

RDS PDP WG Next Steps for Deliberation Poll

To assist the Next-Generation gTLD RDS PDP Working Group with confirming or adjusting our work plan's next steps for deliberation, all WG Members are invited to respond to this two-question poll no later than Saturday 3 December (midnight UTC).

Results will be used by the leadership team to further develop possible next steps for deliberation, to be considered during the WG's 6 December WG meeting.

* Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)

Q1) Need for Adjustment

During the WG's 22 November call, it was suggested that we pause the deliberations started in Hyderabad, defer further deliberation on individual possible requirements (PRs), and first try to deliberate and agree upon some key concepts. Understanding that making this kind of adjustment to our work plan requires further development of this suggestion (for example, to define what is a key concept), move the slider below to answer this question:

How strongly do you agree or disagree that we need to agree upon key concepts before we can deliberate constructively on possible requirements?

Disagree - move ahead as
planned

Agree - pursue this
suggestion



Q2) Possible alternative approach

The leadership team believes that, if the WG decides to pursue this kind of adjustment, our [Phase 1 Mind Map](#) would be a useful guide for discussion of the sub-questions listed there and deliberation on associated key concepts. To illustrate this approach: When discussing Data Elements sub-questions, one example of a key concept might be "RDS policies must be precise about which (if any) gTLD registration data elements are public."

If you wish to offer any suggestions to help us evaluate and refine this possible approach -- or you wish to suggest an alternative adjustment to our approach to deliberations -- please share your feedback below.

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

With your input, we hope to confirm whether we are on the right track before developing one or more concrete next-step approaches for WG consideration.