

Prerequisite	Must be completed prior to the launch of the next round of the New gTLD Program
High Priority	Must be completed within 18 months after issuance of final report.
Medium Priority	Must be completed within 36 months after issuance of final report.
Low Priority	Must be completed prior to the start of the next CCT Review

#	PAPER	RECOMMENDATION	TO	TO BE DISCUSSED	PREREQUISITE OR PRIORITY LEVEL (as assessed on 9 Feb priority)	PREREQUISITE AS INDICATED IN PAF	PRIORITY AS INDICATED IN PAPERS	CONSENSUS	RECOMMENDATION CATEGORIES (for exec. summary)	POLICY	BOARD	COMPLIANCE	DATA COLLECTION
1	Data analysis	Formalize and promote ongoing data collection	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
2	Data analysis	Collection of wholesale pricing for legacy gTLDs	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
3	Data analysis	Collection of transactional pricing for the gTLD marketplace.	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
4	Data analysis	Collection of retail pricing for domain marketplace	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
5	Data analysis	Collection of parking data	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
6	Data analysis	Collection TLD sales at a country by country level	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
7	Data analysis	Establish relationships with entities involved in collection of TLD sales data at a country by country and region	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
8	Data analysis	Periodic Surveys of Registrants	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
9	Data analysis	Periodic Surveys of Consumers	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
10	Data analysis	Ongoing tracking of DNS Abuse	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
11	Data analysis	Track Costs of Safeguard Implementation	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
12	Data analysis	More granular data collection by ICANN compliance	ICANN Board					Yes			x		x
13	Impact of Safeguards	Assess percentage of WHOIS complaints related to accuracy of registrant identity	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		x
14	Impact of Safeguards	Refer WHOIS accuracy data to WHOIS Review to determine if add'l steps needed to improve accuracy	ICANN Board and Organization, Future RTs					Yes			x		
15	Impact of Safeguards	Compare rates of abuse in domains operating under new RA and RAA w/ legacy rates	ICANN Organization					Yes					
16	Impact of Safeguards	Review Rv Operator Framework on security checks for effectiveness in mitigating abuse	Community Stakeholders and Future RTs					Yes					x
17	Impact of Safeguards	Assess effectiveness of mechanisms to report and handle complaints on abuse	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
18	Impact of Safeguards	Assess if more efforts are needed to publicize where abuse complaints should go	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
19	Impact of Safeguards	Provide more detailed information on subject matter of complaints in Compliance reports	ICANN Board and Compliance					Yes			x	x	
20	Impact of Safeguards	Ask stakeholders re: potential security measures for TLDs gathering sensitive health and financial information	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
21	Impact of Safeguards	Survey Rvs to determine steps taken to establish relationships with government or industry bodies	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
22	Impact of Safeguards	Survey registrants to see if they're receiving complaints from regulatory bodies and how they handle them	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		
23	Impact of Safeguards	Sample websites for highly-regulated sectors to see if contact info for complaints are easy to find	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		
24	Impact of Safeguards	Conduct Rr and reseller audits to assess whether credentials for highly regulated TLDs are being enforced	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		
25	Impact of Safeguards	Determine volume and subject matter of complaints by auditing Compliance, Rr and Resellers	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		
26	Impact of Safeguards	Compare rates of abuse in highly regulated gTLDs who've agreed to verify credentials to those who do not	ICANN Board and Organization					Yes			x		
27	Impact of Safeguards	Determine if Compliance received complaints Rvs failure to comply with cyberbullying and gov't functions safe	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
28	Impact of Safeguards	Survey Registries to determine how they enforce safeguards for cyberbullying and TLDs with gov't functions	ICANN Board					Yes			x		
