






**CCWG-ACCOUNTABILITY WS2 JURISDICTION SUBGROUP POLL ON PROPOSED QUESTIONS; RESULTS**

QUESTIONS	POLL RESULTS	
<p>1. Has your business, your privacy or your ability to use or purchase DNS-related services been affected by ICANN's jurisdiction* in any way?</p> <p>If the answer is Yes, please describe specific cases, situations or incidents, including the date, the parties involved, and links to any relevant documents. Please note that "affected" may refer to positive and/or negative effects.</p>	<p>Support: 29 Do Not Support: 2</p>	<p><b>Question 1</b></p>  <p>Support Do Not Support</p>
<p>2. Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names you have been involved in?</p> <p>If the answer is Yes, please describe specific cases, situations or incidents, including the date, the parties involved, and links to any relevant documents. Please note that "affected" may refer to positive and/or negative effects.</p>	<p>Support: 29 Do Not Support: 2</p>	<p><b>Question 2</b></p>  <p>Support Do Not Support</p>
<p>3. Do you have copies of and/or links to any verifiable reports of experiences of other parties that would be responsive to the questions above?</p> <p>If the answer is yes, please provide these copies and/or links.</p>	<p>Support: 29 Do Not Support: 2</p>	<p><b>Question 3</b></p>  <p>Support Do Not Support</p>

\* For these questions, "ICANN's jurisdiction" refers to (a) ICANN being subject to U.S. and California law as a result of its incorporation and location in California, (b) ICANN being subject to the laws of any other country as a result of its location within or contacts with that country, or (c) any "choice of law" or venue provisions in agreements with ICANN.

QUESTIONS	POLL RESULTS							
<p>4. What are the advantages or disadvantages, if any, relating to ICANN's jurisdiction*, particularly with regard to the actual operation of ICANN's policies and accountability mechanisms?</p> <p>Please support your response with appropriate examples, references to specific laws, case studies, other studies, and analysis. In particular, please indicate if there are current or past instances that highlight such advantages or problems. In terms of likely future risk, please mention specific ways in which U.S. or California laws safeguard or interfere with, or may be used to safeguard or interfere with, ICANN's ability to carry out its policies throughout the world.</p> <p>For any disadvantage identified, please identify alternatives (including other jurisdictions), if any, where that problem would not occur. For each such jurisdiction or other alternative, please specify whether and how it would support the outcomes of CCWG-Accountability Work Stream 1, identify the risks of those jurisdictions or other alternatives, and discuss the risks associated with changing from the current situation.</p>	<p>Support: 17 Do Not Support: 14</p>	<p><b>Question 4</b></p>  <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Question 4</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Response</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>54.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Do Not Support</td> <td>45.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Response	Percentage	Support	54.8%	Do Not Support	45.2%
Response	Percentage							
Support	54.8%							
Do Not Support	45.2%							
<p>If Question 4 is not approved, I support sending out a questionnaire containing only Questions 1-3</p>	<p>Support: 19 Do Not Support: 8 (Did Not Answer: 4)</p>	<p><b>If Q 4 not approved, support sending Qs 1-3</b></p>  <table border="1"> <caption>Data for If Q 4 not approved, support sending Qs 1-3</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Response</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>70.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Do Not Support</td> <td>29.6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Response	Percentage	Support	70.4%	Do Not Support	29.6%
Response	Percentage							
Support	70.4%							
Do Not Support	29.6%							

\* For these questions, "ICANN's jurisdiction" refers to (a) ICANN being subject to U.S. and California law as a result of its incorporation and location in California, (b) ICANN being subject to the laws of any other country as a result of its location within or contacts with that country, or (c) any "choice of law" or venue provisions in agreements with ICANN.