

AC CHAT

GNSO RDS PDP WG- Wednesday, 21 December 2016 at 06:00 UTC

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear All, Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP WG call on Wednesday 21 December 2016 at 06:00 UTC.

Nathalie Peregrine:Meeting page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_i6TDAw&d=DgIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=PDd_FX3f4MVgkEli9GHvVoUhbecsvLhgsyXrxgtbL10DTBs0i1jYiBM_uTSDzggG&m=N3bnRtN9WnHh0itusNQju0YLysaYdVOEVJxEpgYnaeo&s=nZ62H1uiSMYRgeIgK05vl3trDIqh3vqsOK9XcgK44Jw&e=

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:Good morning... Or something :-)

Ayden Férdeline:Hello to all

Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome all!

Fabricio Vayra>Hello

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

Greg Aaron:Hi, Chuck. "e" was suggested by Greg Aaron, not Greg Shatan

Greg Aaron:Yes, I wrote that.

Stephanie Perrin:For those of us who did not fill out the poll, can you make the questions accessible so we can input our responses? I tried but once the poll closed I could not find the questions

Lisa Phifer:A PDF version of the poll is posted at the last meeting page - but as Chuck notes, the question and options for response are shown on the screen now

Stephanie Perrin:thanks Lisa

Lisa Phifer:PDF link https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_63151075_Poll-2Don-2DPurpose-2Dfrom-2D13DecCall.pdf&d=DgIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=PDd_FX3f4MVgkEli9GHvVoUhbecsvLhgsyXrxgtbL10DTBs0i1jYiBM_uTSDzggG&m=N3bnRtN9WnHh0itusNQju0YLysaYdVOEVJxEpgYnaeo&s=yIm8SdJ1IDCSPah_6kcvXeNF8kZZRX-0OAdyEb0I56g&e=

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):as I understand 9% is 2 persons

Lisa Phifer:Maxim yes, just 2

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):one of the issues - the data is protected only until the first person with "bad ideas" accesses it and shares between such persons ...

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):tracking would require adding random seeds and it is not possible - info should be the same for the particular domain

Stephanie Perrin:Can someone please remind me of precisely which data elements we are speaking here?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I am not sure we can prevent sharing data after it was taken from the system

Stephanie Perrin:are we requiring the user/collector of the data to identify/authenticate themselves

Ayden Férdeline:I think we are only talking about the thin WHOIS output

Lisa Phifer:@Stephanie: See the top of the document displayed in the window - definition of thin data given by the Thick WHOIS Report

Ayden Férdeline:so just enough data to identify the registrar, the status of the registration, and the creation and expiration dates of each registration. (I think?)

Lisa Phifer:"A thin registry only stores and manages the information associated with the domain name. This set includes data sufficient to identify the sponsoring registrar, status of the registration, creation and expiration dates for each registration, name server data, the last time the record was updated in its Whois data store, and the URL for the registrar's Whois service."

Greg Aaron:I'll have to dial in sepeately

Nathalie Peregrine:@ Greg, we can dial out to you if you prefer.

Michele Neylon:Ayden - it's useful data for technical stuff at one level

Michele Neylon:but that's about it

Ayden Férdeline:thanks Michele

Shane Kerr:Working Adobe! A Christmas miracle! ;-)

Greg Aaron:Let me try now.

Michele Neylon:well it's logged anyway

Ayden Férdeline:I think some thin data elements (i.e. nameservers) should be available anonymously, but not all.

Michele Neylon:Ayden - like?

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:sound gone

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):do we lost adobe audio?

Lisa Phifer:CHuck are you on mute?

Stephanie Perrin:not hearing

Sam Lanfranco npoc/csih:No sound here

Sam Lanfranco npoc/csih:okay now!

Ayden Férdeline:I imagine that the nameservers need to be accessible in order for the DNS to function unimpeded, but I fail to see why, say, the registry name or the expiration data of a domain name should be available to anyone for any reason.

Greg Aaron:still not working...trying another route...

Michele Neylon:Ayden - oh come on - the registry for .com is always going to be Verisign :)

Stephanie Perrin:You have to do that anyway.....create privacy policies

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:expiry date why should that be hidden?

Ayden Férdeline:Sorry, I didn't catch the name of who was just speaking before Lisa, but the sentiments they shared were mine... I would not want there to be records of every website I visit... so I would not support some kind of authentication model which recorded, say, every time someone went to a website, which name servers were retrieved, etc... the ability to use the Internet anonymously or pseudonymously is important.

Ayden Férdeline:@Benny - I really hate to flip the question, but why should it be available to anyone for any reason? Isn't it registrar-registrant contract information?

