choose as many answers as possible.

Thank you.

I think we have the majority of the votes there. We'll go on to the next question.

What benefits did you get from accessing the captioning stream? If you do have any other suggestions or answers you wish to share with us, please use this pod and I'll give you a few seconds. Sorry we can't give you more than a few seconds.

We have one answer, archiving and scrolling back, terms in written form was better than the audio, immediate scrolling back is good, sometimes it is difficult to hear so to read is good, we have quite a few additional answers coming through. Thank you very much. This survey will be used and all the answers are

extremely helpful.

Eight answers again in the interest of time.

We'll move over to question number four: Where else do you think captioning should be required? Working Group, taskforces, adhoc groups, RALO calls, ALAC calls, CCWG calls, other constituencies, all of the above or no vote.

Again we have a fair amount of answers there. We will now go on to question number 4A. Again --

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Just a point on this question, it would probably be more helpful to be able to select the different things separately rather than having to choose one of them. At present it seems to be only accessing one answer.

>> GISELLA GRUBER: Note taken. Thank you very much.

In addition to that question, again we have answers here, where else do you think captioning should be required, if you have any other suggestions, please do write them down here.

Still getting a few in.

Wonderful, five answers. I'll give it a few more seconds.

We'll now go on to the last question of the survey. That is any final comments, question number five. I'll now leave this part open and back over to you as people can scroll and write their answers as we adjourn the call.

>> OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

I'm quite surprised we have so many people on the call. I would like to thank you all for lasting nearly an hour and a half. Especially our staff who have very kindly accepted to remain beyond the end of the usual time for this and, of course also the scribe who has been providing this very helpful -- I must admit -- captioning. Thank you to everyone. We will follow-up by email everywhere.

Apologies again for the length of the call. Maybe the next one will be 90 minutes, but unfortunately we have had no choice on this occasion.

Have a very good evening. We'll speak very soon. Next month. Please bring those -- you know, get ready for the voting we have to do for the various positions that we have to select. If you do receive an email about voting, don't get me or Wolf to have to chase you up independently, please -- not again!

Thank you very much and good night!

This text is being provided in a rough-draft Format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) or captioning are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.
