Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs PDP Working Group call on Wednesday, 21 December 2016 at 22:00 UTC for 60 minutes. Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A community.icann.org x BJ3DAw&d=DgIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSV zgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1pwLHOV5DCxALcMKIaTstgQyEINmRDoebHBQWYQJis&s=tm s085ENNViOg929RdiG7 gkLNsaJkDEosaPXVTs8p4&e= Philip Corwin: Hi Michelle. I am uncharacteristically early ;-) Michelle DeSmyter:No worries - glad to have you! :) Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome Maxim! Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello Michelle, Philip Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): will type instead of using mic - it is 1AM Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): for me Michelle DeSmyter:Ouch - sounds good Maxim George Kirikos:Hi folks. Paul Tattersfield:Hi Everyeone Steve Levy: HI all. Happy holidays! Mary Wong:@Phil, we have the redlined version ready. Let us know if you want us to change to it. Mary Wong:We are at the bottom of page 5, for those who have just joined Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): reasonably priced to allow for protection of small local businesses? George Kirikos:Accessible = affordable? Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I think affordable is too limiting Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: There may be other hinderances at play Heather Forrest: Agree with Kristine that affordable is too narrow a term Jeff Neuman:ease of use? Griffin Barnett: Agree that "access" would potentially include cost, as well as some of the things Phil mentioned such as language, etc. George Kirikos: I think the TMCH is translated into more than 10 languages, see top right of https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A www.trademark-2Dclearinghouse.com &d=DgIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJm s7xcl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwww ehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1pwLHOV5DCxALcMKIaTstgQyEINmRDoebHBQWYQJis&s=qXnmVBiWu8fOKCHdoFLOa

tzbqLGG9qgUPIY3modHxY&e=

Kurt Pritz: I think guidance for this question comes from the last prepositional clause: "in developing countries." Paul Tattersfield:Can we change 'trademark owners' to 'rights holders'? Because it's important to provide guidance for marks protected by statue and treaty as well as trademarks. Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: The dictionary says accessible means: capable of being used, influenced, seen, understood, appreciated. I think that word is fine. Heather Forrest:usable? susan payne: Agree with Paul's replacement to rights holders Griffin Barnett: Agree we should keep to "accessible" Kurt Pritz:Maybe revese the sentence: Can those in developing countries readily access trademark clearinghouse services (as compared to other regions)? Kathy Kleiman:Why would we change trademark owners to rights holders? Griffin Barnett: No objection to "rights holders" Paul Tattersfield:to include 6ter marks Kathy Mary Wong: On 4.1, note the Sub Team suggestion to share the WG findings on this with the New gTLD SubPro WG. Steve Levy: I like the term "confidential" Petter Rindforth:confidential seems better describe what we mean susan payne:I think we all understand closed = confidential Laurie Anderson: Closed seems to be misleading to the general public Kathy Kleiman: Tx Paul Jeff Neuman: Competition affects cost; bringing the costs down could increase use and effectiveness George Kirikos: 5.1 is about costs. One alternative to multiple providers is to have regular competitive tenders. Steve Levy: Although I think TMCH competition is rather impractical, I feel it shoudl be opened up as a matter of principle Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: If that's the case, then I suggest that costs will come out of our investigation as an issue. I'm sure we'll get data on that. And if cost is a problem, then I think competition is a valid solution. Jeff Neuman: I offer no opinion on the question of who should address :) Jeff Neuman: I will only offer the opinion that this group has the relevant expertise to understand the TMCH and the implications of having multiple providers. Looking at the membership of this PDP WG we have a lot of trademark owners and

