



Privacy and Proxy Service Provider Accreditation Program

IRT Meeting | 24 January 2017

Agenda

1

Recent
Developments

2

Draft Policy
Documents
Overview

3

Detailed Draft
Policy Review

4

Next Steps

IRT Meeting Schedule

- On last call, participants seemed to support moving to weekly meetings
- Poll distributed to confirm IRT intent surrounding meeting schedule and 1-year timeline
- 23 IRT volunteers responded. Significant majority supports moving to weekly meetings (16); slight majority supports adoption of expedited timeline (12)

Recent Developments

- Poll distributed on IRT timeline and meeting schedule
- LEA framework principles document distributed to PSWG
- Planning for face-to-face meeting in Copenhagen and GDD summit in Madrid

Deliverables Status

- ICANN org editing draft Policy Section 1.C.iii based on IRT feedback
- ICANN org finalizing proposal on RDS labeling
- IRT reviewing draft Policy sections 2, 5, 6
- On horizon: information request/abuse reporting proposals, operational questions, IP framework

Preliminary Policy Document Notes/Questions

- Sections/contents will likely be moved around during drafting process
- Started with structure of Registrar policy
- Level of detail in Policy vs contract
- Proposal on-list: delete current section 2, reference all substantive requirements in PPAA
- Specific questions throughout regarding use of “SHOULD” vs “SHALL”
- Other questions as noted

Draft Policy Discussion Questions: Section 2

- References to SHOULD marked for feedback re: WG intent
- Section 2.C Re: Data Reminders—consistent with WG intent?
- Section 2.C.iii: example of proposed level of detail for Policy vs. PPAA and confirm whether this is consistent with WG intent
- Section 2.D: can IRT confirm what data should be validated/verified (any public WHOIS data or underlying data, or both)?

Draft Policy Discussion Questions: Section 2 (cont.)

- Section 2.E: Could specifics be moved to PPAA?
- Data Retention/Escrow: Did WG intend there to be requirements for this?
- Additional questions throughout, most related to more detailed PPAA requirements

Draft Policy Discussion Questions: Section 5 and 6

- Provisions in draft Section 5 and 6 might ultimately be included elsewhere
- Section 5.A: which word should be used, “hinder” or “obstruct”? And, can this be further defined?
- Section 5: can/should we further define “commercially reasonable” and/or “provide”?
- Section 6: review of IP framework—did WG intend for this to be GNSO-initiated (keep in Policy) or ICANN-initiated (not in Policy)?

Thank you!



Thank You and Questions

Reach me at: amy.bivins@icann.org

Email IRT list at: gdd-gnso-ppsai-impl@icann.org

IRT community wiki space:

<https://community.icann.org/display/IRT/Privacy+and+Proxy+Services+Accreditation+Implementation>

Implementation Status Page:

<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ppsai-2016-08-18-en>