

Adobe Connect chat transcript for 04 January 2017:

Terri Agnew:Happy New Year! Welcome to the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs PDP Working Group call on Wednesday, 04 January 2017.

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_EZ3DAw&d=DglCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=dglYY89jaZQ_nGDP_8RMXo97DC7zTmEcMN1NyGGD2D0&s=2WlmuqAsSPtHGD5o-GGt0AzSDvMNJdF0np8_Nl3qNrg&e=

George Kirikos:Hi folks. Happy New Year.

Philip Corwin:Hello all and happy new year. I'll be stepping away until the call commences

Paul Tattersfield:Hello everyone

George Kirikos:Hey Paul. How's it going?

Paul Tattersfield:Great Goerge and you? I see you had some snow

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.youtube.com_watch-3Fv-3DilAO4Htzn8M&d=DglCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=dglYY89jaZQ_nGDP_8RMXo97DC7zTmEcMN1NyGGD2D0&s=idP6KZR1bPhjx9kCJu3st8fxlg_eeHaJ8TpGPJgFmeLk&e=

George Kirikos:I'm doing fine, thanks. I'm cheering for global warning, to make our winters milder here. :-)

Elizabeth Featherman:Happy new year! Sorry I have a client call coming up the same time. I hope to rejoin the meeting as soon as possible.

GraceM:can hear you loud and clear

Mary Wong:We will be starting shortly.

J. Scott Evans:I can not dial in. Is 866.692.5726 the correct number?

Mary Wong:J. Scott, yes

George Kirikos:Be sure to have a 1 up front.

J. Scott Evans:I only get a fast busy signal.

George Kirikos:1-866-692-5726

George Kirikos:Have there been any new responses since December 13th?

Mary Wong:@George, no

George Kirikos:Only 3 respondents, and PIR/AFNIC are relatively small registry operators.

Terri Agnew:documents are also attached on agenda wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_EZ3DAw&d=DglCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=dglYY89jaZQ_nGDP_8RMXo97DC7zTmEcMN1NyGGD2D0&s=2WlmuqAsSPtHGD5o-GGt0AzSDvMNJdF0np8_Nl3qNrg&e=

George Kirikos:Mary has her hand up.

Brian Cimboric:well at PIR we do ooperate .org, but fair point in the new TLD arena :)

George Kirikos:@Brian: yes, that's what I meant, i.e. in relation to TMCH for new gTLDs.

Brian Cimboric:@george - yep absolutely

George Kirikos:More than 3 registries have participants in this PDP, I believe.

Kathy Kleiman:Agree with J. Scott that direct communication with New gTLD Registries may be more effective.

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):I believe answers from the registrars for this question is going to be more dispositive. Registries only get access to the list of strings, but they do not get the full list of marks in the TMCH or the other data associated with the trademarks

Robin Gross:Direct communication could be very helpful.

Vinzenz Heussler:I agree with Kathy

Brian Cimboric:I think direct communication is a good idea - but also, perhaps asking the other Registries that are part of the PDP to respond

George Kirikos:Sure, direct communication is fine, if the RySG isn't doing a good job getting the participation.

GraceM:I wonder how many of the new gTLD registries responded.....

Kathy Kleiman:GDD may be able to assist us - with emails or by sending the email for us (Global Domains Division)

Petter Rindforth:Is there any admin / policy problems to reach out to both groups?

Mary Wong:@Petter, policy staff can contact those WG members that are also registries for assistance. As Kathy noted, we will likely ask GDD staff for help in sending the email to all New gTLD Registries (although they will already have received the request and reminder from the RySG chair).

Petter Rindforth:Thanks, Mary - just a quick 2nd q: What time do we have? (As we cannot spend too long time on this topic before we proceed)

Kurt Pritz:We developed questions with each group in mind. There are two alternatives for improving participation. One is for ICANN to use its bully pulpit and strongly encourage participation. This is a vital part of the ICANN support role. If registries and registrars want a vote in the adjustments to policy, they must participate. A second approach that this group censures registries in further discussion if they haven't participated in this questionnaire.

Kurt Pritz:I would have Akram or the CEO write the letter.

Mathieu Weill:as one of the registries who responded (AFNIC) I express strong reservations against direct outreach. the questions are tailored to registry service providers rather than the 1000 gtld. all these request will go straight to the back end

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Converting it to a survey format might help too... a multi-page word doc doesn't rise to the top of a busy person's to do list as quickly as a short survey.

Robin Gross:Agree that we might get more responses if we send it as a survey.

Mathieu Weill:maybe the limited number of responses is an information is itself

Brian Cimboric:+1 Jeff - good point

Jon Nevett:Agree with Jeff

Lillian Fosteris:I agree with Kristine that a survey may lead to an increase in responses

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:If many registries simply need to check "no" or "NA", they can speed through it.

Beth Bacon:+1 Jeff

George Kirikos:Is this PDP considered an "Economic Study"?? See Section 2.15 of the new gTLDs standard agreement: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_sites_default_files_tlds_xyz_xyz-2Dagmt-2Dhtml-2D05dec13-2Den.htm&d=DglCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0A1gn-H4xR2EBk&m=dgIYY89jaZQ_nGDP_8RMXo97DC7zTmEcMN1NyGGD2D0&s=iuhXx-egOzQdkAxc-zcdj2aCuf14BdUz6h8sJLgqY10&e=

George Kirikos:2.15 Cooperation with Economic Studies. If ICANN initiates or commissions an economic study on the impact or functioning of new generic top-level domains on the Internet, the DNS or related matters, Registry Operator shall reasonably cooperate with such study, including.....

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):@George no

Robin Gross:staff couldn't develop a survey quickly?

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):And I wouldnt go there

Petter Rindforth:Definitely - make it simple but clear. We need no novels just a reply to a Q&A

George Kirikos:I think it's open to interpretation....it's a policy study, and has economic impacts.....

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):@George - Again, I would take the friendly approach and talk directly to them. More likely to respond as opposed to fighting whether this is the economic study or not

George Kirikos:Agree that friendly approach is best.

Kurt Pritz:I agree with Mary: the division of labor as I see it is the working group prepares the data required and fashions questions; ICANN staff formats them in a way to engender participation - whether that is a survey or some other form

Jay Chapman:sorry - check :)

Mary Wong>Note also that the questions encompass also Sunrise and Claims topics

Terri Agnew:Next call: Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs PDP Working Group is scheduled for Wednesday, 11 January 2017 at 18:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Paul Tattersfield:Whats the reason for moving calls between 18:00 and 17:00 wouldn't a single time be more memorable?

J. Scott Evans:Paul: We have people from all over the world. We move the time in order to accommodate our non-North American members.

George Kirikos:Bye folks.

Paul Tattersfield:I appreciate that JS but 1 hr!

Philip Corwin:@Paul -- ,also I think there is a conflict with a regular RySG call

Greg Shatan:First Wake Up Call of the New Year. :-)

Greg Shatan:Bye all!

Robin Gross:Thanks J. Scott and all. Bye.

susan payne (valideus):bye

Paul Tattersfield:thanks Phil

Paul Tattersfield:Bye all