users of the TMCH. So to me it makes sense to be here. Steve Levy: Hasn't Deloitte actually lost money on its TMCH operation? Heather Forrest: Is CCT looking into this issue? susan payne: Hi, I would point out that the WG suggested 2 alternative options for language on this Mary Wong:Several WG members have expressed a preference for Option 2. Jon Nevett:@Steve -- we alone paid them about \$1M -- can't believe that they have lost \$ susan payne: ICANN paid them too! George Kirikos:Hollywood accounting? :-) susan payne:old hand Griffin Barnett: I would support a further revised version of Cat 5 Q 1: "Taking into consideration cost, reliability, global reach, diversity of services, consistency, and other possible factors, would it be desirable and practical to have more than one provider for the TMCH services? Why or why not?" Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Proposal 2 focuses on the question: Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Proposal 2 focuses on the question: "what is the problem" rather than suggesting a list.... susan payne:I prefer 2 Steve Levy: I also voted for Proposal 2 susan payne: aha, for reasons Kristine is giving Heather Forrest: I prefer the open-endedness of 2 Kurt Pritz: I prefer 1 as it delineates issues Petter Rindforth: I support Griffins suggested version Griffin Barnett: Proposal 2 wording seems to presuppose that there are concerns with a single provider of TMCH services, that's my only concern about that formulation Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Griffin, people from the community came up with this question, so it's safe to assume SOMEONE thinks there's a problem. :) Vinzenz Heussler: Griffin Barnett combined 1 and 2 rather clever Heather Forrest: Mary has her hand up Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I'm not following that - visual learner. Can someone capture Phil's most recent suggestion in writing? Kathy Kleiman: I like Phil's compromise wording Kurt Pritz:@ Mary - we are perilously close to agreement cmonsensus Vinzenz Heussler: it's in the agenda/notes on the right side, isn't it Kurt Pritz:@ Mary "consensus"

Griffin Barnett: Fine with the latest compromise wording David McAuley (RySG): Sometimes hard for notes on right to keep up with speedy speakers Paul Tattersfield: Does the current single operator nature of the TMCH optimize operational considerations as cost, reliability, global reach, and service diversity and consistency, or should significant changes be considered? Griffin Barnett:@Kurt -- I thought "cmonsensus" was intentionally clever Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Proposal 4 was Phil's right? Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I support that. Mary Wong: Proposal 3 was from Griffin, Proposal 4 is Phil's suggestion Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:thanks Mary, Santa better be kind to you... Laurie Anderson: Supporting Proposal 4 Lillian Fosteris: I like Proposal 4 Griffin Barnett: Agree you can remove "Why or why not" from my proposal -- it is implied by the initial question Mary Wong: I count 14 in favor of Proposal 4 Heather Forrest: Are we not taking this out to other members of the WG? I misunderstood perhaps - thought the straw poll was going out to the list? Mary Wong:@Heather, we will send out a note highlighting that this is the proposed final set of TMCH Charter questions Vinzenz Heussler:costs proportionate to benefits? Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): have we seen a single review of TMCH activities from financial perspective? David McAuley (RySG): it sounds like proportionate among those three David McAuley (RySG):icann, rights holders, and community George Kirikos: Should list more stakeholders, e.g. registries, registrars, registrants, etc. Chris Thomas:proportional? Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:+1 George Lillian Fosteris:+1 Georege Lillian Fosteris:George* Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):+1 George susan payne: Agree George but I think that; 's intended by community David McAuley (RySG): I agree then with the idea of listing registries, registrars, registrant Heather Forrest: Should we split the question into multiple parts to encourage a reply on each stakeholder? Paul Tattersfield:Support