Shane Kerr:@Ayden - that was me. ;-)

Ayden Férdeline:Thanks Shane :)

Greg Aaron:I'm dialed in

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:@Ayden there are several reasons but for one it is a secure way for the registrant to control that the registry has renewed the domain, without to have to go to the reseller or the reseller of the reseller of the registrar

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:secondly those we fear to misuse it for snapping a domain have access on another level

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:if they used whois they would be blocked

Michele Neylon:well you can't spam based on this data :)

Michele Neylon:unless you use the force

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):identification of legal status of a particular act of access to the system - is a legal question and can not be resolved by technical means (until we allow computers to make legal decisions)

Lisa Phifer:@Greg - (a) seems closer to (e) than (c)?

Michele Neylon:+1 Chuck - the audio went a bit odd towards the end

Greg Aaron:Hi, Lisa. A, C, and E share some similarities. But A uses "accessible" in a way that requires a gating and determination of the user's intent, and therefore access control. In contrast, C and E do not.

Lisa Phifer:@Greg (a) and (c) both refer to "names should be accessible for any purpose(s)" so it would seem that if you assumed that meant access control/gating, it would apply to both options. (That wasn't our intent, but trying to sort out what people assumed when choosing those options)

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):sometimes Registries even do not know that the data was misused

Greg Aaron:Lisa: "A contains an "except>"

Greg Aaron:Lisa, no that is not what I assumed.

Lisa Phifer:@greg, what phrasing made you think access control was implied - just "except" or also "expressly permitted" in (b)?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):+1

Shane Kerr:The "silent majority". :)

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks Shane, great explanation. And let me be clear, I am not looking for an authenticated-only Internet.....I am just wondering where the line needs to be drawn and the relative costs.

Shane Kerr:@Stephanie - sure, understood.

Shane Kerr:Although I forgot to mention that we could outsource the problem to Facebook and require a Facebook login. (I joke!)

Greg Aaron:"A" says the data should be "accessible for any purposes EXCEPT for illegitimate purposes". Another way of saying that is that it should NOT be accessible for illegitimate purposes. So that means you must prohibit illegitimate purposes. And that means you are keeping people out, and are ensuring that only legit users with good intent are getting the data. Thus, you are gating the data, and allowing only certain users to see it.

Stephanie Perrin:I also don't want to demand something further along on this journey, that will be instantly expanded and misused....and I can provide tons of examples where

this is happening already

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):do we trust bad persons to provide us true info? I am not sure it worth trying

Shane Kerr:@Lisa - spoken like a product owner! ;)

Shane Kerr:(I don't disagree, BTW)

Michele Neylon:I actually disagree with the first

Greg Aaron:Regarding purposes of any field: see the EWG report, pages 129 ff.

Greg Aaron:Correct, Michele, but there's also a contractual line through the reseller to the registrar.

Greg Aaron:ask noted the RAA.

Greg Aaron:we have an optional Reseller field for those registrars who wish to avail themselves of it. Many choose not to.

Michele Neylon:Greg - who is "we"? :)

Greg Aaron:we being the registration community, to be more precise.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I wonder how the persons (who forgot to whom they paid few years ago for a domain) will use those numbers for paying again?

Michele Neylon:Maxim - ROFL :)

Michele Neylon:so true

Stephanie Perrin:I am confident whoever wants the money will find them

Michele Neylon:we get CC chargebacks because of confusion

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):do we suggest paying all registrars just to be sure that they do not miss it :) ?

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:I will gladly collect for all domains I don't manage

Lisa Phifer:Collection here refers to being required by policy to populate an RDS/WHOIS record with values for that element

Shane Kerr:Purposes "all"? :-P

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Greg

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):example of a good purpose : word good in the purpose form ...

Lisa Phifer:@Shane, the domain name identifies the record, regardless of purpose, thus "all"

Shane Kerr:Sure, I get it.

Greg Aaron:what is displayed is a highly truncated version of the original table, and is missing many lines

Rod Rasmussen:The list is NOT comprehensive. :-)

Shane Kerr:Ah, okay.

Lisa Phifer:the data element purposes came from use cases - but the use cases were not comprehensive so there are probably additional purposes not listed

Shane Kerr:Ah, I see the chart in the report. It's more comprehensive. :)

Greg Aaron:the listed are not just any old purposes. They are legitimate purposes.

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Greg

Shane Kerr:Certainly a registrar has more information than a registry, even in the "thick registry" case?

Shane Kerr:Knowing the registrar is always (potentially) useful....

Lisa Phifer:nothing from me at this time

Rod Rasmussen:@Greg Aaron - look at the private chat question I sent you (non ICANN related!)

Lisa Phifer:I think we could poll on points of agreement to confirm them (and allow for those not on call to weigh in)

Lisa Phifer:Staff will launch poll within 24 hours but we should leave poll open for responses through the holidays

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:Happy holidays everyone

Fabricio Vayra:happy holidazzzzzzz

Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks all!

Sam Lanfranco npoc/csih:thanks to all...

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):could we extend poll till JAN?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Happy Holidays!

Ayden Férdeline:thanks to all, and wishing you all a wonderful new year.

Shane Kerr:Bye!

Vlad Dinculescu:Thanks all

Lisa Phifer:Happy holidays all

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks and Happy New year