29	Impact of Safeguards	Compare trustworthiness of new gTLDs with registration restrictions to those without	ICANN Board, SubPro PDP, Future RTs					Yes			x	x	
30	Impact of Safeguards	Refine DNS abuse study to compare abuse rates in new gTLDs with registration restrictions to those without	ICANN Board, SubPro PDP, Future RTs					Yes			x	x	
31	Impact of Safeguards	Study cost/benefits of implementing registration restrictions, incl. impact on compliance costs and costs for R	ICANN Board, SubPro PDP, Future RTs					Yes			x	x	
32	Impact of Safeguards	Gather public comments on impact of new g registration restrictions on competition	ICANN Board, SubPro PDP, Future RTs					Yes			x	x	
33	PICs	Create publicly accessible database of PICs	ICANN Organization		No	High	Yes	Prerequisite	Yes				
34	PICs	Future gTLD applicants should state the goals of each of their voluntary PICs.	ICANN Board, SubPro PDP		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes			x	x	
35	PICs	Voluntary PICs submitted during application process should allow for GAC Review, community and LPO object	SubPro PDP		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes			x		
36	RPMS	Full Impact Study to identify actual costs incurred by trademark owners	INTA / ICANN		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes					
37	RPMS	Study to identify costs incurred by trademark owners, repeated at regular intervals	INTA / ICANN		No	Medium	Yes	Yes					
38	RPMS	Review of URS	SubPro PDP		No	High	Yes	Yes			x		
39	RPMS	Review of TMCH	SubPro PDP		No	High	Yes	Yes			x		
40	Consumer Choice	Consider whether costs related to defensive registration for a small number of trademarks registering large n	SubPro PDP, RPM PDP		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes			x		
41	Benefits vs Confusion	Include additional questions in consumer/registrant surveys re: benefits of the expanded number, availability,	ICANN Board, Future RTs, SubPro PDP		No	Low	TBD	Yes			x	x	
42	Benefits vs Confusion	Consider preventive measures in the delegation of new gTLDs to limit consumer confusion	ICANN Board, Future RTs, SubPro PDP		No	Low	TBD	Yes			x	x	
43	Benefits vs Confusion	Gather further data on services provided by registrars to registrants re: geographic distribution registrants and	ICANN Board, Future RTs, SubPro PDP		No	Low	TBD	Yes			x		x
44	Registry Policies	Consider stricter/mandatory regulations of privacy issues by ICANN for all new gTLD registries	SubPro PDP, RPM PDP		Yes	Prerequisite	TBD	Yes			x		
45	Consumer Trust	Conduct study to assess why and to what extent the public trusts new gTLDs	ICANN Board, Future RTs		No	High	Yes	Yes			x		x
46	Consumer Trust	Create incentives to encourage gTLD registries to meet user expectations	ICANN Board, Future RTs, SubPro PDP		Yes	High	Yes	Yes			x		
47	Consumer Trust	Repeat selected parts of Global surveys to look for increase in familiarity with new gTLDs: visitation of new g	ICANN Organization		No	High	Yes	Yes					x
48	Consumer Trust	Study to collect data on the impact of restrictions on who can buy domains within certain new gTLDs	ICANN Organization		No	Low	Yes	Yes			x		x
49	Application and Evalua	Set objectives for applications from the Global South	SubPro PDP		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes			x		
50	Application and Evalua	Expand and improve outreach into the Global South	ICANN Organization		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes					
51	Application and Evalua	Coordinate the pro bono assistance program	ICANN Organization		Yes	Prerequisite	Yes	Yes					
52	Application and Evalua	Revisit the Applicant Financial Support Program	SubPro PDP		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			x		
53	Preventing Delegations	Continue GAC EW advice as part of public comment period and GAC EW advice should be provided as soon	SubPro PDP, ICANN, GAC		Yes	TBD	TBD	Yes			x		
55	Preventing Delegations	GAC advice to Board re: gTLDs should be clear, permitting the Board to determine how to apply that advice	SubPro PDP, ICANN, GAC		Yes	TBD	TBD	Yes			x		
56	Preventing Delegations	Further clarification in AGB of the processes and results of both GAC EW and consensus GAC positions on d	SubPro PDP, ICANN, GAC		Yes	TBD	TBD	Yes			x		
57	Allowing Specific Com	Review of procedures and objectives for community-based applications and improvements made to address	SubPro PDP, ICANN		Yes	TBD	TBD	Yes			x		
58	Effectiveness of the Di	A thorough review of the results of dispute resolutions on all string confusion objections	SubPro PDP, ICANN		No	Low	Yes	Yes			x		
59	Effectiveness of the Di	Consider introducing a post dispute resolution panel review mechanism	SubPro PDP, ICANN		No	Low	Yes	Yes			x		
60	Effectiveness of the Di	Similar cases of plural/singular strings should be examined by the same expert panelist or by determining in a	SubPro PDP, ICANN		No	Low	Yes	Yes			x		