George Kirikos: If they're part of "community", then they might get diluted by 1/3rd. David McAuley (RySG): registrants are part of conmunity as well Mary Wong: We got it, Phil George Kirikos:e.g. TM owners weighted equally as registrars, equally as registries, equally as registrants, is not the same as "TM Owners equal with registries PLUS registrars PLUS registrants". David McAuley (RySG):then I suggest we specify registries, rars, registrants David McAuley (RySG):maybe "fairly balanced" instead of proportionate - not sure what proportionate means David McAuley (RySG): i have no audio this evening - glitch going on here, sorry Paul Tattersfield:@David I think it was proportionate between costs and benefits David McAuley (RySG):by audio I meant mic David McAuley (RySG):ok thanks Paul susan payne:+1Kristine George Kirikos:@DavidM: might want to use the telephone connection (it's more reliable). David McAuley (RySG): like it Phil but use among instead of between Heather Forrest:rather than name them and perhaps miss someone, can we say 'all of the relevant stakeholders'? George Kirikos: That new alternative language looks fine to me. David McAuley (RySG):sorry Goerge - one with a higher power (at home now) has phone right now and i forgot cell in office David McAuley (RySG):George, that is Paul Tattersfield: Very much agree Susan David McAuley (RySG): Thanks Susan, that makes sense - I was not part of subteam and do not have that history on this and so appreciate the point you make Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Yes. I would say registries get the least benefit from having to use the TMCH, but is the cost to us proportionate to what the rights holders are getting? David McAuley (RySG):sounds good Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I like reasonably proportionate. Mary Wong: Are we talking about costs and benefits, or advantages and disadvantages? Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I think they're understood to be the same. Paul Tattersfield: the original question was benefits and costs Kathy Kleiman:+1

Mary Wong:OK, thanks Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I hear a chorus of angels. David McAuley (RySG):i can hear it too - quite nice Mary Wong: Will do, Phil. susan payne:hurrah!!! Kathy Kleiman:Congratulations All! George Kirikos:1/2 the docs = questions David McAuley (RySG):sing seasonal songs? Kathy Kleiman: Happy Holidays to All! Petter Rindforth:We are the best!! George Kirikos: So it's really only 2 pages or so. Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: I vote that we bail. George Kirikos: Happy holidays, folks. See you in 2017. David McAuley (RySG):agreed Phil, makes sense Kiran Malancharuvil: Thanks! Griffin Barnett: Happy to reserve this until next time susan payne:happy. it's 10.50 here the week before xmas Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): could we use doodle poll? Mary Wong:04:00 UTC = 20:00/ PT, 23:00/ET, 04:00 London, 05:00 CET, 15:00 Sydney, 12:00 Beijing Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: the registries Heather Forrest: Thanks very much for keeping the time within decent hours for APAC Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): with RySG .. now it is not a conflict Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):nice ... it is going to be 7.am instead of 1am :) George Kirikos:04:00 UTC on Thursday? (so that we are still on Wednesday night in Toronto/New York) susan payne:well I understand and support the reason for doing so - but I won't be on a call at 4am. Id love to see some calls that work foir aspac, ME and europe but are less good for US George Kirikos: Or is it 04:00 UTC on Wednesday? (meaning that we are instead on Tuesday in North America???) Heather Forrest: To Susan's point, it does seem that Europe and APAC are normally the time compromisers. That said, most participants are in North America, but maybe this is a chickenegg problem Mary Wong:@Heather, yes - so one way we are trying to accommodate all of this is to do this as one rotation out of four Heather Forrest: @ Mary, that sounds practical Paul Tattersfield:Question to Staff: Would it be possible to make the document window wider and move the Agenda/Notes window down making it the same height as the chat window? As this would make it easier to read wider/table formatted documents without

having to horizontal scroll so often George Kirikos: We should decide the date, too (Wednesday vs. Thursday UTC, i.e Tuesday vs. Wednesday in North America), on the list. Mary Wong:@Paul, I will ask - but I believe it is fixed Paul Tattersfield:thanks Mary George Kirikos:Bye everyone. Great work in 2016....looking forward to 2017. Michelle DeSmyter: January 4th Steve Levy: Have a great holiday and New Years everyone! David McAuley (RySG): Thanks all, best wishes Michelle DeSmyter:17:00 UTC Mary Wong: Happy holidays everyone! Thank you for your time! Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): Happy Holidays! Heather Forrest: All the best for 2017 everyone Vinzenz Heussler:happy holidays everyone! Paul Tattersfield: Bye Everyone - Happy Holidays and best for a successful 2017 Monica Mitchell:thank you everyone. Laurie Anderson: All the best in 2017 susan payne:bye