

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms Working Group on Thursday, 08 December 2016 at 17:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Meeting page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_jpnDAw&d=DgICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwFbfjrsjWv9&m=qp9uB8Cx8iBIB5YPr2NqGfMNU56LHoWcUWP8u9DAOfc&s=qgsxN9TM8js2aruAtasaxzNOLf1C59hDR_11UMOt0Fo&e=

George Kirikos:Hi folks.

Jay Chapman:Hi George

George Kirikos:Hi Jay. How are you?

Jay Chapman:Great - you?

Petter Rindforth:Hi & welcome

Jay Chapman:Hi Petter

George Kirikos:I'm fine, thanks.

George Kirikos:Hey Petter.

Paul Tattersfield:Hi everyone

Philip Corwin:Good day all

George Kirikos>Welcome Paul and Phil.

Jay Chapman:yes

George Kirikos:Some of the SOIs are missing on the website. We should encourage those missing them to fill them out.

George

Kirikos:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_pages_viewpage.action-3FpageId-3D48347895&d=DgICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwFbfjrsjWv9&m=qp9uB8Cx8iBIB5YPr2NqGfMNU56LHoWcUWP8u9DAOfc&s=0w9gQ2jihAmAKQHpsNB9Vx10B1dHSME84RPhe3KXbxs&e=

George Kirikos:That was the post at:

<http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2016-December/000623.html> in case folks missed it.

Jay Chapman:Petter, George's point is a very salient one to make in the report

Philip Corwin:I agree it is good to emphasize that CRP mechanisms are supplements to judicial and law enforcement actions

George Kirikos:It's reflected/addressed in the latest draft, I believe.

George Kirikos:We've not had any new input from the IGOs or GAC, I assume?

Berry Cobb:FYI, Mary is trying to join this session. She should be on momentarily.

Mary Wong:Sorry I'm late, everyone'

Mary Wong:Yes, all are reflected except Phil's latest

Mary Wong:Yes

Mary Wong:Section 6 is incorporated from Phil but not Section 4

George Kirikos:I think my comment yesterday isn't there.

George Kirikos:A typo, see: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2016-December/000627.html>

Philip Corwin:I believe that elsewhere in the report we point out that we found evidence that IGOs have used the UDRP. Correct?

Mary Wong:@Phil, yes

Jay Chapman:Based on the WG's thorough investigation, George's idea of putting a footnote on this issue seems duly warranted

Mary Wong:@George, we can add as answers to these questions "as posed to us by the Council" or something like tht

Jay Chapman:that's a good idea, Mary - and still add the footnote

George Kirikos:Right, so it's a false premise. So maybe a footnote on that page, so that folks don't misrepresent our "No" or take it out of context.

Mary Wong:As these are the actual questions that we were asked by the GNSO Council

George Kirikos:Because, the IGOs are playing some political games, so a footnote might ensure that that sentence doesn't get misused.

Philip Corwin:I think a footnote that references the finding elsewhere in the report is sufficient

Mary Wong:We will make the necessary reference/adjustment, thanks

Mary Wong:@Petter, all - yes, we made most of the suggested changes but left as comments those comments that may require more substantive changes or other more substantial edits

George Kirikos:Is Phil's hand new?

George Kirikos:I read Phil's changes, and they seemed fine to me for Sec #6.

George Kirikos:I guess page 8 has the next major "debatable" point (see margin).

Philip Corwin:That was my deletion because it was negated by receipt of the Small Group Proposal

Philip Corwin:What page are we discussing???

Mary Wong:Page 8 going into Page 9, I believe

Steve Chan:I've synced the page temporarily

Jay Chapman:yes

Jay Chapman:p9

George Kirikos:Sorry it was page 9.

Jay Chapman:Agree w George

Paul Tattersfield:agree but it needs re wording

George Kirikos:Thanks Jay.

George Kirikos:It looks like it's in the "Action Items" on the

right pod.

Mary Wong:@Petter, yes, that is why we didn't include that in this Section 6 as there are no recommendations from the WG at this time on the Small Group Proposal

George Kirikos:That's a fair point, Phil.

George Kirikos:Well, we've essentially rejected the Small Group proposal.

George Kirikos:(which is a "recommendation" of a sort) :-)

George Kirikos:i.e. maybe we should explicitly recommend non-acceptance of the IGO proposal?

George Kirikos:We should do a full typo check of the entire document, of course, before we publish.

Paul Tattersfield:The Small group output demonstrates the problem having a policy drawn up by only people with a similar shared objectives

George Kirikos:+1 Paul

Steve Chan:@Phil, as noted, the draft in the AC room does not include your latest updates, but we do have them loaded to view separately.

George Kirikos:They'll need to be merged later.

George Kirikos:(and that'll cause all the page numbers to change)

George Kirikos:Perhaps next week will be a "last call for edits", so that folks like Lori, Kathy, Paul Keating and any other "active" members can get in their final views?

Mary Wong:@George, we will circulate an updated draft along with a full draft report to the list

George Kirikos:(i.e. before next week's meeting)

George Kirikos:Are we looking at Phil's draft now?

George Kirikos:(I'm lost --- which page are we on??)

George Kirikos:(nevermind, I guess we're on page 6 now)

Jay Chapman:I don't see any edits on p7

George Kirikos:He's not looking at the one in the Adobe pod.

George Kirikos:My edits are there too.

Jay Chapman:I made a few edits in the chart as well

Paul Tattersfield:It would be helpful if the document window could be made wider and the Notes window smaller i.e. just the same height as the chat window then you could have a larger font and still see the whole document more often with out horizontal scrolling

Jay Chapman:agree w Phil

George Kirikos:We can discuss Agenda item #4 now.

George Kirikos:To get intended date of publication, etc.

George Kirikos:The first Monday in January is January 2. So, maybe aim for January 9th or so?

George Kirikos:(or earlier, if folks think we're up to it)

George Kirikos:Here's a January calendar:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.timeanddate.com_calendar_monthly.html-3Fyear-3D2017-26month-3D1&d=DgICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=qp9uB8Cx8iBIB5YPr2NqGfMNU56LHoWcUWP8u9DAOfc&s=cOL4T1Kxe5htHdB9KMbrz_3X5_1kSEX0Ig0iPGsFIBc&e=

Mary Wong:@George, we would recommend the second week in Jan to publish

George Kirikos:Yep, that makes sense, Mary, i.e. the week of January 9th. Get folks to "ease into" things in the first week, and be back in business in 2nd week of January. :-)

Mary Wong:@George, yup

Mary Wong:@Phil, yes

George

Kirikos:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_pages_viewpage.action-3FpageId-3D48347895&d=DgICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=qp9uB8Cx8iBIB5YPr2NqGfMNU56LHoWcUWP8u9DAOfc&s=0w9gQ2jihAmAKQHpsNB9Vx10B1dHSME84RPhe3KXbxs&e=

Mary Wong:@George, we will try to highlight that in the next circulation, which will be of the full draft Initial Report

George Kirikos:A consensus of 10 or 20 people is better than just 5 or 6.

Philip Corwin:Yes Mary, highlight that when the full report draft is circulated.

Mary Wong:Will do, Phil

Jay Chapman:thanks, all

George Kirikos:Bye folks!